# Sparse Spectral Methods

Chebysher collocation methods achetre spectral accuracy on nonperiodic clamating, but the differentiation metric is

a) dense => expensive direct so hie if

high-degree poly. is required.

b) ill-conditioned => numerical errors amplify if

high-degree poly. is required.

This means that collocation is limited to very smooth problems that can be resolved using relatively low-degree polynomials.

Q: Can we derive spectrelly accurate discretizations that are

a) sparse, and b) well-conclidentel?

#### Chebysher U basts

When we differentiate Tn(x) (for nzo, 1,2,-), we get a new family of orthogonal poly.

$$\partial_x \overline{l}_n(x) = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \partial_\theta \cos(n\theta) = n \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin\theta}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
N = 0 & \partial_{x} 7_{s}(x) = 0 \\
N = 1 & \partial_{x} 7_{s}(x) = 1 \\
N = 2 & \partial_{x} 7_{s}(x) = 2x & \partial_{x} 7_{s} \\
N = 2 & \partial_{x} 7_{s}(x) = 2x & \frac{\partial_{x} 7_{s}}{\sin(n\theta)} - \frac{\sin((n-1)\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} \\
N = 2 & \frac{\partial_{x} 7_{s}(x)}{\sin(n\theta)} - \frac{\sin((n-1)\theta)}{\sin(n\theta)}
\end{array}$$

=> 
$$U_n(x) = \frac{\sin((nH)\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} \in \Pi^n \left(\frac{\text{degree } n}{\text{polyaours}}\right)$$

These "Chebysher-U" poby's ore orthogonal:

$$\langle U_n, U_n \rangle_{\infty} = \int_{-1}^{1} U_n(x) U_m(x) \sqrt{1-x^2} dx$$

$$W(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} U_n(x) U_m(x) \sqrt{1-x^2} dx$$

and differentiation maps Tn -> nUn.

$$\partial_x T_n(x) = n U_{n-1}(x)$$
  $n = 1, 2, ...$ 

## Sparse Pifferentindion Mutoix

Choose test busts [Tn] und trial busts (Un).

$$\partial_x u = f$$
  $u(1) = 0$ 

$$u(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{u}_n T_n(x)$$
,  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}_n T_n(x)$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{U}_{0} & 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\
1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{f}_{0} & 1/2$$

Boundarier Boundarier To enforce B.C. U(1)=0, use bordering u(1) = \( \int \hat{a}\_{\pi} \hat{7(1)} = \int \hat{a}\_{\pi} \hat{a}\_{\pi} \)  $= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \\ \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \widehat{U}_{0} \\ \widehat{U}_{1} \\ \end{array}\right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & -1/2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} f_{0} \\ f_{0} \\ \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} f_{0$ The system is "almost-banded," mening it is a low-rank (rank 1 here) + bunded metrix. => Solve in O(N) flops with rank-1 update: = 42 proportion (A+uv7) b = A-1b - [v7A-1b] A-1u

Sanded

Only need bunded solves A'b, A'u and then vector operations ("dot", "phus").

What about higher-order derivatives?

## Ultrespherical Basis

Given V= integer ? 0, the "ultraspherical polymonth  $\left(C_{n}^{(\nu)}(x)\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are orthogonal w.r.t.  $w(x)=(1-x^{2})^{\nu-\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}}$ 

$$C_{n}^{(\nu)}(x) = \frac{\nu}{\nu + n} \left( C_{n}^{(\nu+1)}(x) - C_{n-2}^{(\nu+1)}(x) \right) \quad (\nu > 0)$$

$$C_{n}^{(\nu)}(x) = \frac{\nu}{\nu + n} \left( C_{n}^{(\nu+1)}(x) - C_{n-2}^{(\nu+1)}(x) \right) \quad (\nu > 0)$$

$$C_{n}^{(\nu)}(x) = \frac{\nu}{\nu + n} \left( C_{n}^{(\nu+1)}(x) - C_{n-2}^{(\nu+1)}(x) \right) \quad (\nu > 0)$$

$$C_{n}^{(\nu)}(x) = \frac{\nu}{\nu + n} \left( C_{n}^{(\nu+1)}(x) - C_{n-2}^{(\nu+1)}(x) \right) \quad (\nu > 0)$$

$$C_{n}^{(\nu)}(x) = \frac{\nu}{\nu + n} \left( C_{n}^{(\nu+1)}(x) - C_{n-2}^{(\nu+1)}(x) \right) \quad (\nu > 0)$$

$$S^{(1)}S^{(0)}: \{7_n\} \rightarrow \{C^{(2)}\}$$
Compose maps to

 $S_{nn} = \langle C_{n}^{(u+1)}, C_{n}^{(u)} \rangle$ Compose mups to go from To - sultaspherical

Need to construct metrix with entries

$$(M_{\alpha}^{(v)})_{mn} = \langle C_{m}^{(v)}, \alpha C_{n}^{(v)} \rangle$$

for "multiplication in [C(1)] busts."

=) For pohy.  $\alpha(x) = \mathcal{E} \hat{\alpha}_{n} C_{n}^{(v)}(x)$ with M(CN,  $M_{n}^{(v)}$  has bandwith 2MH.

= Discretizations remain bunded so long as we can replace a(x) by a bondegree poly. approx (i.e. a is smooth relative to solution of ODE).

#### General Disoretization Scheme

whorder  $h = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_k(x) \partial_x u(x) = f(x)$ 

[Man D" + 5"-1 Man D"+ -- + 5 K-1 5'M, D' + 5 K-1 5°Min ] "

= 5K-1. - 5° £

bandwidth = 2K + 2Mmns Epsty, dez. Br vac. coeffs

- => Enforce boundary conditions use boundary borderry to get bunded + low-rank.
- => Solve usny fust + Stable "almost-bunded" QR solver (see Ober! Toursend reading) or with Woodbury inversion tormula

(A+UV)"= A"- A"U(I+VA"U)"VA"