In the following, you are asked to identify German statements that display some identity group as a perpetrator.

All utterances you are going to rate involve a mention of some identity group, e.g. *Jews*, *Muslims*, *women* or *qay people*.

By **perpetrator** we mean someone who carries out a harmful, illegal, immoral or indecent act.

Typical actions are:

- murdering
- betraying
- stealing
- misbehaving

For each sentence, you will have to assign exactly one of the following 3 categories:

1. The first category is **PERPETRATOR**. Please use this category if you think that the identity group is depicted as a perpetrator.

Examples are:

- o Muslime ermorden unschuldige Menschen.
- o Die Juden hintergehen ihre Nachbarn.
- o Frauen lügen immer ihren Ehemann an.
- o Schwule benehmen sich immer daneben.
- 2. The second category is **OTHER**. Please use this category if you think that the identity group is **not** depicted as perpetrator.

Examples are:

- o Muslime leiden unter der Invasion ihrer Feinde.
- ° Die Juden trauern um ihre Verluste.
- $^{\circ}$ Frauen tadeln den Präsidenten für seine sexistische Äußerung.
- ° Schwule kritisieren die jüngste Verlautbarung der Katholischen Kirche.
- 3. The third category is **CAN'T DECIDE**. Please use this category if you do not understand the utterance or you think that neither of the first two categories is suitable.

We have a fairly wide notion of the concept of a perpetrator in the sense that the contexts involved do not have to involve serious crimes or events involving death/heavy injury. According to our definition, the acts may also just be immoral. This also includes mildly immoral acts, such as ridiculing or badmouthing.

However, it is important that the utterances describe actions. Sentences, such as Juden mögen den Frieden nicht or Muslime verehren die Brutalität von Terroristen, do not describe actions but views. Therefore, such examples should **not** be labeled as PERPETRATOR but OTHER.

Please only use the label CAN'T DECIDE if you really do not understand the example or, after some thorough consideration, cannot make up your mind.

We will **not accept** any responses that contain many utterances that are flagged as CAN'T DECIDE. (We initially pre-filtered the set of utterances of this survey and already removed those we felt were too problematic.)

Note that all utterances will be negative in polarity. However, by far not every negative utterance involving some identity group depicts the identity as a perpetrator.

Many of the utterances to be annotated may sound far-fetched. Please do not let yourself be influenced by that. An utterance may depict some identity group as a perpetrator irrespective of the fact that the utterance is true or not.

Our recommendation for the annotation is that you store the above guidelines or print them out. You should **use the above examples as a reference** for your annotation.

By the nature of this survey, you will be exposed to some deeply offensive language. This survey is intended to produce labeled data for subsequent linguistic analysis. The authors of this survey, **in no way**, share the views that are expressed in these offensive remarks.