Matthew Jackson PHYS 513 $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J}$ and $\vec{B} = -\vec{\nabla} \vec{P}_m$ September 28, 2020

In your own words. Also talk about the tangential and perpendicular helds across a surface.

Ÿ·B=0

This equation is difficult for me to describe without the integral form. It aim going to cheat a little and look at the integral form to help explain my understanding of this equation

 $\int \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = \int O dV \rightarrow \int \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{s} = \int O dV$

Using this integral form, it is easy to see that there is no volume. V that will have a magnetic field that doesn't have equal parts entering and exiting that volume.

This can be contrasted with the electric field equivalent, which states $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \vec{E}_o$. This difference highlights that Maxwell's Equation's don't need a magnetic monopole. The book talks about how there can be configurations where pm can be used, but they approximations; or simplifications.

マ×B=ル。ラ

This equation relates on magnetic field to a current density by showing that a current will notate around a magnetie held. This equation relates the tangential part of a magnetic field to current

Given current sheet K traveling over a surface, the magnetic Reld will be perpendicular to that surface and the direction of the current sheet The magnetic field parallel to newell be continuous across the boundary!

B=-> Dm

This one is harder for me to inderstand. It would seem that configurations like this one can only arise when $\vec{J}=0$, due to $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \Psi = 0$. The book describes scenarios where this can happen when $\vec{B} = \mu (\vec{H} + \vec{M})$ such that there is no current being moved, \vec{B} .