Course Name: Business Cybersecurity-545-902 - Spring 2024

# **Mandatory Questions**

# The overall quality of the course was:

	Str.					Str.		Std	
	Count	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Mean	Median*	Dev
Course content was related to graded assignments.	5	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	4.67	0.55
Course content was thought-provoking.	5	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	4.67	0.89
The course materials were useful to course objectives.	5	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	4.33	0.55

# Overall my learning in the course was:

	Count	Very Poor	Poor	Avg or Fair	Good	Excellent	Mean*	Std Dev
I would rate my learning in this course as	5	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	0.84

# The instructors overall teaching effectiveness was:

	Str.					Str.	Std		
	Count	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Mean	Median*	Dev
The instructor fostered a positive learning environment.	5	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	4.67	0.55
The instructor was well organized.	5	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	4.67	0.55
The instructor provided helpful feedback.	5	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	4.67	0.89

<sup>\*</sup> For an explanation of the interpolated median, please see http://spu.edu/depts/insdev/interpolated\_median\_explanation.pdf

## **Instructor Related and Selectable Questions**

The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.

Count	Str. Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str. Agree	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
5	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	4.00	1.67

## The instructor was available outside of class.

Count	Str. Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str. Agree	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
5	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	4.00	1.67

# The course content was worth learning.

Count	Str. Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str. Agree	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
5	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	4.00	1.00

# The instructor was available to provide individual attention.

Count	Str. Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str. Agree	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
4	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	4.50	0.96

### The instructor treated students with respect.

Count	Str. Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str. Agree	Mean	Median*	Std Dev
5	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	4.67	1.73

## **General Comments**

### What recommendations do you have for changes? Business Cybercrime Management

#### Comments

I like the lab idea but need to find something better than NDG labs. Did not like NDG labs because it wasn't very interactive and could not tell what percentage I completed labs.

Looks fine

None

The Penetration Testing book is very outdated and relies on being able to obtain Windows XP and Windows 7 licenses if you intend to try the labs yourself. Neither can easily be obtained. It would benefit students to switch to a more recent book.

I feel like the Kali Exercises were not very helpful. The difference in "hand holding" from the NDG labs and then the lack of instruction for the Kali Exercises felt like a huge jump. My team and I struggled on those the most and felt the most frustration in doing those because there weren't places we could go for help on completing them.

## What helped you learn in this course? Business Cybercrime Management

#### Comments

Was expecting more crime related experience and types of uses for all the tools in Kali Linux. The Kali labs did not meet the level of cybercrimes that I expected in the course.

Class resources and outside resources

The YouTube videos were very helpful.

The labs provided a working environment to learn the course content and reinforce the CEH course book content.

I found that the NDG labs, although it felt like there were a lot, were very helpful in making me feel like I was grasping the information. I enjoyed completing those labs and felt a sense of accomplishment when I got to the end successfully and could answer all the questions.