

---

## Table of Contents

.....	1
Read audio file .....	1
Noise .....	1
Noisy signal .....	1
FIR Filter with unit variance noise .....	1
FIR Filter with a noise of variance 10 .....	2
IIR filter .....	2
RLS algorithm .....	2

```
clear;
clc;
close all;
```

Mohammad Javad Amin 401211193 Problem 2

## Read audio file

```
[y,Fs] = audioread('s1.wav');
s=y(:,1); % Extrac 1 channel form audio
s = s - mean(s); % Normalize s
s = s/std(s);
```

## Noise

```
n0=randn(size(s));
b=rand(1,5);
a=1;
n=filter(b,a,n0);
disp("please wait until all sounds play")

please wait until all sounds play
```

## Noisy signal

```
x=s+n;
sound(x,Fs)
```

## FIR Filter with unit variance noise

N :length of filter M : length of input signal alpha : learning rate e : errors w : weights of filter

```
M = length(s);
N = 10;

[~,e]=RLS(n0,x,N,M);
pause(8)
```

---

```
sound(e,Fs)
```

## FIR Filter with a noise of variance 10

```
n0 = sqrt(10).*randn(size(s));  
n=filter(b,a,n0);
```

```
pause(12)  
x=s+n;  
sound(x,Fs)
```

```
[~,e]=RLS(n0,x,N,M);  
pause(8)  
sound(e,Fs)
```

## IIR filter

```
a= [1,0.5];  
b=[1,-0.9];
```

```
n=filter(b,a,n0);
```

```
x=s+n;  
[w,e]=RLS(n0,x,N,M);  
pause(8)  
sound(e,Fs)
```

```
figure(1)  
plot(x)  
title('noisy signal')  
figure(2)  
plot(e)  
title('out signal');
```

```
disp("The difference between LMS (or VSLMS) and RLS algorithm is that the LMS  
is faster than RLS but the output quality of RLS is better than LMS" + ...  
"and the number of iterations the algorithm need to converge in the RLS  
is less than LMS ")
```

## RLS algorithm

```
function[w,z]=RLS(inputs,d,N,M)  
% z : error  
% N :length of filter  
% M : length of input signal  
z=zeros(1,M-N+1);  
w=zeros(1,N);  
lambda=0.999;  
delta= 1e-10;  
  
p=delta*eye(N);
```

---

```
for i=N:M-1
    u=(inputs(i:-1:i-N+1))';
    y=dot(w,u);
    z(i-N+1)=d(i)-y;
    k=(p*u')/(lambda+u*p*u');
    w=w+k'*conj(z(i-N+1));
    p=(p -k*conj(u)*p)/lambda;

end

end
```

*Published with MATLAB® R2022b*