Solutions to Homework 1 Practice Problems

Note: these solutions serve as examples for the level of detail we expect in your DP solutions. We have also added commentary to explain the intuition behind the solution - this content will be italicized in the narrative.

[DPV] Problem 6.4 – Dictionary lookup

(a) Define the entries of your table in words. E.g., T(i) or T(i,j) is

This subproblems consider prefixes but now the table just stores TRUE or FALSE. Whether the whole string can be broken into valid words is determined by the boolean value of E(n).

Let E(i) denote the TRUE/FALSE answer to the following problem: Can the string $s_1 s_2 ... s_i$ be broken into a sequence of valid words?

(b) State recurrence for entries of table in terms of smaller subproblems.

The base case E(0) is always TRUE: the empty string is a valid string.

Base Case:

$$E(0) = TRUE$$

The next case E(1) is simple: E(1) is TRUE iff $\operatorname{dict}(s[1])$ returns TRUE. We will solve subproblems $E(1), E(2), \ldots, E(n)$ in that order. How do we express E(i) in terms of subproblems $E(0), E(1), \ldots, E(i-1)$? We consider all possibilities for the last word, which will be of the form $s_j \ldots s_i$ where $1 \leq j \leq i$. If the last word is $s_j \ldots s_i$, then the value of E(i) is TRUE iff both $\operatorname{dict}(s_j \ldots s_i)$ and E(j-1) are TRUE. Clearly the last word can be any of the i strings $s[j \ldots i], 1 \leq j \leq i$, and hence we have to take an "or" over all these possibilities. This gives the following recurrence relation E(i) is TRUE iff the following is TRUE for at least one $j \in [1, \ldots, i]$: $\{\operatorname{dict}(s[j \ldots i]) \text{ is TRUE } AND \ E(j-1) \text{ is TRUE} \}$. That recurrence can be expressed more compactly as the following where \vee denotes boolean OR and \wedge denotes boolean AND:

Recurrence:

$$E(i) = \text{False } \bigvee \left\{ \text{dict}(s[j \dots i]) \land E(j-1) \right\} : 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le i$$

Finally, we get the following dynamic programming algorithm for checking whether $s[\cdot]$ can be reconstituted as a sequence of valid words: set E(0) to TRUE. Solve the remaining problems in the order $E(1), E(2), E(3), \ldots, E(n)$ using the above recurrence relation. To give a reconstruction of the string if it is valid, i.e., if E(n) is TRUE. To reconstruct the string, we add an additional bookkeeping device here: for each subproblem E(i) we track an additional value prev(i), which is the index j such that the expression $\operatorname{dict}(s_j,\ldots,s_i) \wedge E(j-1)$ is TRUE. We can then compute a valid reconstruction by following the prev(i) "pointers" back from the last problem E(n) to E(1), outputting all the characters between two consecutive pointers as a valid word.

```
E(0) = \text{TRUE}. for i=1 to n do E(i) = \text{FALSE}. for j=1 to i do \text{if } E(j-1) = \text{TRUE and } dict(S[j\ldots i] = \text{TRUE}) \text{ then } E(i) = \text{TRUE} prev(i) = j \setminus \text{this is used to recover the list of words} return E(n)
```

While we would not typically ask you to reconstruct the partitioning into words, here's how you utilize prev() to accomplish that task:

```
words = ""
i = n
while i > 0 : do
words = s[prev(i) ... i] + "" + words
i = prev(i) - 1
return words
```

(d) Analyze the running time of your algorithm.

Both the base case and return are constant time O(1). The run time is dominated by the nested for-loops, each of which is bounded by n, for $O(n^2)$ total time.

[DPV] Problem 6.8 – Longest common substring

(a) Define the entries of your table in words. E.g., T(i) or T(i,j) is

Here we are doing the longest common substring (LCStr), as opposed to the longest common subsequence (LCS). First, we need to figure out the subproblems. This time, we have two sequences instead of one. Therefore, we look at the longest common substring (LCStr) for a prefix of X with a prefix of Y. Since it is asking for substring which means that the sequence has to be continuous, we should define the subproblems so that the last letters in both strings are included. Notice that the subproblem only makes sense when the last letters in both strings are the same.

Let us define the subproblem for each i and j as:

 $P(i, j) = \text{length of the LCStr for } x_1 x_2 ... x_i \text{ with } y_1 y_2 ... y_j$ where we only consider substrings with $x_i = y_j$ as its last letter.

For those i and j such that $x_i \neq y_j$, we set P(i, j) = 0.

(b) State recurrence for entries of table in terms of smaller subproblems.

To start, if one of the strings is empty (length of 0), there is no common substring. Now, let us figure out the recurrence for P(i,j). Assume $x_i = y_j$. Say the LCStr for $x_1 \ldots x_i$ with $y_1 \ldots y_j$ is the string $s_1 \ldots s_\ell$ where $s_\ell = x_i = y_j$. Then $s_1 \ldots s_{\ell-1}$ is the LCStr for $x_1 \ldots x_{i-1}$ with $y_1 \ldots y_{j-1}$. Hence, in this case P(i,j) = 1 + P(i-1,j-1). Therefore, the recurrence is the following:

Base Case:

$$P(i,0) = 0$$
 for any $0 \le i \le n$
 $P(0,j) = 0$ for any $0 \le j \le m$

Recurrence:

$$P(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 + P(i-1, j-1) & \text{if } x_i = y_j \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i \neq y_j \end{cases}$$

where $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le m$

```
\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{for}\ i = 0\ \text{to}\ n\ \mathbf{do} \\ &P(i,0) = 0 \\ &\mathbf{for}\ j = 0\ \text{to}\ m\ \mathbf{do} \\ &P(0,j) = 0 \\ &\mathbf{for}\ i = 1\ \text{to}\ n\ \mathbf{do} \\ &\mathbf{for}\ j = 1\ \text{to}\ m\ \mathbf{do} \\ &\mathbf{for}\ j = 1\ \text{to}\ m\ \mathbf{do} \\ &\mathbf{if}\ x_i = y_j\ \mathbf{then} \\ &P(i,j) = 1 + P(i-1,j-1) \\ &\mathbf{else} \\ &P(i,j) = 0 \\ &\mathbf{return}\ \max(P(\cdot,\cdot)) \end{aligned}
```

(d) Analyze the running time of your algorithm.

The two base case loops are linear O(n) and O(m). The nested loops to establish the values for P and find the maximum dominate the run time, which is O(nm).

[DPV] Problem 6.18 - Making change II

(a) Define the entries of your table in words. E.g., T(i) or T(i,j) is

This problem is very similar to the knapsack problem without repetition that we saw in class. First of all, let's identify the subproblems. Since each denomination is used at most once, consider the situation for x_n . There are two cases, either

- We do not use x_n then we need to use a subset of x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} to form value v;
- We use x_n then we need to use a subset of x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} to form value $v x_n$. Note this case is only possible if $x_n \leq v$.

If either of the two cases is TRUE, then the answer for the original problem is TRUE, otherwise it is FALSE. These two subproblems can depend further on some subproblems defined in the same way recursively, namely, a subproblem considers a prefix of the denominations and some value.

We define a $n \times v$ sized table D defined as:

 $D(i, j) = \{ \text{TRUE or FALSE where there is a subset of the coins of denominations } x_1, ..., x_i \text{ to form the value } j. \}$

Our final answer is stored in the entry D(n, v).

(b) State recurrence for entries of table in terms of smaller subproblems.

Analogous to the above scenario with denomiation x_n we have the following recurrence relation for D(i, j). For i > 0 and j > 0 then we have:

$$D(i,j) = \begin{cases} D(i-1,j) \lor D(i-1,j-x_i) & \text{if } x_i \le j \\ D(i-1,j) & \text{if } x_i > j. \end{cases}$$

(Recall, \vee denotes Boolean OR.) The base cases are

$$\begin{split} D(0,0) &= \texttt{TRUE} \\ D(0,j) &= \texttt{FALSE} \; \forall \; 1 \leq j \leq v \end{split}$$

The algorithm for filling in the table is the following.

```
\begin{split} D(0,0) &= \text{TRUE} \\ \textbf{for } j &= 1 \text{ to } v \textbf{ do} \\ (0,j) &= \text{FALSE} \\ \textbf{for } i &= 1 \text{ to } n \textbf{ do} \\ \textbf{for } j &= 0 \text{ to } v \textbf{ do} \\ \textbf{if } x_i &\leq j \textbf{ then} \\ D(i,j) &\leftarrow D(i-1,j) \vee D(i-1,j-x_i) \\ \textbf{else} \\ D(i,j) &\leftarrow D(i-1,j) \\ \textbf{return } D(n,v) \end{split}
```

(d) Analyze the running time of your algorithm.

Each entry takes O(1) time to compute, and there are O(nv) entries. Hence, the total running time is O(nv).

[DPV] Problem 6.19 - Making change k

(a) Define the entries of your table in words. E.g., T(i) or T(i,j) is

Note that, the requirement is we need to use k coins and also we have unlimited supply of each coin. Therefore, in the subproblem, we should be able to recover how many coins have been used so far.

Let T(v, i) be TRUE or FALSE whether it is possible to make value v using exactly i coins.

This leads to an O(nkV) time solution. Alternatively, we can use a 1-dimensional array, which will improve our runtime.

For $0 \le v \le V$, let T(v) = minimum number of coins to make value v

(b) State recurrence for entries of table in terms of smaller subproblems.

The recurrence is

$$T(v) = \min_{j} \{1 + T(v - x_j) : 1 \le j \le n, x_j \le v\}$$

With the following base case:

$$T(0) = 0$$

```
T(0) = 0
for v = 1 to V do
T(v) = \text{infinity}
for j = 1 to n do
\text{if } x_j \leq v \text{ and } T(v) > 1 + T(v - x_j) \text{ then}
T(v) = 1 + T(v - x_j)
if T(V) \leq k then
\text{return } \text{TRUE}
else
\text{return } \text{FALSE}
```

(d) Analyze the running time of your algorithm.

The table is of size O(V) and each entry takes O(n) time to compute. Hence the total running time is O(nV).

[DPV] Problem 6.20 - Optimal Binary Search Tree

(a) Define the entries of your table in words. E.g., T(i) or T(i,j) is

This is similar to the chain matrix multiply problem that we did in class. Here we have to use substrings instead of prefixes for our subproblem.

For all i, j where $1 \le i \le j \le n$, let

 $C(i,j) = \text{minimum cost for a binary search tree for words } p_i, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_j.$

(b) State recurrence for entries of table in terms of smaller subproblems.

The base case is when i = j, and the expected cost is simply the word p_i , hence $C(i, i) = p_i$. Let's also set for j < i C(i, j) = 0 for all $0 \le j < i$ since such a tree will be empty. These entries where i > j will be helpful for simplifying our recurrence; we need to include i = n + 1 to ensure that all references are established.

Base Case:

$$C(i,i) = p_i$$
 for all $1 \le i \le n$
 $C(i,j) = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le (n+1)$ and $0 \le j \le n$ and $j < i$

To make the recurrence for C(i,j) we need to decide which word to place at the root. If we place p_k at the root then we need to place p_i, \ldots, p_{k-1} in the left-subtree and $p_{k+1} \ldots, p_j$ in the right subtree. The expected number of comparisons involves 3 parts: words p_i, \ldots, p_j all take 1 comparison at the root, the remaining cost for the left-subtree is C(i, k-1), and for the right-subtree it's C(k+1, j).

Recurrence:

$$C(i,j) = \min_{i \le k \le j} ((p_i + \dots + p_j) + C(i,k-1) + C(k+1,j)) \text{ for } 1 \le i < j \le n$$

Here's our pseudocode to identify the lowest cost. To fill the table C we do so by increasing width w = j - i. Finally we output the entry C(1, n). The backtracking to produce the tree is left as an exercise for the ambitious.

```
for i = 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do}
  C(i,i) = p_i
for i = 1 to n + 1 do
  for j = 0 to i - 1 do
     C(i,j) = 0
for w = 1 to n - 1 do
  for i = 1 to n - w do
     j = i + w
     C(i,j) = \infty
     levelcost = 0
     for k = i to j do
       levelcost = levelcost + p_k
       cur = C(i, k - 1) + C(k + 1, j)
       if C(i, j) > cur then
          C(i,j) = cur
     C(i,j) = C(i,j) + levelcost
return (C(1,n))
```

(d) Analyze the running time of your algorithm.

There are $O(n^2)$ entries in the table and each entry takes O(n) time to fill, hence the total running time is $O(n^3)$.

[DPV] Problem 6.26 – Alignment

(a) Define the entries of your table in words. E.g., T(i) or T(i,j) is

This is similar to the Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) problem, not the Longest Common Substring from this homework, just a bit more complicated.

Let $P(i, j) = \text{maximum score of an alignment of } x_1 x_2 \dots x_i \text{ with } y_1 y_2 \dots y_j.$

(b) State recurrence for entries of table in terms of smaller subproblems.

Now, we figure out the dependency relationship. What subproblems does P(i, j) depend on? There are three cases:

- Match x_i with y_j , then $P(i,j) = \delta(x_i, y_j) + P(i-1, j-1)$;
- Match x_i with -, then $P(i,j) = \delta(x_i, -) + P(i-1,j)$;
- Match y_j with -, then $P(i,j) = \delta(-,y_j) + P(i,j-1)$.

The recurrence then is the best choice among those three cases:

Recurrence:

$$P(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} \delta(x_i, y_j) + P(i-1, j-1) \\ \delta(x_i, -) + P(i-1, j) \\ \delta(-, y_j) + P(i, j-1) \end{cases}$$

where $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le m$.

For the base case, we have to be a bit careful. There is no problem with assigning P(0,0) = 0. But how about P(0,j) and P(i,0)? Can they also be zero? The answer is no, they should follow the recurrence above.

Base Case:

$$P(0,0) = 0$$

$$P(i,0) = P(i-1,0) + \delta(x_i, -) \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le n$$

$$P(0,j) = P(0,j-1) + \delta(-,y_j) \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le m$$

```
P(0,0) = 0.
for i = 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do}
P(i,0) = P(i-1,0) + \delta(x_i, -).
for j = 1 \text{ to } m \text{ do}
P(0,j) = P(0,j-1) + \delta(-,y_j).
for i = 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do}
for j = 1 \text{ to } m \text{ do}
P(i,j) = \max\{\delta(x_i,y_j) + P(i-1,j-1), \delta(x_i, -) + P(i-1,j), \delta(x_i, -) + P(i-1,j), \delta(-,y_j) + P(i,j-1)\}
return P(n,m)
```

(d) Analyze the running time of your algorithm.

Setting the base case takes O(n) and O(m) time, both linear. The dominating running time is O(nm), the time required to fill our $n \times m$ table.