

Global Sensitivity Analysis

- Let $\hat{\mathbf{C}}_{\sim} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (\nabla_{\theta} f^i)(\nabla_{\theta} f^i)^T$ be defined by

$$\hat{\mathbf{C}}_{\sim} = \hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\sim} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{\sim} \hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\sim}^T, \quad \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{\sim} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_p \end{bmatrix}, \quad \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$$

• Let $\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{\sim} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 & \\ & \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\sim} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{W}}_1 & \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2 \end{bmatrix}$

• Let $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_1$ be the active subspace, $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$

- The activity score, a_i , is given by

$$a_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j w_{ij}^2, \quad i=1, \dots, p$$

where w_{ij} is the i th component of the j th eigenvector, $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_j$.

• So the most significant average change in f occurs in direction $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_1$, then $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_2$, etc.

• The sum of λ_j tell us how each θ_i contributes in direction $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_j$.

Surrogate modeling

- Suppose $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_1$ effectively describe how $\hat{\theta}$ affects $f(\hat{\theta})$, where $\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_{n+1}$, $n < p$.

- Suppose \tilde{W}_1 effectively describe row \dots , $n < P$.

• Let $\tilde{z} = \hat{W}_1^T \tilde{\theta}$, $\hat{W}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times n}$, $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^P \Rightarrow \tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- Could we derive a surrogate, $\tilde{f}(\tilde{z})$, that is sufficiently accurate, i.e.

$$f(\tilde{\theta}) \approx \tilde{f}(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{f}(\hat{W}_1^T \tilde{\theta})?$$

- Idea: Given new data, $\tilde{z}^j = \hat{W}_1^T \tilde{\theta}^j$, build surrogate, e.g., regression

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{z}^j) = \tilde{B} \tilde{z}, \quad \tilde{B} = [\tilde{z}^1 \tilde{z}^2 \dots]$$

$$\text{Ex) } f(x, \vec{q}) = q_1 + q_2 x + q_3 x^2 + q_4 x^3$$

- When $x \gg 0$ $\Rightarrow \lambda_1 \gg \lambda_2 \gg \lambda_3 \gg \lambda_4 \Rightarrow \tilde{z} = \hat{W}_1 \vec{q} \in \mathbb{R}$

• For a 1st degree polynomial

$$\tilde{f} = b_0 + b_1 \tilde{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \tilde{z} \end{bmatrix} \vec{b}$$

• To build \tilde{f}

$$\text{Let } \tilde{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \tilde{z} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \tilde{f} = \tilde{Z} \vec{b}$$

• Generate $y_i = f(x, \vec{q})$, $i=1, \dots, N_y$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{y} = \tilde{Z} \vec{b} + \vec{\epsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{y} = \vec{Z} \vec{b} + \vec{\varepsilon}$$

• Solve $\vec{b} = (\vec{Z}^T \vec{Z})^{-1} \vec{Z}^T \vec{y}$

• $\hat{f} = \vec{Z} \vec{b}$

Ex) PDE's with random fields

- Consider the PDE in 1d

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\alpha(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + f(x, t), \quad x \in (-1, 1), t \geq 0$$

$$u(-1, t) = u_L, \quad u(1, t) = u_R, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

$$u(x, 0) = \vec{u}_0(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is spatial conductivity.

• $\alpha(\vec{x}) = \alpha(x, \vec{\omega})$ is a random field.

- Recall the KL expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\vec{x}, \vec{\omega}) &= \bar{\alpha}(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_n} \phi_n(x) \Theta_n(\omega) \\ &\approx \bar{\alpha}(x) + \sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{\lambda_n} \phi_n(x) \Theta_n(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

where λ_n & ϕ_n are eigenpairs of covariance, & $\Theta_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

- We can try to identify

- We can try to identify

$$\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \vec{z} = \hat{W}_1^+ \vec{\theta}, \quad \vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^A, \quad n \ll A.$$

• For an inverse problem

$$\min_{\vec{\theta}} \|\vec{y}_n - \mathcal{U}(\vec{x}, \vec{t}; \vec{\theta})\|_2^2 \approx \min_{\vec{z}} \|\vec{y}_n - \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\vec{z})\|_2^2$$

↗

P-dimension POE constrained
opt. problem

↗

M dimensional linear problem