

Active Subspaces

- ~ we used sensitivity analysis to find influential parameters & then infer them.
- We typically "fix" noninfluential parameters
- We may transform $\vec{\theta}$ if not all are identifiable

~ Instead, we can consider parameter space transformations.

ex) Consider

$$f(\vec{\theta}) = \exp(0.7\theta_1 + 0.3\theta_2), \quad \vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_1} = 0.7 \cdot f(\vec{\theta}), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_2} = 0.3 f(\vec{\theta})$$

- Note: $\nabla_{\vec{\theta}} f = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_2} \right] = [0.7f, 0.3f] = \tilde{S}$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F} = \sum_n \tilde{S} \quad + \quad \text{Cond}(\mathcal{F}) = \infty$$

Linear Subspace Analysis

- Suppose we have

$$\vec{y} = \tilde{A} \tilde{\theta}, \quad \tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^P, \quad \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_y}, \quad \tilde{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_y \times P}$$

Definition

The non-influential subspace of the parameters, $NI(\vec{\theta})$, is

Definition

- The nonidentifiable subspace of the parameters, $NI(\vec{\theta})$, is where changes $\vec{\theta}$ have no effect on \vec{y} . (or non-unique effects)
• This corresponds to $\text{null}(\underline{\hat{A}})$, i.e. where $\underline{\hat{A}}\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, $\forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

- The identifiable subspace, $I(\vec{\theta})$, is where $\vec{\theta}$ uniquely contributes to \vec{y} , & is the orthogonal complement of $\underline{\hat{A}}$.

$$\text{ex)} \quad y_i = 0 \cdot \theta_1 + \theta_2 x_i, \quad i=1,2,3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } \underline{\hat{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{rank}(\underline{\hat{A}}) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow NI(\vec{\theta}) = \text{null}(\underline{\hat{A}}) = \left\{ c \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow I(\vec{\theta}) = \text{range}(\underline{\hat{A}}^T) = \mathcal{R}(\underline{\hat{A}}^T) = \left\{ c \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Note: if $\underline{\hat{A}} = \underline{X}$ from linear regression, we can show:

$$NI(\vec{\theta}) = \text{null}(\underline{\hat{X}}) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{null}(\underline{\hat{X}}^T \underline{\hat{X}}) = \text{null}(\underline{F})$$

\Rightarrow if \underline{F} is singular, $\vec{\theta}$ has some nonidentifiable components.

- If $\underline{\hat{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_y \times p}$ & $N_y \geq p$, then $\vec{\theta}$ is only identifiable if

$$\text{rank}(\underline{\hat{A}}) = p.$$

Computing Subspaces

- Recall that the SVD (singular-value decomposition) of A is

$$\underset{\sim}{A} = \underset{\sim}{U} \underset{\sim}{\Sigma} \underset{\sim}{V}^T, \quad \underbrace{\underset{\sim}{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_y \times N_y}, \underset{\sim}{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times P}}_{\text{orthogonal}}$$

$$+ \quad \underset{\sim}{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \underset{\sim}{S} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_y \times P}$$

$$+ \quad \underset{\sim}{S} = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_P) \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times P}, \text{ where } \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_P \geq 0.$$

- The numerical rank of $\underset{\sim}{A}$ is the number of σ_i s.t. $\sigma_i \geq \epsilon_{\text{tol}}$.

, when $\text{rank}(\underset{\sim}{A}) = r < \min(N_y, P)$, $\underset{\sim}{A}$ is rank deficient.

- When $\underset{\sim}{A}$ is rank deficient, we can write (assume $\text{rank}(\underset{\sim}{A}) = r$)

$$\Rightarrow \underset{\sim}{A} = \underset{\sim}{U} \underset{\sim}{\Sigma} \underset{\sim}{V}^T$$

where

$$\underset{\sim}{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \underset{\sim}{U}_r & \underset{\sim}{U}_{N_y-r} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underset{\sim}{U}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{N_y \times r}, \quad \underset{\sim}{U}_{N_y-r} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_y \times (N_y-r)}$$

$$\underset{\sim}{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \underset{\sim}{V}_r & \underset{\sim}{V}_{P-r} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underset{\sim}{V}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times r}, \quad \underset{\sim}{V}_{P-r} \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times (P-r)}$$

$$+ \quad \underset{\sim}{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \underset{\sim}{S}_r & \underset{\sim}{\Sigma}_{P-r} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underset{\sim}{S}_r = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r)$$

- Then we can approximate $\underset{\sim}{A}$ by

- Then we can approximate \hat{A} by

$$\hat{A} \approx \hat{U}_r \hat{S}_r \hat{V}_r^T$$

• Here, \hat{V}_r provides a basis $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A}^T)$, + \hat{V}_{p-r} provides a basis for $\text{null}(\hat{A})$.

* This is the truncated SVD *

- So for the linear model

$$\vec{y} = \hat{A} \vec{\theta}$$

if $\text{rank}(\hat{A}) = r < \min(n, p)$, then

$$\Rightarrow \vec{y} \approx \hat{A}_r \vec{\theta} = (\hat{U}_r \hat{S}_r \hat{V}_r^T) \vec{\theta}$$

where components of \hat{S}_r tell us about active subspaces in the model.