




Course: ENC1101-0024: FRESH COMP & RHETRC







3 - The tests, project, etc. ac	ccurately m	easured wh	at I learned	in this course.									
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequen	icy Percent	Perce	ent Re	espon	ses		Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	1	10.00%					4.00	4.42	4.23		
Agree		(4)	8	80.00%									
Neutral		(3)	1	10.00%									
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1								
			•	•	0	25	50	100	Question	n Department	University	y	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	M	lean	S1	ΓD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
10/24 (41.67%)	4.00	0.47	4.00	1581	4	1.42	0.	76	5.00	27245	4.23	0.95	4.00






Course: ENC1101-0024: FRESH COMP & RHETRC





7 - Michael Healy communic	ated effecti	vely												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pei	rcent R	espo	nses			Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	5	50.00%					4.50		4.56	4.35		
Agree		(4)	5	50.00%										
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
					0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	University	У	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	-	STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
10/24 (41.67%)	4.50	0.53	4.50	1583		4.56		0.79	5.00		29063	4.35	0.96	5.00






Course: ENC1101-0024: FRESH COMP & RHETRC

9 - Michael Healy provided	helpful feed	back on my	work											
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequen	cy Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	5	50.00%					4.50		4.54	4.16		
Agree		(4)	5	50.00%										
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
		•	•		0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	University	y	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
10/24 (41.67%)	4.50	0.53	4.50	1577		4.54		0.78	5.00		28944	4.16	1.05	5.00


10 - Michael Healy demons	trated resp	ect for stud	ents													
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency	Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses			Mea	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	8	3	80.00%					4.80	П	4.74	4.55			
Agree		(4)	2	2	20.00%											
Neutral		(3)	0)	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0)	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0)	0.00%	1										
						0	25	50	100	Question	า	Department	University	y		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	De	epartment		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	D	Median
10/24 (41.67%)	4.80	0.42	5.00		1572		4.74		0.57	5.00		28935	4.55	0.7	7	5.00

11 - Michael Healy demonst	rated maste	ery of the su	ıbject matte	er							
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequer	ncy Percent	Percent Re	esponses		Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	8	80.00%			4.80	4.72	4.55	1	
Agree		(4)	2	20.00%				_			
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1			_			
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1			_			
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1						
		•			0 25	50 100	Question	n Department	University	y	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
10/24 (41.67%)	4.80	0.42	5.00	1571	4.72	0.58	5.00	28970	4.55	0.77	5.00





Course: ENC1101-0024: FRESH COMP & RHETRC



14 - What is your year in school	l?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans	
1st year	(1)	10	100.00%				
Sophomore	(2)	0	0.00%]			
Junior	(3)	0	0.00%]			
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	1			
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%]			
	•			0 25 50 100			
			Res	oonse Rate			
	•		10/2	4 (41.67%)	•		

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respons	es	Me	ans	
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	I				
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%	I				
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%]				
3.0-3.49	(4)	2	20.00%					
3.5-4.0	(5)	1	10.00%					
Not Applicable	(6)	7	70.00%					
				0 25 50	100			
			Res	oonse Rate				
			10/2	4 (41.67%)				

	rse?						i			
Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pei	cent R	espor	nses		Me	ans	
(1)	8	80.00%								
(2)	1	10.00%								
(3)	0	0.00%	1							
(4)	0	0.00%	1							
(5)	0	0.00%	1							
(6)	1	10.00%								
			0	25	50	100				
		Res	oonse	Rate						
	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	(1) 8 (2) 1 (3) 0 (4) 0 (5) 0	(1) 8 80.00% (2) 1 10.00% (3) 0 0.00% (4) 0 0.00% (5) 0 0.00% (6) 1 10.00%	(1) 8 80.00% (2) 1 10.00% (3) 0 0.00% (4) 0 0.00% (5) 0 0.00% (6) 1 10.00% Response	(1) 8 80.00% (2) 1 10.00% (3) 0 0.00% (4) 0 0.00% (5) 0 0.00% (6) 1 10.00%	(1) 8 80.00% (2) 1 10.00% (3) 0 0.00% (4) 0 0.00% (5) 0 0.00% (6) 1 10.00% Response Rate	(1) 8 80.00% (2) 1 10.00% (3) 0 0.00% (4) 0 0.00% (5) 0 0.00% (6) 1 10.00% Response Rate	(1) 8 80.00% (2) 1 10.00% (3) 0 0.00% (4) 0 0.00% (5) 0 0.00% (6) 1 10.00% Response Rate	(1) 8 80.00% (2) 1 10.00% (3) 0 0.00% (4) 0 0.00% (5) 0 0.00% (6) 1 10.00% Response Rate	(1) 8 80.00% (2) 1 10.00% (3) 0 0.00% (4) 0 0.00% (5) 0 0.00% (6) 1 10.00% Response Rate



Course: ENC1101-0024: FRESH COMP & RHETRC

Instructor: Michael Healy *
Response Rate: 12/24 (50.00 %)

17 - Is this a required course fo	r you?									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses	Me	ans	
Yes	(1)	10	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
				0	25	50	100			
			Resp	onse	Rate					
			10/2	4 (41.	67%)					

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Michael Healy's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. Response Rate 5/24 (20.83%)

- Really good professor and really nice and listens and gives good feedback.
- Mr. Healy was very personal and presented the material very well.
- I like the construct of the class, it is very organized and makes things the least complicated they can be. The work is reasonable to demonstrate understanding, growth, and skill. isn't BS english work like high school. Your personal replies to everything are helpful.
- Mr. Healy was always willing to go above and beyond to help communicate to his students. He was always willing to help out, especially when kids were too shy to answer in class.
- I liked how open the classroom was. Mr. Healy was a great professor. He really got to know his students.

19 - What aspects of the course and/o	or Michael Healy's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples
Boonance Bate	A/QA (46 670/)

- The was Mr. Healy grades was unclear and was confusing.
- Somethings that could've been improved would have been including more examples on how the composing process works.
- Projects could be a little more clear cut.
- Give more guidelines not the projects that are given.

20 - Please list additional comments a	and/or suggestions.
Response Rate	0/24 (0%)