

# Florida State University

## 2020 Spring Course Evaluation



**Course:** ENC3021-0002.sp20: RHETORIC

**Instructor:** Michael Healy \*

**Response Rate:** 13/27 (48.15 %)

### 1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	9	69.23%		4.38	4.41	4.35				
Agree			(4)	2	15.38%								
Neutral			(3)	1	7.69%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	1	7.69%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
13/27 (48.15%)		4.38	1.19	5.00	3609	4.41	0.87	5.00	90103	4.35	0.89	5.00	

### 2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	10	76.92%		4.69	4.51	4.41				
Agree				(4)	2	15.38%								
Neutral				(3)	1	7.69%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
13/27 (48.15%)		4.69	0.63	5.00	3597		4.51	0.78	5.00	89627		4.41	0.84	5.00

### 3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	10	76.92%		4.69	4.47	4.30				
Agree				(4)	2	15.38%								
Neutral				(3)	1	7.69%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
13/27 (48.15%)		4.69	0.63	5.00	3591		4.47	0.82	5.00	89483	4.30	0.94	5.00	

### 4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	11	84.62%		4.62	4.50	4.39				
Agree				(4)	1	7.69%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	7.69%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
13/27 (48.15%)		4.62	1.12	5.00	3575		4.50	0.82	5.00	89432	4.39	0.87	5.00	

Florida State University  
2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: ENC3021-0002.sp20: RHETORIC

Instructor: Michael Healy \*

Response Rate: 13/27 (48.15 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	69.23%			4.54	4.31	4.32			
Agree	(4)	3	23.08%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	1	7.69%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
				0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
13/27 (48.15%)	4.54	0.88	5.00	3576	4.31	0.97	5.00	89218	4.32	0.94	5.00

6 - Michael Healy provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	76.92%			4.77	4.57	4.48			
Agree	(4)	3	23.08%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
				0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
13/27 (48.15%)	4.77	0.44	5.00	3586	4.57	0.81	5.00	98482	4.48	0.83	5.00

7 - Michael Healy communicated effectively. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	76.92%			4.77	4.54	4.42			
Agree	(4)	3	23.08%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
				0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
13/27 (48.15%)	4.77	0.44	5.00	3582	4.54	0.87	5.00	98440	4.42	0.92	5.00

8 - Michael Healy stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	69.23%			4.54	4.41	4.25			
Agree	(4)	2	15.38%								
Neutral	(3)	2	15.38%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
				0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
13/27 (48.15%)	4.54	0.78	5.00	3578	4.41	0.96	5.00	98340	4.25	1.02	5.00

Florida State University  
2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: ENC3021-0002.sp20: RHETORIC

Instructor: Michael Healy \*

Response Rate: 13/27 (48.15 %)

9 - Michael Healy provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	8	61.54%			4.54	4.55	4.26			
Agree			(4)	4	30.77%								
Neutral			(3)	1	7.69%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
13/27 (48.15%)		4.54	0.66	5.00	3575	4.55	0.85	5.00	98143	4.26	1.01	5.00	

10 - Michael Healy demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div>5.00</div></div>	<div><div>4.73</div></div>	<div><div>4.59</div></div>			
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
13/27 (48.15%)		5.00	0.00	5.00	3571		4.73	0.65	5.00	97984		4.59	0.75	5.00

11 - Michael Healy demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	92.31%			4.92	4.67	4.60			
Agree				(4)	1	7.69%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
13/27 (48.15%)		4.92	0.28	5.00	3571		4.67	0.69	5.00	98127	4.60	0.73	5.00	

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Excellent				(5)	8	61.54%			4.31	4.34	4.22				
Above Satisfactory				(4)	2	15.38%									
Satisfactory				(3)	2	15.38%									
Below Satisfactory				(2)	1	7.69%									
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
13/27 (48.15%)		4.31	1.03	5.00	3567		4.34	0.94	5.00	89072		4.22	0.99	5.00	

Florida State University  
2020 Spring Course Evaluation



**Course:** ENC3021-0002.sp20: RHETORIC  
**Instructor:** Michael Healy \*  
**Response Rate:** 13/27 (48.15 %)

**13 - Overall rating for Michael Healy -**

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent			(5)	10	76.92%								
Above Satisfactory			(4)	3	23.08%								
Satisfactory			(3)	0	0.00%								
Below Satisfactory			(2)	0	0.00%								
Poor			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
13/27 (48.15%)		4.77	0.44	5.00	3558	4.54	0.86	5.00	97820	4.36	0.95	5.00	

**14 - What is your year in school?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1st year	(1)	1	7.69%							
Sophomore	(2)	4	30.77%							
Junior	(3)	6	46.15%							
Senior	(4)	2	15.38%							
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%							
0 25 50 100										
Response Rate										
13/27 (48.15%)										

**15 - What is your cumulative GPA?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%							
2.0-2.49	(2)	1	7.69%							
2.5-2.99	(3)	5	38.46%							
3.0-3.49	(4)	1	7.69%							
3.5-4.0	(5)	6	46.15%							
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%							
0 25 50 100										
Response Rate										
13/27 (48.15%)										

**16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
A	(1)	6	46.15%							
B	(2)	6	46.15%							
C	(3)	1	7.69%							
D	(4)	0	0.00%							
F	(5)	0	0.00%							
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%							
0 25 50 100										
Response Rate										
13/27 (48.15%)										

# Florida State University

## 2020 Spring Course Evaluation



**Course:** ENC3021-0002.sp20: RHETORIC  
**Instructor:** Michael Healy \*  
**Response Rate:** 13/27 (48.15 %)

### 17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	11	84.62%	<div><div></div></div>	
No	(2)	2	15.38%	<div><div></div></div>	
				0 25 50 100	
				<b>Response Rate</b>	
				13/27 (48.15%)	

### 18 - What aspects of the course and/or Michael Healy's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	7/27 (25.93%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very good at pulling interesting readings and having relevant conversations with students about the course material.</li> <li>• lectures, open for questions, working w other students, interactive activities</li> <li>• Very tech savvy, great transition to online learning. Very kind person, made it easy to enjoy class and explained things in ways that were very helpful and positive. I never felt uncomfortable about asking questions in class, which I usually do.</li> <li>• Professor Healy's lectures were very beneficial. They helped me understand the material so that I could succeed on tests and writing assignments. Personally, I found rhetoric to be extremely confusing, but the in-class lectures and Zoom meetings have helped immensely.</li> <li>• His discussions were very insightful and opened up my mind to different theories on rhetoric.</li> <li>• Super understanding and helpful throughout the entire semester. He utilized technology and clearly was prepared, knew a lot about the material and made sure people understood content.</li> <li>• Professor Healy communicated extremely efficiently as he was the only one of my professors to consistently update students on the coronavirus situation as well as his plans to move the class online, updating us various times over break and keeping us in the loop with weekly Zoom sessions.</li> </ul>	

### 19 - What aspects of the course and/or Michael Healy's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	5/27 (18.52%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• honestly nothing</li> <li>• Kind of hard to follow some of the lectures as a visual learner. Maybe more powerpoints or some sort of group digital whiteboard usage.</li> <li>• More constructive feedback on written assignments like CRRs and QQC's would have given me more direction within the course. Like I said before, I found this subject to be very confusing when reading and studying on my own. More feedback on those assignments from the instructor would have helped.</li> <li>• Collaboratives were my least favorite aspect of this course, especially when we went virtual just because group projects can be problematic. Other than that everything was manageable and made sense throughout the course.</li> <li>• The way that lecture notes are presented during class is confusing to follow along with and it would be much easier to understand if typed on a Word document or a PowerPoint presentation.</li> </ul>	

### 20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate	3/27 (11.11%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Professor Healy was overall very helpful. He was understanding of outside issues and he was more than willing to work with students to help them succeed. It was obvious that he wanted us to succeed just as much as we wanted to. He was very passionate about the subject matter, which made learning it much easier.</li> <li>• He was an amazing professor although having stuff due on Easter as well as discussion posts due at weird times was not the best.</li> <li>• Thank you for being so understanding and patient throughout the entire semester.</li> </ul>	