**PEER REVIEW FEEDBACK**

**Used in support of: INFO 3140, INFO 3240, INFO 3300, and INFO 4240**

**\* Review the assignment document and class rubrics before completing**

**Purpose:**

The purposes of the peer-review process are three-fold.

The first purpose is to encourage students to produce the best possible materials by providing a specific and structured proofreading and review process before the product is turned into the instructor for grading.

The second purpose is to allow peer-to-peer instruction, having each student raise the level of learning of the class by presenting new ideas and applications of concepts to their peers.

The third purpose is to provide students with additional opportunities to practice analytical and critical-thinking skills in the course as they analyze the structures, evidence, arguments, and plans as presented by their peers.

**Feedback:**

**Assignment name: Phase 1 Review Date: 10/2/2022**

**Submission by: Morgan Henry**

**Peer reviewer: Jackson Holmbeck**

Read/review the deliverable once without pausing to write comments. Then read/review the deliverable again with the following questions in mind:

1. Does the deliverable follow the submission and assignment guidelines?

Yes Morgan has followed the guidelines. He has his documentation folder full of the solution plan which includes his business requirements, executive summary, and information package. He also has his solution worksheet and star schema located in the same documentation folder. The solution and documentation work well.

1. Does the deliverable provide evidence for critical, practical and creative thinking? Provide evidence.

Yes Morgan created very specific multidimensional business requirements which is backed up by his information package. He also Highlights very specific ways that these requirements will help the marketing department of the small ski shop.

1. Provide positive feedback that the deliverable does well.

I think you did a great job creating and organizing your documentation. I think your executive summary is very descriptive and highlights the reasons for the data mart. I also liked how you gave relevant information about what the data mart will help managers accomplish. It is very easy to navigate and sets up your project well. I also really liked how clean and simple your star schema is.

1. Provide recommendation(s) for deliverable improvement(s).

When I was looking at your ski shop solution worksheet, I noticed on lines 42 and 42 that the source does not have a table associated with the column. Are these coming from an alternative source, other than employee, that you could highlight for denormalization?

Check your datekey in the star schema because there is an \_SK after the datekey in the fact table but not in DimDate. You also have a holiday flag in the worksheet but not the star schema, check to make sure you have the matching values.

Also your team members doc still has josh and my names in it, you might want to delete those for the final project.

Check row 6 in your solution worksheet and make sure those are the correct source and destination types.