**PEER REVIEW FEEDBACK**

**Used in support of: INFO 3140, INFO 3240, INFO 3300, and INFO 4240**

**\* Review the assignment document and class rubrics before completing**

**Purpose:**

The purposes of the peer-review process are three-fold.

The first purpose is to encourage students to produce the best possible materials by providing a specific and structured proofreading and review process before the product is turned into the instructor for grading.

The second purpose is to allow peer-to-peer instruction, having each student raise the level of learning of the class by presenting new ideas and applications of concepts to their peers.

The third purpose is to provide students with additional opportunities to practice analytical and critical-thinking skills in the course as they analyze the structures, evidence, arguments, and plans as presented by their peers.

**Feedback:**

**Assignment name: Phase 2 Review Date: 10/11/2022**

**Submission by: Morgan Henry**

**Peer reviewer: Jackson Holmbeck**

Read/review the deliverable once without pausing to write comments. Then read/review the deliverable again with the following questions in mind:

1. Does the deliverable follow the submission and assignment guidelines?

Yes, Morgan does follow the submission guidelines. He gave me a zip file which contained the DM Build file where he stored his BuildTheSkiShopDM.sql file. When the solution is opened, I was able to see his documentation including the BI solution plan which he had updated the change log to reflect his corrections. I was also able to access the sql build file which was in the DMBuild file in the solution. I was able to execute the code multiple times without error.

1. Does the deliverable provide evidence for critical, practical and creative thinking? Provide evidence.

Morgan has developed a build script based on his initial IP, star schema, and object worksheet. He has detailed the types of data that he will be using and where they fit into the corresponding dimension and fact tables. He has also created good relationships between the dimension tables and fact table.

1. Provide positive feedback that the deliverable does well.

I think you did a good job at creating the build script and you didn’t use any unnecessary code. I also found it very easy to navigate to your build script in both the solution, and from your zipped file.

1. Provide recommendation(s) for deliverable improvement(s).

One thing I noticed when looking at your diagram is that you have MONTH all caps in the DimDate table. Do you want it like this? It seems like it doesn’t match the other naming conventions. I believe that was everything, good work overall!