

UNCOVERING AND MODELING COMPLEX BEHAVIORS IN SOCIAL MEDIA

Meng Jiang

Department of Computer Science and Technology, Tsinghua University
Advisor: Professor Shiqiang Yang

Contact: mjiang89@gmail.com
Homepage: www.meng-jiang.com


In This Talk

- 3 parts of works + 1 interesting discussion
- QA after each part
- Time limited: Just key ideas (General philosophy)
- Intro & QA: Technical details offline, if too much


- My Net ID: mjiang89@illinois.edu
- My Office: SC 2130
- My Interests
 - Behavior modeling and analysis
 - Recommendation and fraud detection
 - *Basketball and talk shows (Chinese, sorry)*

Background: Behaviors in Social Media

- Scientists can study user behaviors now!



- We have richer behaviors in social media!



Background: Behavior-Oriented Systems

■ Great marketing values!




Post, forward text/image

News feed ranking



Give ratings to movies




Recommender systems



Zombie followers, fraud




Anti-spam, anti-fraud




Behavioral Analysis and Modeling

- The **1st step** to implement a behavioral system
- The **basis** of social media services
- The **key problem** of social data processing



Complex Behaviors in Social Media

Social contexts



Spatial-temporal contexts



Complex Behaviors in Social Media

Cross-domain



Cross-platform



Complex Behaviors in Social Media

Suspicious




[www.buyfollowz.org]



zombie follower

ill-gotten “Likes”

Complex Behaviors in Social Media



Related Works

- Information adopting behavior prediction
 - Content-based filtering [Balabanovic et al. '97][Basu et al. AAAI'98]
 - Memory-based Collaborative Filtering [Herlock et al. SIGIR'99][Sarwar et al. WWW'01]
 - Homophily [McPherson et al. '01]
 - Social Influence [Leskovec et al. PAKDD'06]
 - Model-based CF [Yehuda KDD'08][Ma et al. CIKM'08][Ma et al. WSDM'11]
- Suspicious behavior detection
 - Duplicated content [Jindal et al. WSDM'08]
 - Spam text and image [Lim et al. CIKM'10]
 - Burst [Xie et al. KDD'12]
 - Sentiment difference [Hu et al. ICDM'14]

ROADMAP

Contextual behavior analysis & modeling

Social context-based behavioral model

Spatial and temporal context-based analysis

Cross-domain/platform behavior modeling

Cross-domain hybrid random walk algorithm

Cross-platform semi-supervised transfer learning

Suspicious behavior analysis & detection

Detecting synchronized suspicious users

Evaluating suspicious multi-faceted behaviors

ROADMAP

Contextual behavior analysis & modeling

Social context-based behavioral model

Spatial and temporal context-based analysis

Cross-domain/platform behavior modeling

Cross-domain hybrid random walk algorithm


Cross-platform semi-supervised transfer learning

Suspicious behavior analysis & detection

Detecting synchronized suspicious users

Evaluating suspicious multi-faceted behaviors

P1 & C: Contextual Behavior Modeling




	(1) Social relation	(2) User-user	(3) User-post	(4) Post content
Content filtering & CF	✗	✗	✓	✓
Social trust & Influence	✗	✓	✓	✗
Low-rank matrix factorization	✓	✗	✓	✓

Idea: Social Contextual Factors


- Personal preference: Like the content?
- Interpersonal Influence: Who is the sender?



Idea: Social Contextual Factors




China's Facebook:
Renren



China's Twitter:
Tencent Weibo

ContextMF: Social Contextual Model

$$P(\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}, \sigma_R^2) = \prod_{i=1}^M \prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{N}(R_{ij} | S_i G_j^\top \odot U_i^\top V_j, \sigma_R^2)$$



ContextMF Algorithm

- Minimize sum-of-squared errors function

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J} = & \|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}^\top \odot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{V}\|_F + \alpha \|\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{U}\|_F \\ & + \beta \|\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{V}\|_F + \gamma \|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{F}\|_F \\ & + \delta \|\mathbf{S}\|_F + \eta \|\mathbf{U}\|_F + \lambda \|\mathbf{V}\|_F\end{aligned}$$

- Block coordinate descent scheme with gradients

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \mathbf{S}} = & 2 \left(-\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{G} \odot \mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{U}) + (\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}^\top \odot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{V})\mathbf{G} \right. \\ & \left. + \gamma(\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{F}) + \delta\mathbf{S} \right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \mathbf{U}} = & 2 \left(-\mathbf{V}\mathbf{R}^\top + \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{S}^\top \odot \mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{U}) - 2\alpha\mathbf{U}\mathbf{W} \right. \\ & \left. + 2\alpha\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{U} + \eta\mathbf{U} \right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \mathbf{V}} = & 2 \left(-\mathbf{U}\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}^\top \odot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{V}) - 2\beta\mathbf{V}\mathbf{C} \right. \\ & \left. + 2\beta\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{V} + \lambda\mathbf{V} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Performance: Predicting Adoptions

Method	MAE	RMSE	$\hat{\tau}$	$\hat{\rho}$
Renren Dataset				
Content-based [1]	0.3842	0.4769	0.5409	0.5404
Item CF [25]	0.3601	0.4513	0.5896	0.5988
FeedbackTrust [22]	0.3764	0.4684	0.5433	0.5469
Influence-based [9]	0.3859	0.4686	0.5394	0.5446
SoRec [19]	0.3276	0.4127	0.6168	0.6204
SoRec [20]	0.2985	0.3537	0.7086	0.7140
Influence MF	0.3102	0.3771	0.6861	0.7006
Preference MF	0.3032	0.3762	0.6937	0.7036
Context MF	0.2416	0.3086	0.7782	0.7896
Tencent Weibo Dataset				
Content-based [1]	0.2576	0.3643	0.7728	0.7777
Item CF [25]	0.2375	0.3372	0.7867	0.8049
FeedbackTrust [22]	0.2830	0.3887	0.7094	0.7115
Influence-based [9]	0.2651	0.3813	0.7163	0.7275
SoRec [19]	0.2256	0.3325	0.7973	0.8064
SoRec [20]	0.1997	0.2962	0.8300	0.8423
Influence MF	0.2183	0.3206	0.8179	0.8258
Preference MF	0.2111	0.3088	0.8384	0.8453
Context MF	0.1514	0.2348	0.8570	0.8685


	Renren	Tencent Weibo
MAE	-19.1%	-24.2%
RMSE	-12.8%	-20.7%
Kendall's	+9.82%	+2.1%
Spearman's	+10.6%	+3.1%

Contributions

- Analyzed social contextual behaviors
- Proposed social context-based behavior prediction model ContextMF
- Improved behavior prediction performance in real social media

- Publications
 - ACM CIKM 2012 (Full. Acc. rate=13.8%.)
 - IEEE TKDE 2014 (Regular.)
 - Citation count: **85**

Spatial Temporal Contexts: Multi-faceted and Dynamic Behaviors



P2: Spatial temporal context-based behavior modeling

User behaviors


Space:
Multi-faceted

Time:
Dynamic

Tasks

Behavior
modeling

Pattern
discovery
Behavior
prediction




Tensor
sequence

Decomposition
& Completion

$$\text{Cube} \approx \text{Cube} \times \text{Cube} \times \text{Cube}$$


C & Idea

- Challenges
 - High sparsity
 - High complexity




Ideas

- Ideas
 - Flexible regularization with auxiliary data
 - Incremental updates for projection matrix




FEMA: Flexible Evolutionary Multi-faceted Analysis with Tensor Perturbation Theory



FEMA: Flexible Evolutionary Multi-faceted Analysis with Tensor Perturbation Theory

$$[(\mathbf{X}^{(m)} + \Delta\mathbf{X}^{(m)})(\mathbf{X}^{(m)} + \Delta\mathbf{X}^{(m)})^\top + \mu^{(m)} \mathbf{L}^{(m)}] \cdot (\mathbf{a}_i^{(m)} + \Delta\mathbf{a}_i^{(m)}) = (\lambda_i^{(m)} + \Delta\lambda_i^{(m)}) (\mathbf{a}_i^{(m)} + \Delta\mathbf{a}_i^{(m)})$$



FEMA Algorithm

Approximation

Require: $\mathcal{X}_t, \Delta\mathcal{X}_t, \mathbf{A}_t^{(m)}|_{m=1}^M, \lambda_t^{(m)}|_{m=1}^M$

for $m = 1, \dots, M$ **do**

for $i = 1, \dots, r^{(m)}$ **do**

 Compute $\Delta\lambda_{t,i}^{(m)}$ using

$$\Delta\lambda_i^{(m)} = \mathbf{a}_i^{(m)\top} (\mathbf{X}^{(m)} \Delta\mathbf{X}^{(m)\top} + \Delta\mathbf{X}^{(m)} \mathbf{X}^{(m)\top}) \mathbf{a}_i^{(m)}$$

 and compute

$$\lambda_{t+1,i}^{(m)} = \lambda_{t,i}^{(m)} + \Delta\lambda_{t,i}^{(m)};$$

 Compute $\Delta\mathbf{a}_{t,i}^{(m)}$ using

$$\Delta\mathbf{a}_i^{(m)} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\mathbf{a}_j^{(m)\top} (\mathbf{X}^{(m)} \Delta\mathbf{X}^{(m)\top} + \Delta\mathbf{X}^{(m)} \mathbf{X}^{(m)\top}) \mathbf{a}_i^{(m)}}{\lambda_i^{(m)} - \lambda_j^{(m)}} \mathbf{a}_j^{(m)}$$

 and compute

$$\mathbf{a}_{t+1,i}^{(m)} = \mathbf{a}_{t,i}^{(m)} + \Delta\mathbf{a}_{t,i}^{(m)} \text{ and } \mathbf{A}_{t+1}^{(m)} = \{\mathbf{a}_{t+1,i}^{(m)}\};$$

end for

end for

$$\mathcal{Y}_{t+1} = (\mathcal{X}_t + \Delta\mathcal{X}_t) \prod_{m=1}^M \times_{(m)} \mathbf{A}_{t+1}^{(m)\top};$$

return $\mathbf{A}_{t+1}^{(m)}|_{m=1}^M, \lambda_{t+1}^{(m)}|_{m=1}^M, \mathcal{Y}_{t+1}$

Bound Guarantee

core tensor



$$|\Delta\lambda_i^{(m)}| \leq 2(\lambda_{\mathbf{X}^{(m)\top} \mathbf{X}^{(m)}}^{\max})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta\mathbf{X}^{(m)}\|_2$$

projection matrix


$$|\Delta\mathbf{a}_i^{(m)}| \leq 2\|\Delta\mathbf{X}^{(m)}\|_2 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{(\lambda_{\mathbf{X}^{(m)\top} \mathbf{X}^{(m)}}^{\max})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|\lambda_i^{(m)} - \lambda_j^{(m)}|}$$

Performance: Predicting academic behaviors and mentioning behaviors


Paper: author-(affiliation)-keyword Tweet: who @-@ whom-(word)

	Microsoft Academic Search		Tencent Weibo mentions “@”	
	MAE	RMSE	MAE	RMSE
FEMA 	0.735	0.944	0.894	1.312
EMA 	0.794	1.130	0.932	1.556
EA 	0.979	1.364	1.120	1.873
Precision vs Recall				

Performance: Efficiency & Small Loss



Time vs Num. objects N



The loss is small.

Contributions

- Analyzed spatial temporal context-based behaviors: multi-faceted/dynamic
- Proposed behavioral analysis method FEMA
- Improved prediction effects and efficiency on two real datasets
- Publication
 - ACM SIGKDD 2014 (Full. Acc. rate=14.6%.)

ROADMAP

Contextual behavior analysis & modeling

Social context-based behavioral model

Spatial and temporal context-based analysis

Cross-domain/platform behavior modeling

Cross-domain hybrid random walk algorithm

Cross-platform semi-supervised transfer learning

Suspicious behavior analysis & detection

Detecting synchronized suspicious users

Evaluating suspicious multi-faceted behaviors

P3 & C: Cross-domain Behavior Modeling


- Tencent Weibo data

Domain	Size	Cross-domain Link (User-Item)	
		Adopt (+)	Refuse (-)
User	53.4K	—	—
Weibo	142K	1.47M (0.02%)	3.40M (0.04%)
Label	111	330K (5.57%)	—

- Multi-domain social media: post, label, video...
- **C1: Sparsity** and **cold start** in target domain
- **C2: Heterogeneity** in multiple domains


Idea: Social Domain as Bridge

- Reframe social media: Star-structured graph with social domain in the center
- Transfer learning
 - Auxiliary domain → Social domain → Target domain



Hybrid Random Walk Algorithm

■ Update cross-domain link weights




$$p_{ij}^{(\mathcal{UP})+} = \delta \sum_{u_k \in \mathcal{U}} r_{ik}^{(\mathcal{U})} p_{kj}^{(\mathcal{UP})+} + (1 - \delta) \sum_{p_k \in \mathcal{P}} p_{ik}^{(\mathcal{UP})+} r_{kj}^{(\mathcal{P})}$$

$$p_{ij}^{(\mathcal{UP})-} = \delta \sum_{u_k \in \mathcal{U}} r_{ik}^{(\mathcal{U})} p_{kj}^{(\mathcal{UP})-} + (1 - \delta) \sum_{p_k \in \mathcal{P}} p_{ik}^{(\mathcal{UP})-} r_{kj}^{(\mathcal{P})}$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})+}(t+1) = \delta \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})+}(t) + (1 - \delta) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})+}(t) \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{P})}$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})-}(t+1) = \delta \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})-}(t) + (1 - \delta) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})-}(t) \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{P})}$$

■ Update within-domain link weights




$$r_{ij}^{(\mathcal{U})} = \tau^{(\mathcal{P})} (\mu \sum_{p_k \in \mathcal{P}} p_{ik}^{(\mathcal{UP})+} p_{jk}^{(\mathcal{UP})+} + (1 - \mu) \sum_{p_k \in \mathcal{P}} p_{ik}^{(\mathcal{UP})-} p_{jk}^{(\mathcal{UP})-}) \\ + \tau^{(\mathcal{T})} \sum_{t_k \in \mathcal{T}} p_{ik}^{(\mathcal{UT})+} p_{jk}^{(\mathcal{UT})+} + \tau^{(\mathcal{U})} \sum_{u_k \in \mathcal{U}} r_{ik}^{(\mathcal{U})} r_{kj}^{(\mathcal{U})}$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t+1) = \\ \tau^{(\mathcal{P})} (\mu \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})+}(t) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})+}(t)^T + (1 - \mu) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})-}(t) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UP})-}(t)^T) \\ + \tau^{(\mathcal{T})} \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UT})+}(t) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UT})+}(t)^T + \tau^{(\mathcal{U})} \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t) \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t)^T$$

Hybrid Random Walk Algorithm

- On high-order star-structured graph




$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^+}(t+1) &= \delta_i \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^+}(t) + (1 - \delta_i) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^+}(t) \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{D}_i)} \\
 \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^-}(t+1) &= \delta_i \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^-}(t) + (1 - \delta_i) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^-}(t) \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{D}_i)} \\
 \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t+1) &= \sum_{\mathcal{D}_i \in \mathcal{D}} \tau_i \mu_i \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^+}(t) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^+}(t)^T \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\mathcal{D}_i \in \mathcal{D}} \tau_i (1 - \mu_i) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^-}(t) \mathbf{P}^{(\mathcal{UD}_i)^-}(t)^T \\
 &\quad + \tau^{(\mathcal{U})} \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t) \mathbf{R}^{(\mathcal{U})}(t)^T
 \end{aligned}$$

Performance: Predicting Cold-start Behaviors

- Knowledge transfer from auxiliary domains improves cold-start users' behavior prediction
 - Using aux. (label) data, saving >60% tgt. (post) data

0 user-post
100% user-label

18% user-post
100% user-label



Contributions

- Analyzed cross-domain behavior modeling problem: Using social domain as bridge
 - Proposed a novel Hybrid Random Walk method
 - Improved behavior prediction in target domain and provided effective solutions to cold start
-
- Publication
 - ACM CIKM 2012 (Full paper. Acc. rate=13.8%.)
 - IEEE TKDE 2015 (to appear. Regular.)
 - Citation count: **32**

P4: Cross-platform Behavior Modeling

Social label



Movie rating



Tweet & Retweet

同步: ★ 广播


Video, Music ...

Like

2,100,150 people like this topic

"Like" message, page


C & Idea



- Goal: Solve high sparsity problem in target platform
- Solution: Using knowledge from auxiliary platform
- Challenges
 - Heterogeneity
 - Non-uniform representation

C & Idea

Constraints to user representations:
Similarity between overlapping users



- Goal: Solve high sparsity problem in target platform
- Solution: Using knowledge from auxiliary platform
- Challenges
 - Heterogeneity
 - Non-uniform representation
- Idea
 - Partially overlapping users across platforms

XPTrans: Semi-supervised Transfer

■ Input

- Tgt./Aux. platform P/Q ;
- Behavior data $R^{(P)}/R^{(Q)}$;
- Observation $W^{(P)}/W^{(Q)}$;
- Overlapping indicator $W^{(P,Q)}$,

■ Output

- User latent representation $U^{(P)}/U^{(Q)}$;
- Item latent representation $V^{(P)}/V^{(Q)}$;
- Missing values in $R^{(P)}$

■ Objective function

Unsupervised term

Target platform

$$\mathcal{J} = \sum_{i,j} W_{i,j}^{(P)} \left(R_{i,j}^{(P)} - \sum_r U_{i,r}^{(P)} V_{r,j}^{(P)} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{i,j} W_{i,j}^{(Q)} \left(R_{i,j}^{(Q)} - \sum_r U_{i,r}^{(Q)} V_{r,j}^{(Q)} \right)^2 + \mu \sum_{i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2} W_{i_1,j_1}^{(P,Q)} W_{i_2,j_2}^{(P,Q)} \left(A_{i_1,i_2}^{(P)} - A_{j_1,j_2}^{(Q)} \right)^2$$

Auxiliary platform

Overlapping user similarity
Supervised term

$$A_{i_1,i_2}^{(P)} = \sum_{r=1}^{r_P} U_{i_1,r}^{(P)} U_{i_2,r}^{(P)}, A_{j_1,j_2}^{(Q)} = \sum_{r=1}^{r_Q} U_{j_1,r}^{(Q)} U_{j_2,r}^{(Q)}$$

Performance: Behavior Prediction

■ Baselines

- CMF: NOT using knowledge in auxiliary platform
- CBT: NOT using overlapping user but sharing “Codebook” pattern
- XPTrans-Align: Latent representation of overlaps as constraints
- **XPTrans**: Overlapping users’ latent similarity as constraints

■ XPTrans improves accuracy by 21%

	Q : Weibo tweet entity → P : Douban movie				Q : Douban book → P : Weibo tag			
	RMSE		MAP		RMSE		MAP	
	$P \cap Q$	$P \setminus Q$	$P \cap Q$	$P \setminus Q$	$P \cap Q$	$P \setminus Q$	$P \cap Q$	$P \setminus Q$
CMF [24]	0.779	1.439	0.805	0.640	0.267	0.429	0.666	0.464
CBT [10]	0.767	1.290	0.808	0.676	0.261	0.419	0.675	0.477
XPTRANS-ALIGN	0.757	1.164	0.811	0.702	0.256	0.411	0.681	0.487
XPTRANS	0.715	0.722	0.821	0.820	0.236	0.374	0.705	0.533
vs CBT	↓6.8%	↓44.0%	↑1.62%	↑21.3%	↓9.6%	↓10.8%	↑4.5%	↑11.7%
vs XPTRANS-ALIGN	↓5.5%	↓38.0%	↑1.3%	↑16.8%	↓8.0%	↓9.0%	↑3.6%	↑9.4%

Contributions

- Analyzed cross-platform behavior modeling:
Using overlapping users as bridge
- Proposed semi-supervised transfer learning
method XPTrans
- Improved behavior prediction in target platform
- Not published yet

ROADMAP

Contextual behavior analysis & modeling

Social context-based behavioral model

Spatial and temporal context-based analysis

Cross-domain/platform behavior modeling

Cross-domain hybrid random walk algorithm

Cross-platform semi-supervised transfer learning

Suspicious behavior analysis & detection

Detecting synchronized suspicious users

Evaluating suspicious multi-faceted behaviors

P5: Suspicious Zombie Followers





[www.buyfollowz.org]





[buymorelikes.com]


25,000 Facebook Likes \$265	50,000 Facebook Likes \$525	100,000 Facebook Likes \$1,000	200,000 Facebook Likes \$1,750
Lifetime Replacement Warranty	Lifetime Replacement Warranty	Lifetime Replacement Warranty	Lifetime Replacement Warranty
Dedicated 24/7 Customer Service	Dedicated 24/7 Customer Service	Dedicated 24/7 Customer Service	Dedicated 24/7 Customer Service
100% Risk Free, Try Us Today	100% Risk Free, Try Us Today	100% Risk Free, Try Us Today	100% Risk Free, Try Us Today
Order starts within 24 - 48 hours	Order starts within 24 - 48 hours	Order starts within 24 - 48 hours	Order starts within 24 - 48 hours
Order completed within 22 days	Order completed within 35 days	Order completed within 35 days	Order completed within 35 days



C1: Small Out-degree, Big Spikes



C2: Limitations of Existing Methods



Buy AB22 Propertwee
@ Buy_AB22

0 TWEETS	20 FOLLOWING	2 FOLLOWERS
-------------	-----------------	----------------



Label (+1,-1)	#followee (out-degree)	#follower (in-degree)	#post	#url in post	#hashtag in post
--------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------



Content-based


Limitation: Poor contents
for unnecessary appearance

Idea: Behavior Pattern is the Key



Behavior Pattern: Whom do Zombie Followers Connect to?



- Synchronized
- Abnormal



Synchronicity & Normality

■ Synchronicity



$$sync(u) = \frac{\sum_{(v,v') \in \mathcal{F}(u) \times \mathcal{F}(u)} \mathbf{p}(v) \cdot \mathbf{p}(v')}{d(u) \times d(u)}$$



Synchronicity & Normality

■ Normality


$$norm(u) = \frac{\sum_{(v,v') \in \mathcal{F}(u) \times \mathcal{U}} \mathbf{p}(v) \cdot \mathbf{p}(v')}{d(u) \times N}$$



Theorem: Given Normality, We have
Parabolic Lower Bound of Synchronicity

- For any distribution, “Synchronicity-Normality” plot has a parabolic lower limit



$$s_{min} = (-Mn^2 + 2n - s_b)/(1 - Ms_b)$$



CatchSync: Distance-based anomaly detection

Performance: Detecting Labelled Suspicious Accounts



- Improving detection accuracy: Complementary between Behavior-based CatchSync and Content-based SPOT



Performance: Recovering Distorted Out-degree Distribution



41M




Contributions

- Analyzed synchronized and abnormal behavioral patterns of zombie followers
- Proposed CatchSync: Detecting synchronized behaviors in large-scale graphs
- Recovered out-degree distribution to smooth and power-law-like
- Publication
 - ACM SIGKDD 2014 (Full. Acc. rate=14.6%. **Best paper finalist.**)
 - ACM TKDD 2015 (to appear. Special issue.)
 - Citation count: **18**


P6 & C: Measuring Suspicious Behaviors in Multi-modal Data

- 2 modes, and 3 modes, which is more suspicious?

Dense block: 200 minutes



Data:





120 minutes



Idea: Multi-modal Suspiciousness

- Measuring multi-modal dense blocks

Dense block+Data:



Metric: “Suspiciousness”

- Suspiciousness = Negative log likelihood of block's probability under *Erdos-Renyi-Poisson*

$$f(n, c, N, C) = -\log [Pr(Y_n = c)]$$

- Local search
- CrossSpot





Lemma Given an $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_K$ block of mass c in $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_K$ data of total mass C , the suspiciousness function is

$$f(\mathbf{n}, c, \mathbf{N}, C) = c \left(\log \frac{c}{C} - 1 \right) + C \prod_{i=1}^K \frac{n_i}{N_i} - c \sum_{i=1}^K \log \frac{n_i}{N_i}$$

Using ρ as the block's density and p is the data's density, we have the simpler formulation

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{n}, \rho, \mathbf{N}, p) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^K n_i \right) D_{KL}(\rho || p)$$

Satisfying Axioms

Density Axiom	Contrast Axiom
	
Size Axiom	Concentration Axiom
	

Advantage: “Suspiciousness”+CrossSpot

- Scoring dense blocks
- Targeting multi-modal data
- Satisfying axioms

Metrics	Method	Scores		Axioms			Multi-modal
		Blocks	1	2	3	4	
SUSPICIOUSNESS		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Mass		✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓
Density		✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
Average Degree [9]		✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	N/A
Singular Value [10]		✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
Methods	CROSSSPOT		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Subgraph [30, 10, 36]		✓	✓	✓	✓	N/A
	CopyCatch [6]		✓	✓	✓	✓	N/A
	EigenSpokes [31]		✗				N/A
	TrustRank [14, 8]		✗				N/A
	BP [28, 1]		✗				N/A

Performance: Synthetic Data

- Experiments: Synthetic data

- $1,000 \times 1,000 \times 1,000$ of 10,000 random data
- Block#1: $30 \times 30 \times 30$ of 512 3 modes
- Block#2: $30 \times 30 \times 1,000$ of 512 2 modes
- Block#3: $30 \times 1,000 \times 30$ of 512 2 modes
- Block#4: $1,000 \times 30 \times 30$ of 512 2 modes

	Recall				Overall Evaluation		
	Block #1	Block #2	Block #3	Block #4	Precision	Recall	F1 score
HOSVD ($r=20$)	93.7%	29.5%	23.7%	21.3%	0.983	0.407	0.576
HOSVD ($r=10$)	91.3%	24.4%	18.5%	19.2%	0.972	0.317	0.478
HOSVD ($r=5$)	85.7%	10.0%	9.5%	11.4%	0.952	0.195	0.324
CROSSSPOT	100%	99.9%	94.9%	95.4%	0.978	0.967	0.972

Three Real Datasets

Dataset	Mode				Mass
Retweeting	User	Root ID	IP	Time (min)	#retweet
	29.5M	19.8M	27.8M	56.9K	211.7M
Trending (Hashtag)	User	Hashtag	IP	Time (min)	#tweet
	81.2M	1.6M	47.7M	56.9K	276.9M
Network attacks (LBNL)	Src-IP	Dest-IP	Port	Time (sec)	#packet
	2,345	2,355	6,055	3,610	230,836

Manipulating Popular Trends

User \times hashtag \times IP \times minute	Mass c	Suspiciousness
$582 \times 3 \times 294 \times \mathbf{56,940}$	5,941,821	111,799,948
$188 \times 1 \times 313 \times \mathbf{56,943}$	2,344,614	47,013,868
$75 \times 1 \times 2 \times 2,061$	689,179	19,378,403

User ID	Time	IP address (city, province)	Tweet text with hashtag
USER-D	11-18 12:12:51	IP-1 (Deyang, Shandong)	#Snow# the Samsung GALAXY SII QQ Service customized version...
USER-E	11-18 12:12:53	IP-1 (Deyang, Shandong)	#Snow# the Samsung GALAXY SII QQ Service customized version...
USER-F	11-18 12:12:54	IP-2 (Zaozhuang, Shandong)	#Snow# the Samsung GALAXY SII QQ Service customized version...
USER-E	11-18 12:17:55	IP-1 (Deyang, Shandong)	#Li Ning - a weapon with a hero# good support activities!
USER-F	11-18 12:17:56	IP-2 (Zaozhuang, Shandong)	#Li Ning - a weapon with a hero# good support activities!
USER-D	11-18 12:18:40	IP-1 (Deyang, Shandong)	#Toshiba Bright Daren# color personality test to find out your sense...
USER-E	11-18 17:00:31	IP-2 (Zaozhuang, Shandong)	#Snow# the Samsung GALAXY SII QQ Service customized version...
USER-D	11-18 17:00:49	IP-2 (Zaozhuang, Shandong)	#Toshiba Bright Daren# color personality test to find out your sense...
USER-F	11-18 17:00:56	IP-2 (Zaozhuang, Shandong)	#Li Ning - a weapon with a hero# good support activities!

Contributions

- Cross modes with probability: Proposed a novel metric “suspiciousness” for multi-modal behaviors
- CrossSpot: Proposed local search algorithm for suspicious behaviors
- Publication
 - IEEE ICDM 2015 (Short.Acc. rate=18.2%.)

Summary

Complex Behaviors in Social Media: Analysis, Models and Applications

Contextual behavior

1. Social contexts for information adoption
2. Spatial temporal contexts for evolutionary analysis

Cross-domain/platform

3. Hybrid random walk for cross-domain modeling
4. Semi-supervised transfer for cross-platform modeling

True/False, Honest/Suspicious

5. Detecting synchronized behaviors
6. Measuring suspiciousness of multi-modal user behaviors

Summary

Contextual

1. ContextMF
[CIKM'12][TKDE'14]
2. FEMA
[KDD'14]

Suspicious

5. CatchSync
[KDD'14 best finalist]
[TKDD'15]
6. CrossSpot
[ICDM'15]

Models & Algorithms

**Cross-domain
Cross-platform**

3. HybridRW
[CIKM'12][TKDE'15]
4. XPTrans

Achievements

- **10/12** papers as the 1st author
 - 3×IEEE/ACM Trans. (3×Regular)
 - 7×Top Conf. (5×Full, 1×Short, 1×Poster)
- Selected papers
 - “Catching sync...”: SIGKDD’14 **best paper finalist**
 - “Social context...”: CIKM’12 & TKDE’14 (Cited by **85**)
- Total citation count: 290
- Awards
 - National Scholarship
 - Sohu research scholarship

Journal Papers

- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Fei Wang, Wenwu Zhu and Shiqiang Yang. “Social Recommendation with Cross-Domain Transferable Knowledge”, in IEEE TKDE 2015. (to appear. Regular. IF=1.815.)
- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Alex Beutel, Christos Faloutsos and Shiqiang Yang. “Catching Synchronized Behaviors in Large Networks: A Graph Mining Approach”, in ACM TKDD 2015. (to appear. Full. IF=1.147.)
- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Fei Wang, Wenwu Zhu and Shiqiang Yang. “Scalable Recommendation with Social Contextual Information”, in IEEE TKDE 2014. (Regular. IF=1.815. 10 citations till 08/2015.)
- Lu Liu, Feida Zhu, **Meng Jiang**, Jiawei Han, Lifeng Sun and Shiqiang Yang. “Mining Diversity on Social Media Networks”, in Multimedia Tools and Applications 2012.

Conference Papers (cont.)

- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Alex Beutel, Christos Faloutsos and Shiqiang Yang. “Detecting Suspicious Following Behavior in Multimillion-Node Social Networks”, in WWW 2014. (Poster. 9 citations till 09/2015.)
- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Rui Liu, Qiang Yang, Fei Wang, Wenwu Zhu and Shiqiang Yang. “Social Contextual Recommendation”, in CIKM 2012. (Full. Acc. rate=13.4%. 74 citations till 09/2015.)
- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Fei Wang, Qiang Yang, Wenwu Zhu and Shiqiang Yang. “Social Recommendation across Multiple Relational Domains”, in CIKM 2012. (Full. Acc. rate=13.4%. 32 citations till 08/2015.)
- Lu Liu, Jie Tang, Jiawei Han, **Meng Jiang** and Shiqiang Yang. “Mining Topic-Level Influence in Heterogeneous Networks”, in CIKM 2010.


Conference Papers

- **Meng Jiang**, Alex Beutel, Peng Cui, Bryan Hooi, Shiqiang Yang and Christos Faloutsos. “A General Suspiciousness Metric for Dense Blocks in Multimodal Data”, in IEEE ICDM 2015. (Short. Acc. Rate=18.2%.)
- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Alex Beutel, Christos Faloutsos and Shiqiang Yang. “CatchSync: Catching Synchronized Behavior in Large Directed Graph”, in ACM SIGKDD 2014. (Full. **Best paper finalist**. Acc. rate=14.6%. **9** citations till 09/2015.)
- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Fei Wang, Xinran Xu, Wenwu Zhu and Shiqiang Yang. “FEMA: Flexible Evolutionary Multi-faceted Analysis for Dynamic Behavioral Pattern Discovery”, in ACM SIGKDD 2014. (Full. Acc. rate=14.6%.)
- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Alex Beutel, Christos Faloutsos and Shiqiang Yang. “Inferring Strange Behavior from Connectivity Pattern in Social Networks”, in PAKDD 2014. (Full. Acc. rate=10.8%. **10** citations till 08/2015.)

Submitted Papers

- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, and Christos Faloutsos. “Suspicious Behavior Detection: Current Trends and Future Directions”, to IEEE Intelligent Systems Magazine Special Issue on Online Behavioral Analysis and Modeling (IS, submitted).
- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Nicholas Jing Yuan, Xing Xie, and Shiqiang Yang. “Little is Much: Bridging Cross-Platform Behaviors Through Small Overlapped Crowds”, to AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI, submitted).
- **Meng Jiang**, Peng Cui, Alex Beutel, Christos Faloutsos and Shiqiang Yang. “Inferring Lockstep Behavior from Connectivity Pattern in Large Graphs”, to Knowledge and Information Systems (KAIS, accepted with minor revision).

Discussion: 1+1 > 2?



Behavioral data


- User-based
- **Informal**, noisy, huge, unstructured

Publication data

- Text-based
- **Formal**, clean, high-quality, unstructured

Discussion: Cross-Network!

Behavior + Information



Behavioral networks:

- Social relational links
- Content-based links
- Behavioral links (scattered)
- *Noisy but Daily increasing*

Challenges:

- Both huge + sparse + heterogeneous
- When structured meets unstructured
- When clean meets noisy

Applications:

- Behavior prediction
- Suspicious behavior detection
- Information network enrichment

Information networks:

- Document (paper, news) – Concept links
- Concept relational links
- *Unstructured but Valuable*

THANK YOU!
