

Exercise Number: 2.4.3**Proposition.** Define

$$\mathcal{J} = \{\text{all intervals contained in } [0, 1]\}.$$

Let \mathbb{P} be defined as the “length” of I . Then \mathbb{P} as defined here is countably monotonic: that is to say, for any set $A \in \mathcal{J}$ and any countable collection of sets $\{A_i\}$ that are all subsets of \mathcal{J} and $A \subseteq \cup_{\forall i} A_i$, we have

$$P(A) \leq \sum_{\forall i} \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

Proof. We demonstrate this in several steps, as outlined by the text. Let I have endpoints $a < b$.

1. First we demonstrate that if I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n is a finite collection of intervals, and if $\cup_{j=1}^n I_j \supseteq I$ for some interval I , then $\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(I_j) \geq \mathbb{P}(I)$. Since $\cup_{j=1}^n I_j \supseteq I$, there must exist a subset of intervals I_{α_j} with $a_{\alpha_j} < b_{\alpha_j}$ being the endpoints, indexed by an index set $\alpha_j \in S$, cardinality k , such that

$$a_{\alpha_1} \leq a \leq a_{\alpha_2} \leq b_{\alpha_1} < b_{\alpha_2} \cdots < b \leq b_{\alpha_k}.$$

In other words, this subset of intervals encapsulates I . Then evidently,

$$\mathbb{P}(I) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{P}(I_{\alpha_j}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(I_j).$$

2.

3.