

## Technical Note 6.1

### Error Variance and Design Considerations

In Section 6.2, we presented an informal argument for the potential advantage of the correlated-scores design relative to the independent-groups design. A more formal argument is as follows. From Appendix 5.1, the variance of the sampling distribution of the difference of two means may be written as

$$\sigma_D^2 = (1/n) \left( \sigma_{Y_1}^2 + \sigma_{Y_2}^2 - 2\rho\sigma_{Y_1}\sigma_{Y_2} \right)$$

where  $\rho$  is the correlation between the conditions. Assuming homogeneous variances, the equation can be rewritten as

$$\sigma_D^2 = (1/n)(2\sigma^2 - 2\rho\sigma^2) = (1/n)(2\sigma^2)(1 - \rho)$$

That correlation is zero for the independent-groups design but greater than zero in both matched-pairs and repeated-measures designs. As a result,  $\sigma_D^2$  will be smaller in

correlated-scores designs ( $\sigma_{cor}^2$ ) than in independent-groups designs ( $\sigma_{ind}^2$ ). In fact,

$\sigma_{cor}^2 / \sigma_{ind}^2 = 1 - \rho$ . Therefore, correlated-scores designs will have smaller denominators and consequently larger  $t$  ratios than independent-group designs.