## Semantic Theory 2025: Exercise 6 Key

## Question 1

A model structure for plural terms is a tuple  $M = ((U_M, \leq), V_M)$ , where  $(U_M, \leq)$  is an atomic join semi-lattice with universe  $U_M$  and individual part relation  $\leq$ , and  $V_M$  is an interpretation function mapping elements of the logical language to elements of the universe.

Consider the model  $M_1$ , where the universe  $U_{M_1}$  is generated by the following set of atoms:  $\{a, b, j, m, s\}$ .

a. Assume that  $[\![John, Mary, and Bill sing]\!]^{M_1} = 1$ ,  $[\![Albert sings]\!]^{M_1} = 1$ , and  $[\![X sing(s)]\!]^{M_1} = 0$  for all other individuals (and proper sums) X for the predicate  $sing \in P_d$ . Then:

```
V_{M_1}(sing) =  the join semi-lattice generated by \{j, m, b, a\}
= \{j, m, b, a, j \sqcup m, j \sqcup b, j \sqcup a, m \sqcup b, m \sqcup a, b \sqcup a, j \sqcup m \sqcup b, j \sqcup m \sqcup a, j \sqcup b \sqcup a, m \sqcup b \sqcup a, j \sqcup m \sqcup b \sqcup a\}
```

b. Assume that  $[\![John\ and\ Mary\ meet]\!]^{M_1}=1$ ,  $[\![Albert\ and\ Sally\ meet]\!]^{M_1}=1$ ,  $[\![Bill\ and\ Mary\ meet]\!]^{M_1}=1$ , and  $[\![X\ meet]\!]^{M_1}=0$  for all other individuals (and proper sums) X for the predicate  $meet\in P_c$ . Then:

```
V_{M_1}(meet) = \{j \sqcup m, a \sqcup s, b \sqcup m\}
```

## Question 2

Consider the following sentence: S = "two students summarized three papers"

a. How many readings does the sentence S have? List all possible readings in natural language.

## Let:

 $D_s$  = the distributive reading of "two students"

 $C_s$  = the collective reading of "two students"

 $D_s$  = the distributive reading of "three papers"

 $D_s$  = the collective reading of "three papers"

We have the following readings:

- i.  $C_s > C_p$ : two students together wrote one summary that summarizes all three papers
- ii.  $C_s > D_p$ : two students together wrote one summary for each of the three papers
- iii.  $D_s > C_p$ : two students each wrote one summary that summarizes all three papers (not necessarily the same three for both students)
- iv.  $D_s > D_p$ : two students each wrote one summary for each of the three papers (not necessarily the same three for both students)
- v.  $C_p > C_s$ : same as (i)
- vi.  $C_p > D_s$ : two students each wrote one summary that summarizes all three papers (the same three for both students)
- vii.  $D_p > C_s$ : for each of the three papers, there was a group of two students that summarized that paper together
- viii.  $D_p > D_s$ : for each of the three papers, there were two students who individually summarized that paper
- b. Translate each reading of S to the extended first-order logic for plural terms introduced in the lecture, which extends first-order logic with a  $\oplus$  operator, a  $\triangleleft$  operator, and variables  $X, Y, Z, \ldots$  ranging over proper sums:  $X \oplus Y$  denotes the group consisting of X and Y,  $\triangleleft$  denotes the part-of-relation.

You may (and should) also incorporate the function  $N(X) = |\{y \mid At(y) \land y \lhd X\}|$  that takes a proper sum X and returns the number of atoms in X.

```
i. (C_s > C_p):

\exists X \exists Y [student_{PL}(X) \land N(X) = 2 \land paper_{PL}(Y) \land N(Y) = 3 \land summarize(X, Y)]
```

- ii.  $(C_s > D_p)$ :  $\exists X \exists Y [student_{PL}(X) \land N(X) = 2 \land paper_{PL}(Y) \land N(Y) = 3 \land \forall w [(At(w) \land w \triangleleft Y) \rightarrow summarize(X, w)]]$
- iii.  $(D_s > C_p)$ :  $\exists X[student_{PL}(X) \land N(X) = 2 \land \forall z[(At(z) \land z \triangleleft X) \rightarrow \exists Y[paper_{PL}(Y) \land N(Y) = 3 \land summarize(z, Y)]]]$
- iv.  $(D_s > D_p)$ :  $\exists \mathbf{X}[student_{PL}(\mathbf{X}) \land N(\mathbf{X}) = 2 \land \forall z[(At(z) \land z \lhd \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \exists \mathbf{Y}[paper_{PL}(\mathbf{Y}) \land N(\mathbf{Y}) = 3 \land \forall w[(At(w) \land w \lhd \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow summarize(z, w)]]]]$
- v.  $(C_p > C_s)$ : same as (i)
- vi.  $(C_p > D_s)$ :  $\exists Y[paper_{PL}(Y) \land N(Y) = 3 \land \exists X[student_{PL}(X) \land N(X) = 2 \land \forall z[(At(z) \land z \triangleleft X) \rightarrow summarize(z, Y)]]]$
- vii.  $(D_p > C_s)$ :  $\exists Y[paper_{PL}(Y) \land N(Y) = 3 \land \forall w[(At(w) \land w \triangleleft Y) \rightarrow \exists X[student_{PL}(X) \land N(X) = 2 \land summarize(X, w)]]]$
- viii.  $(D_p > D_s)$ :  $\exists Y[paper_{PL}(Y) \land N(Y) = 3 \land \forall w[(At(w) \land w \lhd Y) \rightarrow \exists X[student_{PL}(X) \land N(X) = 2 \land \forall z[(At(z) \land z \lhd X) \rightarrow summarize(z, w)]]]]$