Practical Course: Integrated analysis of multiple -omics data and Mendelian randomization

Contents

Introduction	1
Load data	1
PART 1	2
Determine association between triglyceride levels and gene transcription $\dots \dots \dots$	2
Bidirectional Mendelian randomization	4
Evaluate instrumental variable	4
Estimate an effect of triglyceride levels on gene transcription $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	6
Estimate an effect of gene transcription on triglyceride levels	7
PART 2	8

Introduction

One of the issues with epigenome-wide and transcriptome-wide association studies, in contrast to genome-wide association studies, is interpreting what is cause and what is consequence. In this practical course you will use genetic variants to infer a causal relationship between variation in blood triglyceride levels, of which high levels are a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, and gene transcription and DNA methylation of blood cells.

We expect that everyone will be able to complete Part 1 on transcription (questions 1-25), Part 2 on DNA methylation is for fast students. Paste the answers to your questions in a Word document and mail them to b.t.heijmans@lumc.nl with your name both in the document AND in the file name.

Load data

load(url("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/molepi/FOS2017/master/integrative omics/data.RData"))

• Use ls(), str and summary to explore the data.

```
ls() # list of objects in environment

## [1] "cpg_snps" "cpgs" "tg" "tg_snp"

## [5] "transcript_snps" "transcripts"

str(tg) # structure of object tg

## num [1:3000] 0.91 0.04 0.15 0.63 0.3 1.17 -0.53 -0.2 1.42 0.6 ...
```

summary(transcripts) # summary of object transcripts

```
##
        abcg1
                          srebf1
                                           srebf2
                                                              sqle
                                                                :-0.510
##
    Min.
            :2.910
                             :4.930
                                               :5.870
                     Min.
                                       Min.
                                                        Min.
    1st Qu.:5.100
                     1st Qu.:6.010
                                       1st Qu.:6.700
                                                        1st Qu.: 2.430
    Median :5.400
                     Median :6.210
                                       Median :6.820
                                                        Median : 2.670
##
            :5.365
                             :6.209
##
    Mean
                     Mean
                                       Mean
                                               :6.829
                                                        Mean
                                                                : 2.662
##
    3rd Qu.:5.680
                     3rd Qu.:6.420
                                       3rd Qu.:6.960
                                                        3rd Qu.: 2.920
##
    Max.
            :6.830
                     Max.
                             :7.430
                                       Max.
                                               :7.890
                                                        Max.
                                                                : 4.220
    NA's
                                       NA's
                                                                :78
##
            :78
                     NA's
                             :78
                                               :78
                                                        NA's
```

summary(cpgs) # summary of object cpgs

```
cg06500161
                                            cg16000331
                                                              cg09984392
##
                         cg11024682
           :-0.3200
                                                  :-3.980
                                          Min.
##
   Min.
                               :-1.1300
                                                                    :-4.790
                       Min.
                                                            Min.
    1st Qu.: 0.2700
                       1st Qu.:-0.6100
                                          1st Qu.:-2.860
                                                            1st Qu.:-2.860
   Median: 0.3900
                       Median :-0.4900
                                          Median :-2.640
                                                            Median :-2.560
##
    Mean
           : 0.4022
                       Mean
                               :-0.4799
                                          Mean
                                                  :-2.645
                                                            Mean
                                                                    :-2.596
    3rd Qu.: 0.5300
                       3rd Qu.:-0.3600
                                          3rd Qu.:-2.420
                                                            3rd Qu.:-2.280
    Max.
           : 1.7500
                       Max.
                               : 0.8100
                                          Max.
                                                  :-0.540
                                                            Max.
                                                                    :-0.870
    NA's
           :145
                       NA's
                               :145
                                          NA's
                                                  :145
                                                            NA's
                                                                    :145
##
```

The data are already preprocessed to be suitable for linear regression:

- **tg** = log-transformed triglyceride levels (log mmol per L)
- transcripts = normalized and log-transformed gene transcript counts per million
- cpgs = normalized and M-transformed DNA methylation levels
- tg_snp, transcript_snps, cpg_snps = genotypes converted to dosages, i.e. if A and B were the possible alleles for genetic variant X, then the dosage of X would be 0 if AA, 1 if AB or BA and 2 if BB.
- tg, transcripts and cpgs have been adjusted for age, gender and cell counts
- 1. How may genes and CpGs do the data contain?
- 2. How many individuals have been measured?
- 3. Use Gene Cards to look up the genes in **transcripts**, what is their reported function?
- 4. Use USCS Genome Browser to look up the CpGs in cpgs, what is their nearest gene?
- 5. Determine the allele frequencies for $\mathbf{tg_snp}$, see Allele frequency.

```
prop <- prop.table(table(tg_snp)) # calculate genotype frequencies
c(A=as.numeric(prop[1] + 0.5 * prop[2]), B=as.numeric(prop[3] + 0.5 * prop[2])) # calculate allele freq</pre>
```

```
## 0.1353333 0.8646667
```


PART 1

Determine association between triglyceride levels and gene transcription

You will first identify if there is an association between triglyceride levels and transcription for these genes involved in lipid metabolism.

- Create a scatter plot with triglyceride levels in the x-axis and ABCG1 transcription on the y-axis.
- Use **lm** to fit a linear model with triglycerides as explanatory variable and *ABCG1* transcription as response variable and add this to the plot using **abline**.

```
plot(tg, transcripts$abcg1)
abline(lm(transcripts$abcg1 ~ tg))
```



- 6. Are higher triglyceride levels associated with higher or lower ABCG1 transcription levels?
- Use summary on the fitted model to obtain the model coefficients.

summary(lm(transcripts\$abcg1 ~ tg))

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = transcripts$abcg1 ~ tg)
##
##
  Residuals:
##
        Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                     3Q
                                             Max
   -2.14154 -0.26168 0.02613
                               0.29202
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                5.445573
                           0.008943
                                      608.89
                                               <2e-16 ***
##
   (Intercept)
##
  tg
               -0.371725
                           0.016954
                                      -21.93
                                               <2e-16 ***
##
                           0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
##
## Residual standard error: 0.44 on 2918 degrees of freedom
##
     (80 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared: 0.1414, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1411
## F-statistic: 480.7 on 1 and 2918 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

- 7. Is this association statistically significant?
- 8. Should you use a multiple testing correction? If so, for how many test should you adjust?

You could evaluate the association between triglyceride levels and transcription for all 4 genes with the previous approach, however this would be infeasible if we were to scale this up to all 30,000 genes.

• Use a for-loop that iterates over the transcripts and reports the association coefficients for all genes in one output variable.

```
lm_transcripts_tg <- data.frame() # create new data frame
for (i in 1:ncol(transcripts)) { # iterate over number of transcripts
    fit <- lm(transcripts[, i] ~ tg) # fit model for every transcript
    coefficients <- summary(fit)$coefficients[2, , drop=F] # grab the second row of the coefficients, the
    lm_transcripts_tg <- rbind(lm_transcripts_tg, coefficients) # append the results to data frame
}
rownames(lm_transcripts_tg) <- colnames(transcripts)
lm_transcripts_tg

## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## abcg1    -0.37172460 0.016954443 -21.924908 9.266156e-99
## srebf1    -0.08833961 0.011964755    -7.383320 2.003652e-13
```

- 9. Which genes are associated with triglyceride levels?
- 10. Based on these associations, can you infer whether blood triglycerides have an effect on transcription in blood cells or whether transcription of these genes has an effect on triglyceride levels? If not, what do you think is biologically more likely?

7.182434 8.653891e-13

7.760291 1.163003e-14

Bidirectional Mendelian randomization

0.12144071 0.015648990

To infer cause and consequence you will use bidirectional Mendelian randomization using genetic variants as causal anchors. You will first estimate an effect of triglyceride levels on gene transcription for the triglyceride-associated genes and then you will estimate the effect of transcription on triglyceride levels.

Evaluate instrumental variable


srebf2 0.05557608 0.007737777

sqle

Mendelian randomization requires genetic variants associated with your explanatory variable. Object **tg_snp** contains genotype dosages of a genetic variant associated with triglyceride levels obtain from a GWAS on lipid levels. You will first verify this association.

• Create a boxplot of triglyceride levels grouped by tg_snp dosage.

```
boxplot(tg ~ tg_snp)
```



- 11. What would be the risk allele, i.e. the allele associated with higher triglyceride levels, if AA is 0 and BB is 2?
 - Use **lm** to fit a linear model with trigly ceride levels as explanatory variable and the genetic variant as response variable.

```
summary(lm(tg ~ tg_snp))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = tg ~ tg_snp)
##
##
  Residuals:
                       Median
##
       Min
                  1Q
                                     3Q
                                             Max
##
   -2.80352 -0.32352 -0.03352
                               0.29648
                                         2.36648
##
##
  Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                           0.03234
                0.43999
                                      13.60 < 2e-16 ***
##
  (Intercept)
##
  tg_snp
               -0.12823
                           0.01799
                                      -7.13 1.26e-12 ***
##
## Signif. codes:
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.4763 on 2918 degrees of freedom
     (80 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared: 0.01712,
                                     Adjusted R-squared: 0.01679
## F-statistic: 50.83 on 1 and 2918 DF, p-value: 1.263e-12
```

- 12. What percentage of variance in triglyceride levels are explained by the genetic variant (see Adjusted R-squared)?
- 13. An F-statistic > 10 is considered a good instrumental variable, is the genetic variant a good instrumental variable for triglyceride levels?
- 14. Predict triglyceride levels (in mmol per L) for each dosage of the genetic variant.

```
exp(0.43999 + c(AA=0, AB=1, BB=2) * -0.12823)

## AA AB BB

## 1.552692 1.365827 1.201451
```

Estimate an effect of triglyceride levels on gene transcription

You will now estimate the effect of triglyceride levels on gene transcription using the genetic variant as a causal anchor.

- Load library AER.
- Use **ivreg** to fit a two-stage least-squares model with *ABCG1* gene transcription as explanatory variable, triglyceride levels as response variable and the genetic variant as instrumental variable.
- Use summary to obtain the model coefficients.

```
library(AER)
summary(ivreg(transcripts$abcg1 ~ tg | tg_snp))
```

```
##
## Call:
## ivreg(formula = transcripts$abcg1 ~ tg | tg_snp)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                   30
## -2.28716 -0.27762 0.01772 0.29885 1.67370
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                          0.03067 179.488 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept) 5.50457
## tg
              -0.64221
                          0.13510 -4.753 2.1e-06 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.4588 on 2918 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-Squared: 0.06655, Adjusted R-squared: 0.06623
## Wald test: 22.6 on 1 and 2918 DF, p-value: 2.096e-06
```

- 15. A P-value < 0.05 of a two-stage least squares model is evidence of an effect, is there evidence of an effect of triglyceride levels on ABCG1 gene transcription?
- 16. Compare the effect size estimate and standard error of the instrumental variable analysis with the earlier association estimate and standard error, what could be an explanation for the difference?
 - Use a modified version of the for-loop to obtain the coefficients for the other genes.

```
ivreg_transcripts_tg <- data.frame() # create new data frame
for (i in 1:ncol(transcripts)) { # iterate over number of transcripts
    fit <- ivreg(transcripts[, i] ~ tg | tg_snp) # fit model for every transcript
    coefficients <- summary(fit)$coefficients[2, , drop=F] # grab the second row of the coefficients, the
    ivreg_transcripts_tg <- rbind(ivreg_transcripts_tg, coefficients) # append the results to data frame
}
rownames(ivreg_transcripts_tg) <- colnames(transcripts)
ivreg_transcripts_tg</pre>
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## abcg1 -0.6422101 0.13510258 -4.753500 2.096117e-06
## srebf1 -0.1125255 0.09150152 -1.229766 2.188838e-01
## srebf2 0.1991457 0.06252502 3.185056 1.462514e-03
## sqle 0.4213258 0.12689577 3.320251 9.104248e-04
```

17. For which genes is there an effect of triglyceride levels on gene transcription?

The second part of bidirectional Mendelian randomization evaluates the other direction, i.e. whether there is evidence for an effect of transcription on triglyceride levels.

Estimate an effect of gene transcription on triglyceride levels

transcript_snps contains genetic variants associated *in cis*, i.e. within 250kb of the gene center, with transcription of the genes involved in lipid metabolism obtained from a GWAS on gene transcription.

- Verify the associations between transcript levels and genotypes using a modified version of the previously used for-loop.
- The order of genes in **transcripts** corresponds to the order of associated genetic variants in **transcript** snps.

```
lm_transcripts_snps <- data.frame() # create new data frame
for (i in 1:ncol(transcripts)) { # iterate over number of transcripts
    fit <- lm(transcripts[, i] ~ transcript_snps[, i]) # fit model for every transcript
    coefficients <- summary(fit)$coefficients[2, , drop=F] # grab the second row of the coefficients, the
    coefficients <- data.frame(coefficients, R.squared=summary(fit)$adj.r.squared, F.statistic=summary(fit)
    lm_transcripts_snps <- rbind(lm_transcripts_snps, coefficients) # append the results to data frame
}
rownames(lm_transcripts_snps) <- colnames(transcripts)
lm_transcripts_snps</pre>
```

```
##
            Estimate Std..Error
                                   t.value
                                               Pr...t..
                                                          R.squared
## abcg1 0.10735219 0.017075927
                                  6.286756 3.725822e-10 0.013016726
## srebf1 0.13416055 0.007945055 16.886043 4.127996e-61 0.088650916
## srebf2 0.01766749 0.005278856 3.346841 8.277286e-04 0.003480261
          0.11169295 0.017676553 6.318706 3.039960e-10 0.013151021
## sqle
##
          F.statistic
## abcg1
             39.52331
## srebf1
            285.13846
## srebf2
             11.20135
## sqle
             39.92605
```

- 18. Are the genetic variants good instrumental variables?
- 19. A single genetic variant often explains a small percentage of variance in the explanatory variable, what could you do to improve the amount of variance explained to increase the power of the analysis?

Estimate an effect of gene transcription on triglyceride levels.

```
ivreg_tg_transcripts <- data.frame() # create new data frame
for (i in 1:ncol(transcripts)) { # iterate over number of transcripts
    fit <- ivreg(tg ~ transcripts[, i] | transcript_snps[, i]) # fit model for every transcript
    coefficients <- summary(fit)$coefficients[2, , drop=F] # grab the second row of the coefficients, the
    ivreg_tg_transcripts <- rbind(ivreg_tg_transcripts, coefficients) # append the results to data frame
}
rownames(ivreg_tg_transcripts) <- colnames(transcripts)
ivreg_tg_transcripts</pre>
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## abcg1 -0.08493841 0.15756431 -0.5390714 0.5898787
## srebf1 -0.06718198 0.09460779 -0.7101105 0.4776924
## srebf2 0.36746763 0.71345203 0.5150558 0.6065530
## sqle 0.01625879 0.18534746 0.0877206 0.9301048
```

- 20. Is there evidence of an effect of gene expression on triglyceride levels?
- 21. Give an explanation for the lack of evidence for an effect of trigly ceride levels on SREBF1 and vice versa.
- 22. Given that your transcription was measured in whole blood, how would you determine if the effect of triglyceride levels on transcription occurs only in blood or also in other tissues?
- 23. And if it the effect is present only in blood, how would you determine if the effect occurs in all blood cells or if it is specific to a certain cell type, e.g. monocytes or T-cells?
- 24. Google *pleiotropy* and describe how this phenomenon can influence your Mendelian randomization results.

You have now identified several genes where triglyceride levels have an effect on transcription, potentially interesting targets in the etiology of cardiovascular diseases. In part 2 you will use Mendelian randomization to infer cause and consequence between triglyceride levels and DNA methylation.

PART 2

- Replace transcripts with cpgs and transcript_snps with cpg_snps in the for-loops of Part 1.
- 26. Is there an association between triglyceride levels and DNA methylation for the 4 CpGs in cpgs?
- 27. Is there evidence of an effect of triglyceride levels on DNA methylation for the 4 CpGs in cpgs?
- 28. Are the genetic variants in **cpg_snps** good instruments for the CpGs in **cpgs**?
- 29. Is there evidence of an effect of DNA methylation on triglyceride levels for the 4 CpGs in cpgs?
- 30. Is there an association between DNA methylation for the 4 CpGs in **cpgs** and transcription for the 4 genes in **transcripts**?

```
lm_transcripts_cpgs <- data.frame() # create new data frame
for (i in 1:ncol(transcripts)) { # iterate over number of transcripts
    fit <- lm(transcripts[, i] ~ cpgs[, i]) # fit model for every transcript and corresponding CpG
    coefficients <- summary(fit)$coefficients[2, , drop=F] # grab the second row of the coefficients, the
    coefficients <- data.frame(coefficients, R.squared=summary(fit)$adj.r.squared) # add adjusted R-square
    lm_transcripts_cpgs <- rbind(lm_transcripts_cpgs, coefficients) # append the results to data frame
}
rownames(lm_transcripts_cpgs) <- paste(colnames(transcripts), colnames(cpgs), sep="_")
lm_transcripts_cpgs</pre>
```

```
##
                        Estimate Std..Error
                                               t.value
                                                           Pr...t..
## abcg1_cg06500161 -0.72679237 0.04123655 -17.624956 4.447824e-66
## srebf1_cg11024682 -0.33976035 0.02930628 -11.593430 2.113061e-30
## srebf2_cg16000331 -0.09533609 0.01097351
                                             -8.687838 6.112081e-18
## sqle_cg09984392
                     -0.15154543 0.01630232 -9.295943 2.812497e-20
##
                      R.squared
## abcg1_cg06500161 0.09787434
## srebf1_cg11024682 0.04465665
## srebf2_cg16000331 0.02543250
## sqle_cg09984392
                     0.02905836
```

- 31. Can you infer whether DNA methylation affects gene expression or visa versa?
- 32. One of the assumptions of Mendelian randomization is that an instrument does not directly affect the response variable independent of its effect on the explanatory variable. Explain how this can be a problem when using genetic variants *in cis* with both CpG and gene.