

FUNCTIONAL COMPLETENESS IN CPL *via* CORRESPONDENCE ANALYSIS

\* Department of Logic and Cognitive Science, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

Dorota.Leszczyńska[at]amu.edu.pl

Marcin.Jukiewicz[at]amu.edu.pl

\*\* Department of Logic, Faculty of Philosophy, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

petrukhin[at]philos.msu.ru

shangin[at]philos.msu.ru

**Acknowledgements.** The authors' special thanks go to the audience of a research seminar at Department of Logic and Cognitive Science of Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań.

Dorota Leszczyńska-Jasion and Marcin Jukiewicz were supported financially by Polish National Science Centre, grant no. 2017/26/E/HS1/00127.

Yaroslav Petrukhin is supported by the grant from Polish National Science Centre, grant no. 2017/25/B/HS1/01268.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $x, y, z \in \{1, 0\}$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_\circ^\circ$ ,  $v_x, v_y$ , and  $v_z$  be valuations such that  $v_x(A) = x$ ,  $v_y(B) = y$ , and  $v_z(A \circ B) = z$ . Then if  $f_\circ(x, y) = z$ , then  $A^{v_x}, B^{v_y} \Rightarrow (A \circ B)^{v_z}$  is provable in the respective  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\circ$ .

*Proof.* Consider the case  $f_\circ(0, 0) = 0$ . We need to show that  $\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)$  is provable in the respective  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\circ \in \{\circ_\perp, \wedge, \nrightarrow, \circ_1, \nleftarrow, \circ_2, \vee, \vee\}$ . If  $\circ = \circ_1$ , then we have  $R_\circ^{(01)}$  in the calculus, if  $\circ \in \{\circ_\perp, \nrightarrow, \nleftarrow\}$ , then we have  $R_\circ^{(02)}$ , if  $\circ = \circ_2$ , then there is  $R_\circ^{(03)}$ , and if  $\circ = \vee$ , then one of the three rules is present. In these cases we follow the appropriate scheme of derivation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{A \Rightarrow B, A}{\neg A, A \Rightarrow B} (\neg \Rightarrow) & \frac{B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), B}{\neg B, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow) & \frac{B \Rightarrow A, B}{\neg B, B \Rightarrow A} (\neg \Rightarrow) \\ \frac{\neg A, A \Rightarrow B}{\neg A, A \circ B \Rightarrow B} R_\circ^{(01)} \downarrow & \frac{\neg B, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)}{\neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow A} R_\circ^{(02)} \downarrow & \frac{\neg B, B \Rightarrow A}{\neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow A} R_\circ^{(03)} \downarrow \\ \frac{\neg A, A \circ B \Rightarrow B}{\neg A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow} (\neg \Rightarrow) & \frac{\neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow A}{\neg A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow} (\neg \Rightarrow) & \frac{\neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow A}{\neg A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow} (\neg \Rightarrow) \\ \frac{\neg A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow}{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg) & \frac{\neg A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow}{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg) & \frac{\neg A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow}{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg) \end{array}$$

In the case of  $\circ = \{\wedge, \vee\}$ , we cannot apply any of the above rules. If  $\mathcal{C}_P = \mathcal{C}_P^A$ , then we have the axiom  $A_{\circ\downarrow}^{(1)}$ , and the following shows that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}_P^A} \neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)$ :

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B \Rightarrow A, B}{\neg A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow} (\neg \Rightarrow) \times 2}{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg)$$

If  $\mathcal{C}_P = \mathcal{C}_P^R$ , then we have the rule  $R_\circ^{(1)}$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{A, B \Rightarrow A, A \circ B}{A \circ B \Rightarrow A, A, B} R_\circ^{(1)} \downarrow}{\neg A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow A} (\neg \Rightarrow) \times 2}{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), A} (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{A, \neg B \Rightarrow A, \neg(A \circ B)}{A, \neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow) \\ \hline \neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B) \quad (\text{cut})$$

Consider the case  $f_\circ(0, 0) = 1$ . We need to show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}} \neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B$  is provable in the respective  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\circ \in \{\downarrow, \equiv, \circ_{-2}, \leftarrow, \circ_{-1}, \rightarrow, \uparrow, \circ_\top\}$ . If  $\circ = \circ_{-1}$ , then we have the rule  $R_\circ^{(04)}$ , and then we follow:

$$\frac{\frac{A \Rightarrow B, A}{\neg A, A \Rightarrow B} (\neg \Rightarrow)}{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_\circ^{(04)} \downarrow$$

If  $\circ \in \{\leftarrow, \rightarrow, \circ_{\top}\}$ , then we have the rule  $R_{\circ}^{(05)}$ , and we follow:

$$\frac{\frac{B \Rightarrow A \circ B, B}{B, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow)}{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_{\circ}^{(05)} \downarrow$$

If  $\circ = \circ_{-2}$ , then we have  $R_{\circ}^{(06)}$ , and we follow:

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B \Rightarrow A, A \circ B}{\neg A \Rightarrow B, A \circ B} R_{\circ}^{(06)} \downarrow}{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

If  $\circ$  is  $\equiv$ , then one of  $R_{\circ}^{(04)}$ ,  $R_{\circ}^{(05)}$ ,  $R_{\circ}^{(06)}$  is present. Finally, assume that  $\circ \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$ . If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^A$ , then the required sequent is the axiom  $A_{\circ\uparrow}^{(II)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^R$ . Then sequent  $\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B$  is proved as follows:

$$\frac{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B, \neg A \quad \frac{\neg A, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg A, \neg B}{\neg A, \neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_{\circ}^{(II)} \uparrow}{\neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\text{cut})$$

Consider the case  $f_{\circ}(0, 1) = 0$ . We need to show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}} \neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)$  is provable in the respective  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\circ \in \{\circ_{\perp}, \wedge, \nrightarrow, \circ_1, \downarrow, \equiv, \circ_{-2}, \leftarrow\}$ . If  $\circ = \circ_{-2}$ , then we have  $R_{\circ}^{(06)}$ , and we follow:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\neg A, B \Rightarrow B}{A \circ B, B \Rightarrow A} R_{\circ}^{(06)} \uparrow}{A \circ B, \neg A, B \Rightarrow} (\neg \Rightarrow)}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

If  $\circ = \circ_1$ , then we have  $R_{\circ}^{(07)}$ , and then we follow:

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B, B \Rightarrow A \circ B}{B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), A \circ B} (\Rightarrow \neg)}{\frac{B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), A}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} R_{\circ}^{(07)} \downarrow} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

If  $\circ \in \{\circ_{\perp}, \wedge, \downarrow\}$ , then  $R_{\circ}^{(08)}$  is present, and then:

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B, B \Rightarrow B}{A \circ B, B \Rightarrow A} R_{\circ}^{(08)} \downarrow}{\frac{A \circ B, \neg A, B \Rightarrow}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg)} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

In the case of  $\equiv$ , one of the three rules is present in the calculus. Suppose that  $\circ \in \{\nrightarrow, \leftarrow\}$ , and that  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^A$ . Then the following:

$$\frac{\frac{\neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), B}{\neg B, \neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\frac{A \circ B, B \Rightarrow A, \neg B}{\neg A, A \circ B, B \Rightarrow \neg B} (\neg \Rightarrow)}{\frac{\neg \neg B, \neg A, A \circ B, B \Rightarrow}{\neg \neg B, \neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg)} (\Rightarrow \neg)}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\text{cut})$$

is a proof of the required sequent, since the left leaf follows under the scheme of (Ax), and the right one – under the scheme of  $A_{\circ\downarrow}^{(III)}$ . If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^R$ , then we have the rule  $R_{\circ}^{(III)}$ , and we follow:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\neg A, B \Rightarrow B, \neg(A \circ B)}{\neg A, B, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{B, A \Rightarrow A \circ B, B}{B, A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{B, A \circ B \Rightarrow A, \neg B}{\neg A, B, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg B} R_o^{(III)} \downarrow}{\neg \neg B, \neg A, B, A \circ B \Rightarrow} (\neg \Rightarrow)}{\neg \neg B, \neg A, B, A \circ B \Rightarrow} (\neg \Rightarrow) \\
\frac{\frac{\neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg \neg B}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{\neg \neg B, \neg A, B, A \circ B \Rightarrow}{\neg \neg B, \neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg)}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\text{cut})
\end{array}$$

Consider the case  $f_o(0, 1) = 1$ . We need to show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}} \neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B$  is provable in the respective  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\circ \in \{\neg, \circ_2, \vee, \vee, \circ_{-1}, \rightarrow, \uparrow, \circ_{\perp}\}$ . If  $\circ = \circ_2$ , then  $R_o^{(03)}$  is present in the calculus and we have:

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B \Rightarrow A \circ B, A}{B \Rightarrow A \circ B, A} R_o^{(03)} \uparrow}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

If  $\circ = \circ_{-1}$ , then  $R_o^{(09)}$  is present, and we derive:

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B, B \Rightarrow A \circ B}{B \Rightarrow A \circ B, \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{B \Rightarrow A \circ B, A}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_o^{(09)} \downarrow}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

If  $\circ \in \{\vee, \uparrow, \circ_{\perp}\}$ , then we have  $R_o^{(10)}$ .

$$\frac{\frac{B \Rightarrow A \circ B, B}{\neg B, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\neg B, B \Rightarrow A \circ B}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_o^{(10)} \downarrow}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B}$$

In the case of  $\vee$ , one of the three rules is in the calculus. Finally, suppose that  $\circ \in \{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ . If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^A$ , then the desired sequent is of the form of the axiom scheme  $A_{\circ\uparrow}^{(IV)}$ . If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^R$ , then we apply:

$$\frac{\neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B, \neg A \quad \frac{\neg A, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg A, B}{\neg A, \neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_o^{(IV)} \uparrow}{\neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\text{cut})$$

Consider the case  $f_o(1, 0) = 0$ . We need to show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}} A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)$  is provable in the respective  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\circ \in \{\circ_{\perp}, \wedge, \neg, \circ_2, \downarrow, \equiv, \circ_{-1}, \rightarrow\}$ . If  $\circ = \circ_{-1}$ , then we have:  $R_o^{(04)}$ , and:

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B}{\neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), A \circ B} (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{A \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), B}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} R_o^{(04)} \uparrow}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

If  $\circ \in \{\circ_{\perp}, \wedge, \downarrow\}$ , then we have  $R_o^{(08)}$ , and if  $\circ$  is  $\circ_2$  –  $R_o^{(11)}$ . We go as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B, A \Rightarrow A}{A \circ B, A \Rightarrow B} R_o^{(08)} \uparrow}{\frac{A \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), B}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow)} (\Rightarrow \neg)$$

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B, A \Rightarrow A \circ B}{A \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), A \circ B} (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{A \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), B}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} R_o^{(11)} \downarrow}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

If  $\circ$  is  $\equiv$ , then we must have one of the three rules. Let  $\circ \in \{\not\Rightarrow, \Rightarrow\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^A$ . Then we go as follows (the right leaf is the appropriate axiom):

$$\frac{\frac{A, \neg B \Rightarrow A, \neg(A \circ B)}{\neg A, A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\frac{A, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg A, B}{A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg A} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\neg \neg A, A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow}{\neg \neg A, A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow)}{\frac{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg \neg A}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg)} (\text{cut})$$

whereas in the case of  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^R$ , as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{A, \neg B \Rightarrow A, \neg(A \circ B)}{\neg A, A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\frac{A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B, A}{A, \neg A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{A, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg A, B}{A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg A} R_{\circ}^{(IV)} \downarrow \quad \frac{\neg \neg A, A, \neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow}{\neg \neg A, A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow)}{\frac{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg \neg A}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg)} (\text{cut})$$

Consider the case  $f_{\circ}(1, 0) = 1$ . We need to show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}} A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B$  is provable in the respective  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\circ \in \{\not\Rightarrow, \circ_1, \Downarrow, \vee, \circ_{-2}, \leftarrow, \uparrow, \circ_{\top}\}$ . If  $\circ$  is  $\circ_1$ , then the calculus contains  $R_{\circ}^{(01)}$ ; if  $\circ \in \{\vee, \uparrow, \circ_{\top}\}$ , then it contains  $R_{\circ}^{(10)}$ , if  $\circ = \circ_{-2}$ , then  $R_{\circ}^{(12)}$  is present, and if  $\circ$  is  $\Downarrow$ , then it is one of the three rules. In these cases we apply:

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B \Rightarrow A \circ B, B}{A \Rightarrow A \circ B, B} R_{\circ}^{(01)} \uparrow}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

or:

$$\frac{\frac{A \Rightarrow A \circ B, A}{A, \neg A \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow)}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_{\circ}^{(10)} \uparrow$$

or:

$$\frac{\frac{A \circ B, A \Rightarrow A \circ B}{A \Rightarrow A \circ B, \neg(A \circ B)} (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{A \Rightarrow A \circ B, B}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_{\circ}^{(12)} \downarrow}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow)$$

If  $\circ \in \{\not\Rightarrow, \leftarrow\}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  contains  $A_{\circ \uparrow}^{(III)}$ , then the desired sequent is an instance of the axiom scheme. Suppose  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^R$ . Then we apply:

$$\frac{A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B, \neg B \quad \frac{\neg B, A \circ B \Rightarrow A, \neg B}{\neg B, A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_{\circ}^{(III)} \uparrow}{A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\text{cut})$$

Consider the case  $f_{\circ}(1, 1) = 0$ . We need to show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}} A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)$  is provable in the respective  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\circ \in \{\circ_{\perp}, \not\Rightarrow, \not\Leftarrow, \Downarrow, \downarrow, \circ_{-2}, \circ_{-1}, \uparrow\}$ . If  $\circ \in \{\circ_{\perp}, \not\Rightarrow, \not\Leftarrow\}$ , then the rule  $R_{\circ}^{(02)}$  is in the calculus; if  $\circ = \circ_{-1}$ , then it is  $R_{\circ}^{(09)}$ ; if  $\circ = \circ_{-2}$ , then it is  $R_{\circ}^{(12)}$ ; and if  $\circ$  is  $\Downarrow$ , then it is one of the three. In these cases we follow:

$$\frac{A, A \circ B \Rightarrow A}{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} R_{\circ}^{(02)} \uparrow$$

or:

$$\frac{A, B \Rightarrow A}{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} R_{\circ}^{(09)} \uparrow$$

or:

$$\frac{A, B \Rightarrow B}{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} R_{\circ}^{(12)} \uparrow$$

If  $\circ \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$ , then either  $A_{\circ\downarrow}^{(\text{II})}$  or  $R_{\circ\downarrow}^{(\text{II})}$  is used as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), B}{\neg B, A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\frac{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg B, A}{\neg A, A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg B} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{A, B, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg B, \neg A}{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg B, \neg A} (\Rightarrow \neg)}{\frac{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg B, \neg \neg A}{\neg \neg A, A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg B} (\Rightarrow \neg)} (\text{cut})$$

$$\frac{\frac{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg B}{\neg \neg B, A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B), \neg B}{\neg \neg B, A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\neg \Rightarrow)}{A, B \Rightarrow \neg(A \circ B)} (\text{cut})$$

For the case of  $\mathcal{C}_P^R$  the rightmost branch is extended as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{A, B, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B, A}{A, B, \neg A, \neg B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{A, B, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg A, \neg B}{A, B, A \circ B \Rightarrow \neg A, \neg B} R_{\circ}^{(\text{II})} \downarrow$$

Finally, consider the case  $f_{\circ}(1, 1) = 1$ . We need to show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}} A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B$  is provable in the respective  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\circ \in \{\wedge, \circ_1, \circ_2, \vee, \equiv, \leftarrow, \rightarrow, \circ_{\top}\}$ . For  $\circ \in \{\leftarrow, \rightarrow, \circ_{\top}\}$  we have  $R_{\circ}^{(05)}$ ; for  $\circ_1$  we have  $R_{\circ}^{(07)}$ ; for  $\circ_2$  we have  $R_{\circ}^{(11)}$ ; and for  $\equiv$  we have one of the three rules. Then we follow:

$$\frac{\frac{A \Rightarrow A \circ B, A}{A, \neg A \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{A, \neg A \Rightarrow A \circ B}{A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_{\circ}^{(05)} \uparrow$$

or:

$$\frac{A, B \Rightarrow A}{A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_{\circ}^{(07)} \uparrow$$

or:

$$\frac{A, B \Rightarrow B}{A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} R_{\circ}^{(11)} \uparrow$$

If  $\circ \in \{\wedge, \vee\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^A$ , then the respective sequent is an instance of  $A_{\circ\uparrow}^{(\text{I})}$ . If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_P^R$ , then the sequent is derived as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B, A}{\neg A, A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\frac{B, A \circ B \Rightarrow A, B}{A \circ B \Rightarrow A, B, \neg B} (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{A \circ B \Rightarrow A, B, \neg B}{A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B, \neg B} R_{\circ}^{(\text{I})} \uparrow}{\frac{A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B, \neg \neg B}{\neg \neg B, A, B \Rightarrow A \circ B} (\neg \Rightarrow)} (\text{cut})$$

□