Jakob Wångö Benjamin Björk Maciej Siwek

Domain Model

Peer-review for Markus Palm

- 1. The model would help us as developers to know what main objects the system must be able to handle. If they were more describable with attributes it would be more easy to know exactly what that defines the different objects in the domain model and the information about them.
- 2. A secretary would most definite understand what the domain model is to represent, but not much more specific what the objects itself represent of valuable information.
- 3. The best parts of the model is that it is simple yet contains the most important main objects. It is not focused in a software development way, which is a good thing.
- 4. The weakness of this model would be that it is missing some important attributes on the object Boat, Berth and Member. On the Boat i would have liked if it had a registrationNumber attribute, also a numberID for the Berth would have been good. Would have wanted day, moth and attributes on the calendar to have a more specified calendar.
- 5. Yes we think the domain model passed grade 3 if the changes we proposed in 4 were added to the domain model.

PEER-REVIEW 1