Columbia University: Arts & Sciences A&S Fall 2022 Standard Evaluation

Course:	ECONGR6215_001_2022_3 - MACROECONOMIC ANALYSIS I: ECONG6215_001_2022_3_149044
Instructor:	Jennifer La'O,Xavier Sala-I-Martin
TA:	Mitchell Vaughn *
Response Rate:	18/21 (85.71 %)

1 - What are the strengths and weaknesses of Mitchell Vaughn (discussion section leader, lab section leader, grader) as an instructor, and how might Mitchell Vaughn's teaching be improved?

• His class provided some important topic such as differential equation or Matlab programming which are essential for understanding classes and finishing assignment. His class is well-paced so he was always able to deal with everything needed and finish the class in time. But, sometimes I felt that he is doing redundant things by solving problem set quesitons of which solutions are already provided.

• Mitchell teaches well. I wish he could speak a little louder though especially in the beginning and the end of his sentences.

• Mitchell is an excellent instructor, generous with his time and effectively structures his recitations. He is patient with student questions and very knowledgeable about the subject matter. I enjoyed how we spend class time going over new models and new examples, not just previous homework exercises. Only suggestion - could speak a little louder in class, sometimes hard to hear.

• Mitchell was extremely helpful and very thorough with cementing the intuition behind some of the stuff covered in the class

Mitchell is great!

• "Talented, brilliant, incredible, amazing, show stopping, spectacular, never the same, totally unique" - Lady Gaga Mitchell was incredible. His teaching was so clear and helpful. He clarified the difficult parts of the material and helped us prepare for exams and problem sets. Honestly, can't say enough good things.

2 - What is the overall teaching effectiveness of Mitchell Vaughn?													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means				
Excellent	(5)	13	72.22%						4.50				
Very Good	(4)	1	5.56%										
Good	(3)	4	22.22%										
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	C	Question				
Response Rate					Mean					STD	M	Median	
18/21 (85.71%)						4.50				0.86	5.00		