RC 159-5: Evaluation of three differents to coltrol red slime

ron Collins

Introduction

Tissue culture tube with callus in Bldg. 001, Rm. 331 after six months are beginning to be contaminated with a red slime. Consequently, it became imperitive that we find a way to control the bacteria. Evidently PPm wasn't working. Maybe at a higher concenation. A series of experiments were planned to evaluate different antibiotics that we have used in the past ro control the bacteria.

summary

The following is a summary of the treatments (antibiotics and no antibiotics) by bacterial concentration.

```
## # A tibble: 5 x 3
## # Groups:
               Trt [2]
     Trt
                  concBac Total mean
##
     <fct>
                                <dbl>
                   <fct>
## 1 antibiotic
                                 17.2
## 2 antibiotic
                   -3
                                 81.2
## 3 noantibiotic -4
                                 26.8
## 4 noantibiotic -3
                                 90
## 5 noantibiotic 0
                                  0
```

analysi of variance for total area

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = count ~ Trt + concBac + Trt * concBac, data = RC159_5Data,
##
       na.action = na.omit)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q
                   Median
                                       Max
  -28.000 -3.875
                     0.000
                             3.000
                                    33.000
##
## Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)
                             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                               17.250
                                            6.543
                                                    2.637
                                                            0.0187 *
## Trtnoantibiotic
                                9.500
                                            9.252
                                                    1.027
                                                            0.3208
## concBac-3
                               64.000
                                            9.252
                                                    6.917 4.92e-06 ***
## concBac0
                              -26.750
                                            9.252
                                                   -2.891
                                                            0.0112 *
## Trtnoantibiotic:concBac-3
                               -0.750
                                           13.085
                                                   -0.057
                                                            0.9550
## Trtnoantibiotic:concBac0
                                   NA
                                               NA
                                                       NA
                                                                NA
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 13.08 on 15 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9094, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8853
```

```
## F-statistic: 37.66 on 4 and 15 DF, p-value: 1.175e-07
## Note: model has aliased coefficients
        sums of squares computed by model comparison
## Anova Table (Type II tests)
##
## Response: count
##
               Sum Sq Df F value
                                    Pr(>F)
## Trt
                333.1 1 1.9453
                                    0.1834
              25279.6 2 73.8234 1.723e-08 ***
## concBac
## Trt:concBac 0.6 1 0.0033
                                    0.9550
               2568.2 15
## Residuals
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
pair wise t test diff vs PlantId
##
  Pairwise comparisons using t tests with pooled SD
##
## data: RC159_5Data$count and RC159_5Data$Trt
##
##
               antibiotic
## noantibiotic 0.57
## P value adjustment method: holm
summary
## 'summarise()' regrouping output by 'Trt' (override with '.groups' argument)
## # A tibble: 4 x 3
## # Groups:
              Trt [2]
##
    Trt
                 concBac Total_mean
##
     <fct>
                 <fct>
## 1 antibiotic
                -4
                               17.2
## 2 antibiotic
                 -3
                               81.2
## 3 noantibiotic -4
                               26.8
## 4 noantibiotic -3
analysi of variance for colony count
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = count ~ Trt + concBac + Trt * concBac, data = RC159_5Data,
      na.action = na.omit)
##
## Residuals:
      Min
               10 Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -28.000 -4.625 -2.125
                            4.750 33.000
## Coefficients:
##
                            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                                  2.358 0.0362 *
                              17.250
                                          7.315
## (Intercept)
## Trtnoantibiotic
                               9.500
                                         10.345
                                                  0.918
                                                         0.3765
```

```
## concBac-3
                              64.000
                                         10.345 6.187 4.68e-05 ***
## Trtnoantibiotic:concBac-3 -0.750
                                         14.630 -0.051 0.9600
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Residual standard error: 14.63 on 12 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8655, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8319
## F-statistic: 25.74 on 3 and 12 DF, p-value: 1.633e-05
## Anova Table (Type II tests)
## Response: count
##
               Sum Sq Df F value
                                   Pr(>F)
## Trt
                333.1 1 1.5562
                                    0.236
## concBac
              16192.6 1 75.6588 1.58e-06 ***
## Trt:concBac
                  0.6 1 0.0026
                                    0.960
## Residuals
               2568.3 12
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
pair wise t test count vs Trt
## Pairwise comparisons using t tests with pooled SD
## data: RC159_5Data$count and RC159_5Data$Trt
##
               antibiotic
## noantibiotic 0.63
## P value adjustment method: holm
pair wise t test count vs concBac
##
## Pairwise comparisons using t tests with pooled SD
## data: RC159_5Data$count and RC159_5Data$concBac
##
##
     -4
## -3 4.2e-07
##
## P value adjustment method: holm
```