## Cosets

- -Video:  $H\subseteq G$ ,  $a\in G$ n  $aH = \{ah \mid h\in H\} \subseteq G$
- This is called a <u>left coset</u> of H in G:

but we won't study them because

- 1) if G is abelian it's the same (aH = Ha)
- ② even if G isn't abelian, all the theory works the same. (aH≠ Ha)

## Worksheet 22: Cosets

Math 335

Reporter:

Recorder:

**Equity Manager:** 

1. Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_6$  (which is a group under addition modulo 6), and let

$$H = \{0, 2, 4\}.$$

(a) Calculate all of the left cosets of H in G. (I've gotten you started.)

$$0+H = \{0,2,4\} = \{0+0,0+3,0+4\}$$

$$1+H = \{1,3,5\}$$

$$2+H = \{2,4,0\} = \{2+0,2+2,2+4\}$$

$$3+H = \{3,5,1\} = \{3+0,3+2,3+4\}$$

$$4+H = \{4,0,2\}$$

$$5+H = \{5,1,3\}$$

(b) Is a + H necessarily a subgroup of G? Is it ever a subgroup?

(c) Is it ever the case that a + H = b + H for two different elements a and b of G?

(d) Is it ever the case that a + H and b + H have some but not all of their elements in common?

2. Now, we'll do the same thing for the group  $G = S_3$  (the symmetric group, under the operation of composition), and the subgroup

$$H = \{e, (1,3)\}.$$

(a) Calculate all of the left cosets of H in G. (Again, I've gotten you started.)

$$eH = \{e, (1,3)\}$$

$$(1,2)H = \{(1,2), (1,3,2)\}$$

$$(1,3)H = \{(1,3), e\}$$

$$(2,3)H = \{(1,3), (1,3,3)\}$$

$$(1,2,3)H = \{(1,3,3), (1,3,3)\}$$

$$(1,3,2)H = \{(1,3,3), (1,3)\}$$

$$(1,3,2)H = \{(1,3,3), (1,3)\}$$

$$(1,3,2)H = \{(1,3,3), (1,3)\}$$

$$(1,3,2)H = \{(1,3,3), (1,3)\}$$

(b) Is a coset necessarily a subgroup of G? Is it ever a subgroup?

(c) Is it ever the case that the two cosets on the above list are the same?

Yes: 
$$(1,3)H_{1}$$
  
 $(1,3)H = (1,3,2)H_{2}$   
 $(2,3)H = (1,2,3)H_{2}$ 

(d) Is it ever the case that two cosets on the above list share some but not all of their elements?

No!

Challenge/Vague Discussion Question: I claim that, if G is a group and  $H \subseteq G$  is a subgroup, then "the left cosets partition G up into a bunch of disjoint, equal-sized pieces." Discuss this statement with the people in your Breakout Room. Does it make sense? Is it consistent with the above examples?

#1: 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 #2:  $\begin{bmatrix} e & (1,3) & (2,3) \\ (1,3) & (1,3,3) & (1,2,3) \end{bmatrix}$