

# Automating Course Articulation: A Deep Metric Learning Framework Using Public Data

Presenter: Mark S. Kim

**Duration:** 30-45 minutes

## 1. Introduction: The Problem with Student Transfer (~5-7 mins)

- *Title Slide:* Title, Author, Advisors, University, Date.
- *The "Transfer Maze":* The current process of determining course equivalency is a manual, inefficient, and intractable barrier for students [1].
- *The High Cost to Students & Institutions:* This leads to significant credit loss (avg. 43%) [2], delayed graduation, increased financial burden [3], and lower student persistence rates [4].
- *A Critical Equity Issue:* These barriers disproportionately harm low-income and underrepresented students [5], who are more likely to rely on transfer pathways. Recent transfer growth has been driven by Black and Hispanic students [6].
- *The Goal & My Contribution:* To design and validate a novel computational framework that automates course articulation using only public data, creating a solution that is:
  - Accurate & Scalable
  - Computationally Efficient
  - Privacy-Preserving
- *Agenda:* A brief overview of the presentation structure.

## 2. Background & Related Work (~3-5 mins)

- *The Landscape of Automation:* A brief look at the evolution of automated approaches.
- *Previous Approaches & Their Limitations:*
  - *Keyword/Statistical (TF-IDF):* Simple but lacks true semantic understanding [7].
  - *Enrollment-Based (course2vec):* Effective but relies on sensitive, private student records, raising significant privacy and generalizability concerns [8, 9].
  - *Direct LLM Classification:* High accuracy potential but operationally challenging due to cost, opacity ("black box"), and prompt sensitivity [10, 11].
- *The Research Gap:* A clear need for a framework that harnesses the semantic power of modern models without their operational burdens or privacy issues.

## 3. A Decoupled Framework for Articulation (~8-10 mins)

- *High-Level Architecture:* Visual diagram illustrating the full pipeline from raw text to classification.
- *Core Principle: Decoupling Representation from Classification.*
- *Step 1: Deep Contextual Embeddings:* Convert raw course catalog text into rich, high-dimensional vectors using transformer models [12].
- *Step 2: The Composite Distance Vector ( $\Delta_c$ ):* Our novel feature engineering technique.
  - Combines granular, dimension-specific differences (local information) with a holistic cosine similarity score (global information).
  - Formula:  $\Delta_c = (a_1 - b_1, \dots, a_k - b_k, \frac{A \cdot B}{\|A\| \|B\|})$ .
- *Step 3: Domain-Specific Fine-Tuning:* Applying deep metric learning to adapt a generic model to the specific language of academia.
  - Objective: Train the model using a Triplet Loss function to create a more discriminative embedding space [13, 14].

- *Step 4: Downstream Classification:* Feed the engineered  $\Delta_c$  vectors into efficient, traditional ML classifiers (e.g., SVM, Random Forest) for final prediction.

#### 4. Experimental Setup & Results (~8-10 mins)

- *Data & Evaluation:* Using the real-world PPM Corpus, partitioned into non-overlapping training and test sets. Primary metric is the  $F_1$ -Score.
- *Finding 1: Domain-Specific Fine-Tuning is Critical.*
  - Our fine-tuned model (bge-ft) achieved the highest mean test score and lowest variance.
  - It was *statistically significantly* superior to all off-the-shelf models, including those orders of magnitude larger.
  - **Key Insight:** For specialized domains, targeted adaptation is more effective than sheer model scale.
- *Finding 2: Final Classifier Performance.*
  - All finalist models achieved exceptionally high accuracy ( $F_1$ -scores  $> 0.99$ ).
  - *Support Vector Machine (SVM):* Statistically the most accurate and consistent model.
  - *Random Forest & XGBoost:* Nearly as accurate but an order of magnitude faster and more efficient at inference time.
  - **Key Insight:** A clear trade-off exists between peak accuracy and operational efficiency.

#### 5. Qualitative Analysis: Beyond the Metrics (~4-6 mins)

- *Why Do Errors Still Occur?* Aggregate metrics can hide systematic failures [15].
- *Shared Misclassifications:* A high overlap of errors across all models (211 common errors) points to challenges in the data, not the models.
- *Root Cause Analysis (Examples):*
  - *False Negatives:* Caused by semantic divergence (equivalent courses with very different descriptions) or minimalist descriptions. The model correctly sees no textual similarity; the ground-truth label is the issue.
  - *False Positives:* Caused by high topical overlap without true equivalence (e.g., sequential physics courses).
- *The Primary Bottleneck:* The limiting factor for performance has shifted from being **model-centric** to **data-centric**.

#### 6. Conclusion (~2-3 mins)

- *Limitations:* Briefly acknowledge boundaries (performance capped by data quality, model generalizability, handling of complex articulations [16]).
- *Future Work:* Highlight key directions (data-centric AI, building a recommendation engine, graph-based methods for complex rules).
- *Summary of Contributions:*
  - Developed a novel, accurate, and scalable framework for automating course articulation.
  - Proved that domain-specific fine-tuning outperforms sheer model scale for this task.
  - Delivered a practical, privacy-preserving tool to reduce administrative burden and foster educational equity.

#### 7. Thank You & Questions (~10-20 mins Q&A)

- Final slide with contact information/acknowledgments.

## References

- [1] Zachary Pardos, Hung Chau, and Haocheng Zhao. “Data-Assistive Course-to-Course Articulation Using Machine Translation”. In: (July 2019). DOI: 10.1145/3330430.3333622.
- [2] United States Government Accountability Office. *Higher Education: Students Need More Information to Help Reduce Challenges in Transferring College Credits*. Report to Congressional Requesters GAO-17-574. United States Government Accountability Office, Aug. 14, 2017. URL: <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-17-574>.
- [3] Leticia Tomas Bustillos et al. *The Transfer Maze: The High Cost to Students and the State of California*. The Campaign for College Opportunity, Sept. 17, 2017.
- [4] Stephen Porter. “Assessing Transfer and Native Student Performance at Four-Year Institutions”. In: *39th Annual Forum of the Association for Institutional Research*. June 1999.

- [5] The National Task Force on the Transfer and Award of Credit. *Reimagining Transfer for Student Success*. Report to Congressional Requesters. American Council on Education, Mar. 2020. URL: <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-17-574>.
- [6] National Student Clearinghouse. *College Transfer Enrollment Grew by 5.3% in the Fall of 2023*. URL: <https://www.studentclearinghouse.org/news/college-transfer-enrollment-grew-by-5-3-in-the-fall-of-2023/> (visited on 06/30/2025).
- [7] Akiko Aizawa. “An information-theoretic perspective of tf-idf measures”. In: *Information Processing & Management* 39.1 (2003), pp. 45–65. ISSN: 0306-4573. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4573\(02\)00021-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4573(02)00021-3). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306457302000213>.
- [8] Zachary A. Pardos, Zihao Fan, and Weijie Jiang. “Connectionist recommendation in the wild: on the utility and scrutability of neural networks for personalized course guidance”. In: *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction* 29.2 (Apr. 2019), pp. 487–525. ISSN: 0924-1868. DOI: 10.1007/s11257-019-09218-7. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11257-019-09218-7>.
- [9] Sharon Slade and Paul Prinsloo. “Learning Analytics: Ethical Issues and Dilemmas”. In: *American Behavioral Scientist* 57.10 (2013), pp. 1510–1529. DOI: 10.1177/0002764213479366. eprint: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764213479366>. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764213479366>.
- [10] Federico Errica et al. “What Did I Do Wrong? Quantifying LLMs’ Sensitivity and Consistency to Prompt Engineering”. In: *ArXiv abs/2406.12334* (2024). URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:270562829>.
- [11] Melanie Sclar et al. “Quantifying Language Models’ Sensitivity to Spurious Features in Prompt Design or: How I learned to start worrying about prompt formatting”. In: *ArXiv abs/2310.11324* (2023). URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:264172710>.
- [12] Jacob Devlin et al. *BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding*. 2019. arXiv: 1810.04805 [cs.CL]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805>.
- [13] Florian Schroff, Dmitry Kalenichenko, and James Philbin. “FaceNet: A Unified Embedding for Face Recognition and Clustering”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. June 2015.
- [14] Alexander Hermans, Lucas Beyer, and Bastian Leibe. *In Defense of the Triplet Loss for Person Re-Identification*. 2017. arXiv: 1703.07737 [cs.CV]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.07737>.
- [15] Gabrielle Gauthier-melancon et al. “Azimuth: Systematic Error Analysis for Text Classification”. In: Jan. 2022, pp. 298–310. DOI: 10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-demos.30.
- [16] Z. A. Pardos, H. Chau, and H. Zhao. “Data-assistive course-to-course articulation using machine translation”. In: *Proceedings of the Sixth Conference on Learning@ Scale*. 2019, pp. 1–10.