Automating Course Articulation: A Deep Metric Learning Framework Using Public Data

Presenter: Mark S. Kim

2025-07-08 11:19:52-07:00

1. Introduction: The Problem with Student Transfer (~5-7 mins)

- Title Slide: Title, Author, Advisors, University, Date.
- The "Transfer Maze": The current process of determining course equivalency is a manual, inefficient, and intractable barrier for students [1].
- The High Cost to Students & Institutions: This leads to significant credit loss (avg. 43%) [2], delayed graduation, increased financial burden [3], and lower student persistence rates [4].
- A Critical Equity Issue: These barriers disproportionately harm low-income and underrepresented students [5], who are more likely to rely on transfer pathways. Recent transfer growth has been driven by Black and Hispanic students [6].
- The Goal & My Contribution: To design and validate a novel computational framework that automates course articulation using only public data, creating a solution that is:
 - Accurate & Scalable
 - Computationally Efficient
 - Privacy-Preserving
- Agenda: A brief overview of the presentation structure.

2. Background & Related Work (~3-5 mins)

- The Landscape of Automation: A brief look at the evolution of automated approaches.
- Previous Approaches & Their Limitations:
 - Keyword/Statistical (TF-IDF): Simple but lacks true semantic understanding [7].
 - Enrollment-Based (course2vec): Effective but relies on sensitive, private student records, raising significant privacy and generalizability concerns [8, 9].
 - Direct LLM Classification: High accuracy potential but operationally challenging due to cost, opacity ("black box"), and prompt sensitivity [10, 11].
- The Research Gap: A clear need for a framework that harnesses the semantic power of modern models without their operational burdens or privacy issues.

3. A Decoupled Framework for Articulation (~8-10 mins)

- High-Level Architecture: Visual diagram illustrating the full pipeline from raw text to classification.
- Core Principle: Decoupling Representation from Classification.
- Step 1: Deep Contextual Embeddings: Convert raw course catalog text into rich, high-dimensional vectors using transformer models [12].
- Step 2: The Composite Distance Vector (Δ_c) : Our novel feature engineering technique.
 - Combines granular, dimension-specific differences (local information) with a holistic cosine similarity score (global information).
 - Formula: $\Delta_c = (a_1 b_1, ..., a_k b_k, \frac{A \cdot B}{\|A\| \|B\|}).$
- Step 3: Domain-Specific Fine-Tuning: Applying deep metric learning to adapt a generic model to the specific language of academia.
 - Objective: Train the model using a Triplet Loss function to create a more discriminative embedding space [13, 14].

• Step 4: Downstream Classification: Feed the engineered Δ_c vectors into efficient, traditional ML classifiers (e.g., SVM, Random Forest) for final prediction.

4. Experimental Setup & Results (~8-10 mins)

- Data & Evaluation: Using the real-world PPM Corpus, partitioned into non-overlapping training and test sets. Primary metric is the F_1 -Score.
- Finding 1: Domain-Specific Fine-Tuning is Critical.
 - Our fine-tuned model (bge-ft) achieved the highest mean test score and lowest variance.
 - It was statistically significantly superior to all off-the-shelf models, including those orders of magnitude larger.
 - **Key Insight:** For specialized domains, targeted adaptation is more effective than sheer model scale.
- Finding 2: Final Classifier Performance.
 - All finalist models achieved exceptionally high accuracy (F_1 -scores > 0.99).
 - Support Vector Machine (SVM): Statistically the most accurate and consistent model.
 - Random Forest & XGBoost: Nearly as accurate but an order of magnitude faster and more efficient at inference time.
 - Key Insight: A clear trade-off exists between peak accuracy and operational efficiency.

5. Qualitative Analysis: Beyond the Metrics (~4-6 mins)

- Why Do Errors Still Occur? Aggregate metrics can hide systematic failures [15].
- Shared Misclassifications: A high overlap of errors across all models (211 common errors) points to challenges in the data, not the models.
- Root Cause Analysis (Examples):
 - False Negatives: Caused by semantic divergence (equivalent courses with very different descriptions) or minimalist descriptions. The model correctly sees no textual similarity; the ground-truth label is the issue.
 - False Positives: Caused by high topical overlap without true equivalence (e.g., sequential physics courses).
- The Primary Bottleneck: The limiting factor for performance has shifted from being model-centric to datacentric.

6. Conclusion (~2-3 mins)

- Limitations: Briefly acknowledge boundaries (performance capped by data quality, model generalizability, handling of complex articulations [16]).
- Future Work: Highlight key directions (data-centric AI, building a recommendation engine, graph-based methods for complex rules).
- Summary of Contributions:
 - Developed a novel, accurate, and scalable framework for automating course articulation.
 - Proved that domain-specific fine-tuning outperforms sheer model scale for this task.
 - Delivered a practical, privacy-preserving tool to reduce administrative burden and foster educational equity.

7. Thank You & Questions (~10-20 mins Q&A)

• Final slide with contact information/acknowledgments.

References

- [1] Zachary Pardos, Hung Chau, and Haocheng Zhao. "Data-Assistive Course-to-Course Articulation Using Machine Translation". In: (July 2019). DOI: 10.1145/3330430.3333622.
- [2] United States Government Accountability Office. Higher Education: Students Need More Information to Help Reduce Challenges in Transferring College Credits. Report to Congressional Requesters GAO-17-574. United States Government Accountability Office, Aug. 14, 2017. URL: https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-17-574.
- [3] Leticia Tomas Bustillos et al. The Transfer Maze: The High Cost to Students and the State of California. The Campaign for College Opportunity, Sept. 17, 2017.
- [4] Stephen Porter. "Assessing Transfer and Native Student Performance at Four-Year Institutions". In: 39th Annual Forum of the Association for Institutional Research. June 1999.

- [5] The National Task Force on the Transfer and Award of Credit. Reimagining Transfer for Student Success. Report to Congressional Requesters. American Council on Education, Mar. 2020. URL: https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-17-574.
- [6] National Student Clearinghouse. College Transfer Enrollment Grew by 5.3% in the Fall of 2023. URL: https://www.studentclearinghouse.org/news/college-transfer-enrollment-grew-by-5-3-in-the-fall-of-2023/ (visited on 06/30/2025).
- [7] Akiko Aizawa. "An information-theoretic perspective of tf-idf measures". In: Information Processing & Management 39.1 (2003), pp. 45-65. ISSN: 0306-4573. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4573(02)00021-3. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306457302000213.
- [8] Zachary A. Pardos, Zihao Fan, and Weijie Jiang. "Connectionist recommendation in the wild: on the utility and scrutability of neural networks for personalized course guidance". In: *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction* 29.2 (Apr. 2019), pp. 487–525. ISSN: 0924-1868. DOI: 10.1007/s11257-019-09218-7. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11257-019-09218-7.
- [9] Sharon Slade and Paul Prinsloo. "Learning Analytics: Ethical Issues and Dilemmas". In: American Behavioral Scientist 57.10 (2013), pp. 1510-1529. DOI: 10.1177/0002764213479366. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764213479366.
- [10] Federico Errica et al. "What Did I Do Wrong? Quantifying LLMs' Sensitivity and Consistency to Prompt Engineering". In: ArXiv abs/2406.12334 (2024). URL: https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:270562829.
- [11] Melanie Sclar et al. "Quantifying Language Models' Sensitivity to Spurious Features in Prompt Design or: How I learned to start worrying about prompt formatting". In: ArXiv abs/2310.11324 (2023). URL: https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:264172710.
- [12] Jacob Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2019. arXiv: 1810.04805 [cs.CL]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805.
- [13] Florian Schroff, Dmitry Kalenichenko, and James Philbin. "FaceNet: A Unified Embedding for Face Recognition and Clustering". In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). June 2015.
- [14] Alexander Hermans, Lucas Beyer, and Bastian Leibe. In Defense of the Triplet Loss for Person Re-Identification. 2017. arXiv: 1703.07737 [cs.CV]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.07737.
- [15] Gabrielle Gauthier-melancon et al. "Azimuth: Systematic Error Analysis for Text Classification". In: Jan. 2022, pp. 298–310. DOI: 10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-demos.30.
- [16] Z. A Pardos, H Chau, and H Zhao. "Data-assistive course-to-course articulation using machine translation". In: Proceedings of the Sixth Conference on Learning@ Scale. 2019, pp. 1–10.