Statistical Learning - Report 1

Marta Kałużna 4/3/2020

Exercise 1

For a given matrix A and vector b we're going to show that $x^* = (1, 1, -1, 0)$ satisfies the irrepresentable condition but is not one of the sparsest solutions of Ax = b.

When $x_1 = 0$, the solution for given equation is $\widetilde{x} = (0, 0, -2, \sqrt{3})$, which is sparsest than x^* ($||x^*||_0 > ||\widetilde{x}||_0$). In the same time, x^* satisfies the irrepresentable condition:

```
x_star <- c(1, 1, -1, 0)
not_supp_x <- which(x_star == 0)
supp_x <- which(x_star != 0)

A <- matrix(c(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1/sqrt(3), 1/sqrt(3), 1/sqrt(3)), nrow = 3, ncol = 4)
A_supp <- A[,supp_x]
A_prim <- A[,not_supp_x]

max(abs(t(A_prim) %*% A_supp %*% solve(t(A_supp) %*% A_supp) %*% sign(x_star[supp_x]))) < 1

## [1] TRUE</pre>
```

Exercise 2

We're going to work on a particular observation B of a 200×500 Gaussian random matrix with i.i.d. N(0,1) entries. A is a normalization of B.

1) Firstly, we will compute mutual coherence.

```
set.seed(2020)
n <- 200
p <- 500
B <- matrix(rnorm(n*p), nrow = n, ncol = p)</pre>
A <- apply(B, 2, function(x) x/sqrt(sum(x^2)))
mutual_coherence <- function(norm_matrix, n, p){</pre>
  dot product vector <- c()</pre>
  k <- 1
  for(i in 1:p){
    dot_vec <- as.vector(norm_matrix[,i])</pre>
    for(j in i:p){
      if(i != j){
      dot_product_vector[k] <- abs(as.vector(norm_matrix[,j]) %*% dot_vec)</pre>
      k <- k + 1
    }
  }
  return(max(dot_product_vector))
```

```
MA <- mutual_coherence(A, n, p)
```

```
M(A) = 0.3021009
```

Then, we will provide the set K_0 of sparsity $k \in \{1, ..., 200\}$ for which, according to mutual coherence condition, x^* is both the unique basis pursuit minimizer and the unique sparsest solution of Ax = b.

```
mutual_coherence_cond <- function(){
  cond_true <- c()
  for(k in 1:200){
    cond_true[k] <- (k < (1 + (1/MA)) / 2)
  }
  return (cond_true)
}

K_0 <- which(mutual_coherence_cond() == 1)</pre>
```

```
K_0 = \{1, 2\}
```

Because the condition is very strict and M(A) in our case is really small, it's hard to satisfy this condition. That's why the set K_0 is small.

2) In the second part, we'll provide the set K_1 of sparsity $k \in \{1, ..., 200\}$ for which x^* satisfies the irrepresentable condition.

 $K_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23\}$

This time, we have much more elements in the set, because the condition is less strict.

3)

```
x_irr_vec <- c()</pre>
  x_wave_irr_vec <- c()</pre>
  for(k in 1:200){
    x \leftarrow c(abs(rnorm(k)), rep(0, 500 - k))
    x_{wave} \leftarrow c(rep(1, k), rep(0, 500 - k))
    x_irr_vec[k] <- irr_cond(x, A)</pre>
    x_wave_irr_vec[k] <- irr_cond(x_wave, A)</pre>
  compare_matrix <- matrix(0,ncol = 2,nrow = length( x_irr_vec) )</pre>
  compare_matrix[,1] <- x_irr_vec</pre>
  compare_matrix[,2] <- x_wave_irr_vec</pre>
  return(compare_matrix)
}
compare <- zad3(A)</pre>
compare[1:30,]
##
          [,1] [,2]
##
    [1,]
             1
                   1
##
    [2,]
              1
                    1
    [3,]
##
              1
                    1
##
    [4,]
              1
                   1
##
   [5,]
              1
                    1
   [6,]
##
              1
                   1
##
    [7,]
                   1
              1
   [8,]
##
             1
                   1
## [9,]
             1
                   1
```

[10,]

[11,]

[12,]

[13,]

[14,]

[15,]

[16,]

[17,]

[18,]

[19,]

[20,]

[21,]

[22,]

[23,]

[24,]

[25,]

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

0

0

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

0

0

First column says if x^* is the only solution of the BP minimizer. The second one is the same but for \widetilde{x} . We can easily see that x^* satisifies irrepresentable condition if and only if \widetilde{x} does.

4) Provide the set K2 of sparsity $k \in \{1, ..., 200\}$ for which x^* is the BP minimizer of Ax = b.

```
library(ADMM)
improveBP = function(A,b,x){
  n \leftarrow nrow(A)
  p \leftarrow ncol(A)
  u <- order(abs(x))
  J \leftarrow u[1:(p-n)]
  x0 < -rep(0, p)
  A1 \leftarrow A[,-J]
  v <- solve(A1) %*% b
  x0[-J] \leftarrow v
  return(x0)
}
bp \leftarrow c()
for(k in (1:n)){
  x0 \leftarrow c(rep(1, k), rep(0, p - k))
  b <- A%*%x0
  BPminimizer <- admm.bp(A, b, abstol = 0.00001, reltol = 0.00001, maxiter = 10000)$x
  BPbetter <- improveBP(A, b, BPminimizer)</pre>
  bp[k] \leftarrow (max(abs(BPbetter - x0)) \leftarrow 0.000001)
K_2 \leftarrow \text{which(bp == 1)}
```

```
K_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63\} K_2 is the biggest set. Checking for which sparsity x^* is a BP minimizer is the weakest condition through all previously checked. Because there is no assumption about the singleton we expect this set to be larger.
```

5) Provide the set K3 of sparsity $k \in \{1, ..., 200\}$ for which x^* is both the unique BP minimizer and the unique sparsest solution of Ax = b.

From mutual coherence condition, we know that x^* is both the unique BP minimizer and the unique sparsest solution of Ax = b when $||x^*||_0 < (1 + 1/M(A))/2$. As we could see, it is a very strict condition and we may lose some information.

There are two independent assumptions for BP and sparsest solution. We will use both of them for each x^* . Then we will compare K_3 with K_0 .

To find sparsest solution we will calculate spark(A). Then we'll find out if $||x||_0 < spark(A)/2$ which implicates x^* is the unique sparsest solution. For checking if x^* is the unique BP minimizer, we will use irrepresentable condition.

```
library(pracma)

spark <- function(matrix) {
   kernel <- nullspace(A)
   kernel[abs(kernel) < 0.01] <- 0
   min_spark <- dim(kernel)[1] - max(colSums(kernel == 0))
   return(min_spark)
}

spark_number <- spark(A)

zad5 <- function(matrix){</pre>
```

```
is_bp_sp <- c()
for(k in 1:200) {
    x <- c(rep(1, k), rep(0, 500 - k))
    is_bp <- irr_cond(x,matrix)
    is_sp <- (sum(x != 0) < spark_number/2)
    is_bp_sp[k] <- is_bp & is_sp
}
return(is_bp_sp)
}</pre>
K_3 <- which(zad5(A) == 1)</pre>
```

 $K_3 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23\}$ Set K_3 has much more elements than set K_0 . As I said before, mutual coherence condition is very strict and can cause many false negatives.

Exercise 6

The last exercise shows that the mutual coherence condition implies the irrepresentable condition.

At the beginning we need to create a diagonal dominant matrix Q.

```
Q <- matrix(rnorm(500*500), ncol = 500, nrow = 500)
for (i in 1:500) {
   Q[i,i] <- (sum(abs(Q[i, -i])) + abs(rnorm(1)))*(-1)^sample(1:100,1)
}
nullspace(Q)</pre>
```

NULL

We just checked that ker(Q) = 0.

Next step is to check Gershgorin circle theorem. To do that we need to show that each eigenvalue of Q belongs to the intervals constructed by subtracting/adding to the values on diagonal all the values that are in the same row (wihout value on the diagonal).

```
G_circle <- function(d){
  lambdas <- Re(eig(d))
  is_between <- rep(0, 500)
  for (i in 1:500){
    lambda <- lambdas[i]
    is_this_one <- c()
        for(j in 1:500) {
        left_side <- Q[j,j] - sum(abs(d[j,-j]))
            right_side <- Q[j,j] + sum(abs(d[j,-j]))
        is_this_one[j] <- (lambda >= left_side) & (lambda <= right_side)
        }
    if(length(is_this_one)>=1) is_between[i] <- TRUE
    }
    return(is_between)
}
interval_check <- G_circle(Q)
    sum(interval_check) == 500 # if it's true, condition is satisfied</pre>
```

[1] TRUE

We are assuming that $||x^*||_0 \le (1 + 1/M(A))/2$, so in our case left side is equal to 2.155.

We should prove that the largest eigenvalue of $(A'A)^{-1}$, where A are the only columns that are in the $supp(x^*)$, is smaller than 2/(M(A)+1). We will check for which level of sparsity from 1:200 this condition is fulfilled:

```
zad6 <- function(MA, matrix){
  is_cond_held <- c()
  for(k in 1:200){
    max_eigen <- max(eig(solve(t(A[,1:k]) %*% A[,1:k])))
    is_cond_held[k] <- max_eigen < 2/(MA + 1)
  }
  return(is_cond_held)
}
smaller_or_not <- zad6(MA,A)</pre>
```

This set is: $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$. Thus, knowing the output, we can go beyond our start assumption that $||x^*||_0 < 2$.

We should then conclude that mutual coherence condition implies the irrepresentable condition. We could think about it when we were comparing sizes of the "K-sets". K_0 was the smallest one and was included in the others.

Now I will use some explanation according to paper on the website: For the next part we assume that $k = ||x^*||$ and $Q = A_I^T A_I$ where I are indexes that are in $supp(x^*)$. We know that diagonal elements of Q are ones and non-diagonal elements are smaller than M(A). By assumption M(A) is the biggest scalar product between the pair of different columns. Proving the Gerhgorin theorem we know that each eigenvalue λ of Q is in such interval (we approximate it):

$$[1-(k-1)M(A), 1+(k-1)M(A)]$$

Eigenvalues for $(A_I^T A_I)^{-1}$ wil be $\frac{1}{\lambda}$. Using previous interval we know that $\frac{1}{\lambda} < \frac{1}{1 - M(A)k + M(A)} < \frac{2}{M(A) + 1}$. Thus, we did point ii).