# ECOM031 Financial Econometrics Lecture 4: Extending GARCH models and Stochastic Volatility models

Richard G. Pierse

#### 1 Introduction

The ARCH model of Engle (1982) and its GARCH generalisation by Bollerslev (1986) can be extended in many different ways. IGARCH models extend the framework to allow volatility to have persistence, whereas ARCH-M models allow a correlation between the mean and volatility of a series and EGARCH models allow an asymmetry between the effect of positive and negative shocks. Stochastic volatility models take a difference approach by treating volatility as an unobservable stochastic variable.

## 2 Integrated (G)ARCH processes

In some empirical applications the stationarity condition that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j < 1$$

is not met. In this case, the conditional variance will exhibit persistence to shocks. A special case is where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j = 1$$

which is known as an *Integrated GARCH* or *I-GARCH* process. In this model, first considered by Engle and Bollerslev (1986), the *ARMA* process for  $u_t^2$  has a unit root. However, although the process is not covariance stationary since the unconditional variance of  $u_t$  is infinite, Nelson (1990) showed that the *IGARCH* model may still be strictly stationary, so that the effect of shocks to volatility will

eventually die out. In the IGARCH(1,1) case, a necessary condition for this is that

$$E(\log(\beta_1 + \alpha_1 u_{t-j}^2 \sigma_{t-j}^2)) < 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, t.$$

The RiskMetrics methodology developed at J.P. Morgan uses a special case of the IGARCH(1,1) model to explain the daily log return of a portfolio.

## $3 \quad (G)ARCH-M$ processes

In the standard (G)ARCH model, the time-varying volatility of  $u_t$  has no effect on the conditional mean of the process, which is given by

$$E(y_t|y_{t-1}) = \mathbf{x}_t'\beta.$$

In many financial applications, this might be unrealistic. For example, one might expect that periods of high volatility in returns might correspond with periods when expected returns are high. Engle, Lilien and Robins (1987) consider the ARCH-in-Mean or ARCH-M model in which the conditional variance  $\sigma_t^2$  is allowed directly to influence the mean of the process. The model is defined by:

$$y_t = \mathbf{x}_t' \beta + \gamma \sigma_t^2 + u_t \quad , \quad t = 1, \cdots, T$$
 (3.1)

where  $\sigma_t^2$  follows the ARCH process

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_2 u_{t-2}^2 + \dots + \alpha_q u_{t-q}^2$$
(3.2)

or the GARCH process

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_2 u_{t-2}^2 + \dots + \alpha_q u_{t-q}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \beta_2 \sigma_{t-2}^2 + \dots + \beta_p \sigma_{t-p}^2.$$
 (3.3)

In this model, the conditional mean

$$E(y_t|y_{t-1}) = \mathbf{x}_t'\beta + \gamma\sigma_t^2$$

in linearly related to the conditional variance with coefficient  $\gamma$ .

#### 4 EGARCH processes

In the standard (G)ARCH model, volatility is symmetric with respect to positive and negative shocks. In actual financial data, it is often observed that there is an asymmetry between the volatility associated with positive and negative shocks. A

model which allows for such asymmetry is the exponential GARCH or EGARCH model of Nelson (1991), with conditional variance  $\sigma_t^2$  defined by

$$\log \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \frac{u_{t-i}}{\sigma_{t-i}} + \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i \frac{|u_{t-i}| - \mathrm{E}(|u_{t-i}|)}{\sigma_{t-i}} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j \log \sigma_{t-j}^2$$
(4.1)

where  $|u_{t-i}|$  is the absolute value of  $u_{t-i}$ . In this model, positive and negative values of  $u_{t-i}$  have different effects on volatility. A positive shock in period t-i has effect  $\alpha_i + \gamma_i$  whereas a negative shock has effect  $\gamma_i - \alpha_i$ . The logarithmic formulation of this model means that the conditional variance  $\sigma_t^2$  cannot be negative, whatever values are taken by the coefficients.

#### 5 Stochastic Volatility Models

(G)ARCH models represent one, very important, approach to modelling processes with time-varying conditional volatility. An alternative approach is provided by stochastic volatility models. The simplest stochastic volatility model, introduced by Taylor (1986), is defined by the equations

$$y_t = \sigma_t u_t \tag{5.1}$$

where the log-volatility,  $\log \sigma_t$ , follows the autoregressive process

$$\log \sigma_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \log \sigma_{t-1} + \omega \varepsilon_t. \tag{5.2}$$

 $u_t$  and  $\varepsilon_t$  are independent white noise processes with unit variance. One important difference between this stochastic volatility model and the family of (G)ARCH models is that here the conditional variance  $\sigma_t$  depends on an additional noise process  $\varepsilon_t$  and so is itself an unobservable variable. This makes stochastic volatility models much more difficult to estimate than GARCH models as the likelihood function cannot be written down directly, and alternative estimation techniques must be used. A survey of these techniques is presented in Shephard (1996). We consider only the simplest case where the conditional mean of  $y_t$ ,  $y_t|y_{t-1}$ , is zero. In this model, it is possible to transform the model into a linear form.

To convert the simple stochastic model to a linear form, we would like to take logarithms of (5.1) but, since  $y_t$  can be negative, we must first take absolute values. Then

$$\log |y_t| = \log \sigma_t + E \log |u_t| + (\log |u_t| - E \log |u_t|). \tag{5.3}$$

where the term in brackets is treated as a normally distributed zero mean disturbance. Equations (5.3) and (5.2) together form what is called a linear state-space

form. In such a state-space form model, it is possible to compute the likelihood recursively, using an algorithm called the Kalman filter. (See Harvey *et al.* (1994) for details.) The estimation can be performed using the *STAMP* computer software package of Koopman *et al.* (1995).

Stochastic volatility models are quite closely related to EGARCH models. Both models use a logarithmic formulation of the conditional volatility so that no further conditions are necessary to ensure that  $\sigma_t^2$  is positive. Both models imply excess kurtosis, since in the stochastic volatity model

$$\kappa(y_t) = 3\exp(\operatorname{var}(\log \sigma_t)) = 3\exp\frac{\omega^2}{1 - \phi_1^2} > 3,$$

although the excess kurtosis here will in general be larger than in GARCH models and has no upper limit. This makes the stochastic volatility approach attractive for dealing with processes with very large kurtosis. However, the difficulty of estimation of these models compared with GARCH models has limited their use.

## References

- [1] Bollerslev, T. (1986), 'Generalised autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity', *Journal of Econometrics*, 32, 307–327.
- [2] Engle, R.F. (1982), 'Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity with estimates of the variance of UK inflation', *Econometrica*, 50, 987–1008.
- [3] Engle, R.F. (1995) (ed.) ARCH Selected Readings, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- [4] Engle, R.F. and T. Bollerslev (1986), 'Modelling the persistence of conditional variances', *Econometric Reviews*, 5, 1–50.
- [5] Engle, R.F., D.M. Lilien and R.P. Robins (1987), 'Estimating time varying risk premia in the term structure: the ARCH-M model', *Econometrica*, 55, 391–407.
- [6] Franses, P.H. and D. van Dijk (2000), Non-linear time series models in empirical finance, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- [7] Harvey, A.C., E. Ruiz and N. Shephard (1994), 'Multivariate stochastic variance models', *Review of Economic Studies*, 61, 247–264.
- [8] Koopman, S.J., A.C. Harvey, J.A. Doornik and N. Shephard (1995), *Stamp 5.0: Structural Time Series Analyser, Modeller and Predictor*, Chapman and Hall, London, UK.

- [9] Nelson, D.B. (1990), 'Stationarity and persistence in the GARCH(1,1) model', *Econometric Theory*, 6, 318–334.
- [10] Nelson, D.B. (1991), 'Conditional heteroscedasticity in asset returns: a new approach', *Econometrica*, 59, 347–370.
- [11] Shephard, N. (1996), 'Statistical aspects of ARCH and stochastic volatility', in O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, D.R. Cox and D.V. Hinkley (eds.) *Time Series Models in Econometrics, Finance and other Fields*, Chapman and Hall, London, UK.
- [12] Taylor, S.J. (1986), Modelling Financial Time Series, John Wiley, New York, NY.