Useful distributions

Bernoulli distribution

A Bernoulli random variable is the indicator function of an event or, in other words, a discrete random variable whose only possible values are zero and one. If $X \sim \mathcal{B}e(p)$,

$$P(X = 1) = 1 - P(X = 0) = p.$$

The probability mass function is

$$\mathcal{B}e(x;p) = \begin{cases} 1-p & \text{if } x = 0, \\ p & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Normal distribution

Arguably the most used (and abused) probability distribution. Its density is

$$\mathcal{N}(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\},\,$$

with expected value μ and variance σ^2 .

Beta distribution

The support of a Beta distribution is the interval (0,1). For this reason it is often used as prior distribution for an unknown probability. The distribution is parametrized in terms of two positive parameters, a and b, and is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(a,b)$. Its density is

$$\mathcal{B}(x; a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1}, \qquad 0 < x < 1,$$

For a random variable $X \sim \mathcal{B}(a, b)$ we have

$$E(X) = \frac{a}{a+b},$$
 $Var(X) = \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)}.$

A multivariate generalization is provided by the Dirichlet distribution.

Gamma distribution

A random variable X has a Gamma distribution, with parameters (a, b), if it has density

$$\mathcal{G}(x;a,b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-bx), \qquad x > 0$$

where a and b are positive parameters. We find that

$$E(X) = \frac{a}{b},$$
 $Var(X) = \frac{a}{b^2}.$

If a > 1, there is a unique mode at (a-1)/b. For a = 1, the density reduces to the (negative) exponential distribution with parameter b. For (a = k/2, b = 1/2) it is a Chi-square distribution with k degrees of freedom, $\chi^2(k)$.

If $X \sim \mathcal{G}(a,b)$, the density of Y = 1/X is called Inverse-Gamma, with parameters (a,b), and we have E(Y) = b/(a-1) if a > 1 and $Var(Y) = b^2/((a-1)^2(a-2))$ if a > 2.

Student-t distribution

If $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), U \sim \chi^2(k), k > 0$ and Z and U are independent, then the random variable $T = Z/\sqrt{U/k}$ has a (central) Student-t distribution with k degrees of freedom, with density

$$f(t;k) = c \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{k}\right)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}},$$

where $c = \Gamma((k+1)/2)/(\Gamma(k/2)\sqrt{k\pi})$. We write $T \sim \mathcal{T}(0,1,k)$ or simply $T \sim \mathcal{T}_k$.

It is clear from the definition that the density is positive on the whole real line and symmetric around the origin. It can be shown that, as k increases to infinity, the density converges to a standard Normal density at any point. We have

$$E(X) = 0 if k > 1,$$

$$Var(X) = \frac{k}{k-2} if k > 2.$$

If $T \sim \mathcal{T}(0,1,k)$, then $X = \mu + \sigma T$ has a Student-t distribution, with parameters (μ,σ^2) and k degrees of freedom; we write $X \sim \mathcal{T}(\mu,\sigma^2,k)$. Clearly $\mathrm{E}(X) = \mu$ if k > 1 and $\mathrm{Var}(X) = \sigma^2 \frac{k}{k-2}$ if k > 2.

Normal-Gamma distribution

Let (X,Y) be a bivariate random vector. If $X|Y=y\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu,(n_0y)^{-1})$, and $Y\sim \mathcal{G}(a,b)$, then we say that (X,Y) has a Normal-Gamma density with parameters (μ,n_0^{-1},a,b) (where of course $\mu\in\mathbb{R},\,n_0,a,b\in\mathbb{R}^+$). We write $(X,Y)\sim \mathcal{NG}(\mu,n_0^{-1},a,b)$ The marginal density of X is a Student-t, $X\sim \mathcal{T}(\mu,(n_0\frac{a}{b})^{-1},2a)$.

Multivariate Normal distribution

A continuous random vector $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)'$ has a k-variate Normal distribution with parameters $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k)'$ and Σ , where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and Σ is a symmetric positive-definite matrix, if it has density

$$\mathcal{N}_k(y;\mu,\Sigma) = |\Sigma|^{-1/2} (2\pi)^{-k/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (y-\mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (y-\mu)\right\}, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

where $|\Sigma|$ denotes the determinant of the matrix Σ . We write

$$Y \sim \mathcal{N}_k(\mu, \Sigma)$$
.

Clearly, if k = 1, so that Σ is a scalar, the $\mathcal{N}_k(\mu, \Sigma)$ reduces to the univariate Normal density.

We have $E(Y_i) = \mu_i$ and, denoting by $\sigma_{i,j}$ the elements of Σ , $Var(Y_i) = \sigma_{i,i}$ and $Cov(Y_i, Y_j) = \sigma_{i,j}$. The inverse of the covariance matrix Σ , $\Phi = \Sigma^{-1}$ is the precision matrix of Y.

Several results are of interest; their proof can be found in any multivariate analysis textbook (see, e.g. Barra and Herbach; 1981, pp.92,96).

- 1. If $Y \sim \mathcal{N}_k(\mu, \Sigma)$ and X is a linear transformation of Y, that is X = AY where A is a $n \times k$ matrix, then $X \sim \mathcal{N}_k(A\mu, A\Sigma A')$.
- 2. Let X and Y be two random vectors, with covariance matrices Σ_X and Σ_Y , respectively. Let Σ_{YX} be the covariance between Y and X, i.e. $\Sigma_{YX} = \mathrm{E}((Y \mathrm{E}(Y))(X \mathrm{E}(X))')$. The covariance between X and Y is then $\Sigma_{XY} = \Sigma'_{YX}$. Suppose that Σ_X is nonsingular. Then it can be proved that the joint distribution of (X,Y) is Gaussian if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) X has a Gaussian distribution;
 - (ii) the conditional distribution of Y given X=x is a Gaussian distribution whose mean is

$$E(Y|X = x) = E(Y) + \Sigma_{YX} \Sigma_X^{-1} (x - E(X))$$

and whose covariance matrix is

$$\Sigma_{Y|X} = \Sigma_Y - \Sigma_{YX} \Sigma_X^{-1} \Sigma_{XY}.$$

Multinomial distribution

Consider a set of n independent and identically distributed observations taking values in a finite label set $\{L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_k\}$. Denote by p_i the probability of an observation being equal to L_i , $i = 1, \ldots, k$. The vector of label counts $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_k)$, where X_i is the number of observations equal to L_i ($i = 1, \ldots, k$) has a Multinomial distribution, whose probability mass function is

$$\mathcal{M}ult(x_1, \dots, x_k; n, p) = \frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_k!} p_1^{x_1} \dots p_k^{x_k},$$

where $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$ and the counts x_1, \dots, x_k satisfy the constraint $\sum x_i = n$.

Dirichlet distribution

The Dirichlet distribution is a multivariate generalization of the Beta distribution. Consider a parameter vector $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$. The Dirichlet distribution $\mathcal{D}ir(a)$ has k-1-dimensional density

$$\mathcal{D}ir(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}; a) = \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \dots + a_k)}{\Gamma(a_1) \dots \Gamma(a_k)} x_1^{a_1 - 1} \dots x_{k-1}^{a_{k-1} - 1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i \right)^{a_k - 1},$$
for
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i < 1, \quad x_i > 0, \quad i = 1 \dots, k-1.$$

Wishart distribution

Let W be a symmetric positive-definite matrix of random variables $w_{i,j}$, i, j = 1, ..., k. The distribution of W is the joint distribution of its entries (in fact, the distribution of the k(k+1)/2-dimensional vector of the distinct entries). We say that W has a Wishart distribution with parameters α and B ($\alpha > (k-1)/2$ and B a symmetric, positive-definite matrix), if it has density

$$W_k(W; \alpha, B) = c|W|^{\alpha - (k+1)/2} \exp(-\operatorname{tr}(BW)),$$

where $c = |B|^{\alpha}/\Gamma_k(\alpha)$, $\Gamma_k(\alpha) = \pi^{k(k-1)/4} \prod_{i=1}^k \Gamma((2\alpha + 1 - i)/2)$ is the generalized gamma function and $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ denotes the trace of a matrix argument. We write $W \sim \mathcal{W}_k(\alpha, B)$ or just $W \sim \mathcal{W}(\alpha, B)$. We have

$$E(W) = \alpha B^{-1}.$$

The Wishart distribution arises in sampling from a multivariate Gaussian distribution. If (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n) , n > 1, is a random sample from a multivariate normal distribution $\mathcal{N}_k(\mu, \Sigma)$ and $\bar{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i/n$, then $\bar{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}_k(\mu, \Sigma/n)$ and

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \bar{Y})(Y_i - \bar{Y})'$$

is independent of \bar{Y} and has a Wishart distribution $W_k((n-1)/2, \Sigma^{-1}/2)$. In particular, if $\mu = 0$, then

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i Y_i' \sim \mathcal{W}_k \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{-1} \right),$$

whose density (for n > k - 1) is

$$f(w; n, \Sigma) \propto |W|^{\frac{n-k-1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}W)\right\}.$$

In fact, the Wishart distribution is usually parametrized in n and Σ , as in the expression above; then the parameter n is called degrees of freedom. Note that $E(W) = n\Sigma$. We used the parametrization in α and B for analogy with the Gamma distribution; indeed, if k = 1, so that B is a scalar, then $W_1(\alpha, B)$ reduces to the Gamma density $\mathcal{G}(\cdot; \alpha, B)$.

The following properties of the Wishart distribution can be proved. Let $W \sim \mathcal{W}_k(\alpha = n/2, B = \Sigma^{-1}/2)$ and Y = AWA', where A is an $(m \times k)$ matrix of real numbers $(m \leq k)$. Then Y has a Wishart distribution of dimension m with parameters α and $\frac{1}{2}(A\Sigma A)^{-1}$, if the latter exists. In particular, if W and Σ conformably partition into

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} W_{1,1} & W_{1,2} \\ W_{2,1} & W_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{1,1} & \Sigma_{1,2} \\ \Sigma_{2,1} & \Sigma_{2,2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $W_{1,1}$ and $\Sigma_{1,1}$ are $h \times h$ matrices $(1 \le h < k)$, then

$$W_{1,1} \sim \mathcal{W}_h \left(\alpha = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{1,1}^{-1} \right).$$

This property allows to compute the marginal distribution of the elements on the diagonal of W; for example, if k=2 and A=(1,0), then $Y=w_{1,1}\sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha=n/2,\sigma_{1,1}^{-1}/2)$, where $\sigma_{1,1}$ is the first element of the diagonal of Σ . It follows that $w_{1,1}/\sigma_{1,1}\sim \chi^2(n)$. Then,

$$E(w_{1,1}) = n\sigma_{1,1}, \quad Var(w_{1,1}) = 2n\sigma_{1,1}^2.$$

More generally, it can be proved that

$$\operatorname{Var}(w_{i,j}) = n(\sigma_{i,i}^2 + \sigma_{i,i}\sigma_{i,j}), \quad \operatorname{Cov}(w_{i,j}, w_{l,m}) = n(\sigma_{i,l}\sigma_{i,m} + \sigma_{i,m}\sigma_{i,l}).$$

If $W \sim W_k(\alpha = n/2, B = \Sigma^{-1}/2)$, then $V = W^{-1}$ has an *Inverse-Wishart* distribution and

$$E(V) = E(W^{-1}) = \left(\alpha - \frac{k+1}{2}\right)^{-1} B = \frac{1}{n-k-1} \Sigma^{-1}.$$

Multivariate Student-t distribution

If Y is a p-variate random vector with $Y \sim \mathcal{N}_p(0, \Sigma)$ and $U \sim \chi^2(k)$, with Y and U independent, then $X = \frac{Y}{\sqrt{U/k}} + \mu$ has a p-variate Student-t distribution, with parameters (μ, Σ) and k > 0 degrees of freedom, with density

$$f(x) = c \left[1 - \frac{1}{k} (x - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)\right]^{-(k+p)/2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^p,$$

where $c = \Gamma((k+p)/2)/(\Gamma(k/2)\pi^{p/2}k^{p/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}$. We write $X \sim \mathcal{T}(\mu, \Sigma, k)$. For p=1 it reduces to the univariate Student-t distribution. We have

$$\mathrm{E}(X) = \mu \text{ if } k > 1$$

$$\mathrm{Var}(X) = \Sigma \frac{k}{k-2} \text{ if } k > 2.$$

Multivariate Normal-Gamma distribution

Let (X,Y) be a random vector, with $X|Y=y\sim \mathcal{N}_m(\mu,(N_0y)^{-1})$, and $Y \sim Ga(a,b)$. Then we say that (X,Y) has a Normal-Gamma density with parameters (μ, N_0^{-1}, a, b) , denoted as $(X, Y) \sim \mathcal{NG}(\mu, N_0^{-1}, a, b)$. The marginal density of X is a multivariate Student-t, $X \sim \mathcal{T}(\mu, (N_0 \frac{a}{b})^{-1}, a, b)$.

(2a), so that $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = N_0^{-1}b/(a-1)$.

Matrix algebra: Singular Value Decomposition

Let M be a $p \times q$ matrix and let $r = \min\{p, q\}$. The singular value decomposition (SVD) of M consists in a triple of matrices (U, D, V) with the following properties:

- (i) U is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix;
- (ii) V is a $q \times q$ orthogonal matrix;
- (iii) D is a $p \times q$ matrix with entries $D_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$;
- (iv) UDV' = M.

If M is a square matrix, D is a diagonal matrix. If, in addition, M is nonnegative definite, then $D_{ii} \geq 0$ for every i. In this case one can define a diagonal matrix S by setting $S_{ii} = \sqrt{D_{ii}}$, so that $M = US^2V'$. It can be shown that if M is also symmetric, such as, for example, a variance matrix, then $M = US^2U'$. M is invertible if and only if $S_{ii} > 0$ for every i. The SVD has many applications in numerical linear algebra. For example, it can be used to compute a square root of a variance matrix M, i.e., a square matrix N such that M = N'N. In fact, if $M = US^2U'$, it is enough to set N = SU'. The inverse of M can also be easily computed from its SVD, provided M is invertible. In fact, it is immediate to verify that $M^{-1} = US^{-2}U'$. Note also that $S^{-1}U'$ is a square root of M^{-1} . More generally, for a noninvertible M, a generalized inverse M^- is a matrix with the property that $MM^-M = M$. The generalized inverse of a variance matrix can be found by defining the diagonal matrix S^- ,

$$S_{ii}^{-} = \begin{cases} S_{ii}^{-1} & \text{if } S_{ii} > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } S_{ii} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and setting $M^- = U(S^-)^2 V'$.

In package dlm the SVD is used extensively to compute filtering and smoothing variances in a numerically stable way, see Wang et al. (1992) and

¹ Note that our definition of matrix square root differs slightly from the most common one based on the relation $M=M^{\frac{1}{2}}M^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Zhang and Li (1996) for a complete discussion of the algorithms used. For example, consider the filtering recursion used to compute C_t . The calculation can be broken down in three steps:

- (i) compute $R_t = G_t C_{t-1} G'_t + W_t$; (ii) compute $C_t^{-1} = F'_t V_t^{-1} F_t + R_t^{-1}$;
- (iii) invert C_{ι}^{-1} .

Suppose $(U_{C,t-1}, S_{C,t-1})$ are the components of the SVD of C_{t-1} , so that $C_{t-1} = U_{C,t-1} S_{C,t-1}^2 U_{C,t-1}'$ and $N_{W,t}$ is a square root of W_t . Define the $2p \times p$ partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} S_{C,t-1}U'_{C,t-1}G'_t \\ N_{W,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

and let (U, D, V) be its SVD. The crossproduct of M is

$$\begin{split} M'M &= VDU'UDV' = VD^2V' \\ &= G_tU_{C,t-1}S_{C,t-1}^2U_{C,t-1}'G_t' + N_{W,t}'N_{W,t} \\ &= G_tC_{t-1}G_t' + W_t = R_t. \end{split}$$

Since V is orthogonal and D^2 is diagonal, (V, D^2, V) is the SVD of R_t and we can set $U_{R,t} = V$ and $S_{R,t} = D$. Now consider a square root $N_{V,t}$ of V_t^{-1} , define the $(m+p) \times p$ partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} N_{V,t} F_t U_{R,t} \\ S_{R,t}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and let (U, D, V) be its SVD. The crossproduct of M is

$$M'M = VDU'UDV' = VD^{2}V'$$

= $U'_{R,t}F'_{t}N'_{V,t}N_{V,t}F_{t}U_{R,t} + S_{R,t}^{-2}$.

Premultiplying by $U_{R,t}$ and postmultiplying by $U'_{R,t}$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} U_{R,t}M'MU'_{R,t} &= U_{R,t}VD^2V'U'_{R,t} \\ &= U_{R,t}U'_{R,t}F'_tN'_{V,t}N_{V,t}F_tU_{R,t}U'_{R,t} + U_{R,t}S_{R,t}^{-2}U'_{R,t} \\ &= F'_tV_t^{-1}F_t + R_t^{-1} = C_t^{-1}. \end{split}$$

$$(A + BEB')^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(B'A^{-1}B + E^{-1})^{-1}B'A^{-1},$$

in which A and E are nonsingular matrices of orders m and n and B is a $m \times n$ matrix.

² The expression for C_t^{-1} follows from the formulas in Proposition 2.2 by applying the general matrix equality

Since $U_{R,t}V$ is the product of two orthogonal matrices of order p, it is itself an orthogonal matrix. Therefore $U_{R,t}VD^2V'U'_{R,t}$ gives the SVD of C_t^{-1} : the "U" matrix of the SVD of C_t^{-1} is $U_{R,t}V$ and the "S" matrix is D. It follows that for the SVD of C_t we have $U_{C,t}=U_{R,t}V$ and $S_{C,t}=D^{-1}$.

Index

Adaptive rejection Metropolis sampling,	dynamic hierarchical models, 134
25	dynamic linear model, 41
ARMA, see autoregressive moving	time invariant, 43
average	dynamic linear regression, 43
ARMA model	
DLM representation, 112, 140	effective sample size, 210
ARMS, see Adaptive rejection	ergodic average, 23
Metropolis sampling	variance of, 23
arms, 28	exponential smoothing, 86
ARtransPars, 119	exponentially weighted moving average,
autoregressive moving average, 87	85
auxiliary particle filter, 216	
	factor loadings, 138
cointegration, 139	filtering, 50
controllability matrix, 78	for dynamic linear models, 53
	for general state space models, 51
discount factor, 152	forecast
DLM, see dynamic linear model	k-steps-ahead, 69
dlm, 44	one-step-ahead, 66
DLM components, 88	forecast error, 73
dlmFilter, 56	forecast function, 51
${\tt dlmForecast},72$	forecasting, 66
dlmForecast (to simulate from a DLM),	for dynamic linear models, 71
213	for general state space models, 70
$\mathtt{dlmMLE},\ 145$	Fourier frequency, 102
${\tt dlmModArma},118,140$	Fourier form seasonal model, 102
${\tt dlmModPoly},91,98$	fundamental frequency, 109
${\tt dlmModReg},\ 122$	
${\tt dlmModSeas},102$	gain matrix, 55
${\tt dlmModTrig},107,110$	Gibbs sampling, 24
${\tt dlmSmooth}, 62$	Granger causality, 141
dlmSvd2var, 57	
dynamic factor model, 138	harmonic function, 105
dynamic generalized liner models, 48	conjugate, 110

harmonic function, 109 MCMC, see Markov chain Monte Carlo conjugate, 105 mean absolute deviation, 98 hidden Markov model, 48 mean absolute percentage error, 98 hierarchical DLM, see dynamic mean square error, 98 hierarchical models Metropolis-Hastings algorithm, 24 missing values, 59 importance density, 208 MSE, see mean square error importance function, 208 observability matrix, 80 importance sampling, 208 observation equation, 41 importance transition densities, 210 optimal importance kernel, 213 incremental weights, 210 innovations, 74 package forecast, 86 standardized, 74 package dse1, 87 instantaneous causality, 141 package fBasics, 93 integrated random walk, 100 package nortest, 93 is.dlm, 47particle filter, 208 with unknown parameters, 219 JFF, 45 polynomial DLM, 89 **JGG**, 45 polynomial model, 99 JV, 45, 47 prediction, 50 JW, 45 random walk plus noise, 42, 89 Kalman filter, 53 resampling Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, 93 multinomial, 211 Kronecker product, 128 residual, 211 residuals, 75 linear growth, 42, 96 Riccati equation, 81 and ARIMA(0,2,2), 97 controllability of, 96 seasonal factors, 100 observability of, 96 seemingly unrelated regression model, linear regression 132DLM representation of, 121 seemingly unrelated time series linear regression model, 18 equations, 127 Ljung-Box test, 94 sequential Monte Carlo, 208 local level, 42, 55, 89 Shapiro-Wilk test, 93 and ARIMA(0,1,1), 91smoothing, 50 controllability of, 90 for dynamic linear models, 61 observability of, 90 for general state space models, 60 local linear trend, 42 state equation, 41 log-concave density, 26 state space model, 40 loglikelihood, 144 steady model, 90 stochastic volatility, 49 MAD, see mean absolute deviation SUR, see seemingly unrelated regression MAPE, see mean absolute percentage model SUTSE, see seemingly unrelated time Markov chain Monte Carlo, 22 series equations maximum likelihood estimator system equation, 41 standard error of, 146

Theil's U, 99

maximum likelihood estimator, 144

 $\texttt{tsdiag},\,75 \hspace{1.5cm} \texttt{W},\,45$

V, 45

VAR, see vector autoregressive model

vector autoregressive model, 140 X, 47

References

- Akaike, H. (1974a). Markovian representation of stochastic processes and its application to the analysis of autoregressive moving average processes, *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics* **26**: 363–387.
- Akaike, H. (1974b). Stochastic theory of minimal realization, IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control 19: 667–674.
- Amisano, G. and Giannini, C. (1997). Topics in Structural VAR Econometrics, 2nd edn, Springer, Berlin.
- Anderson, B. and Moore, J. (1979). Optimal Filtering, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs.
- Aoki, M. (1987). State Space Modeling of Time Series, Springer Verlag, New York. Barndorff-Nielsen, O., Cox, D. and Klüppelberg, C. (eds) (2001). Complex Stochastic Systems, Chapman & Hall, London.
- Barra, J. and Herbach, L. H. (1981). Mathematical Basis of Statistics, Academic Press, New York.
- Bawens, L., Lubrano, M. and Richard, J.-F. (1999). Bayesian inference in Dynamic Econometric Models, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Bayes, T. (1763). An essay towards solving a problem in the doctrine of chances. Published posthumously in Phil. Trans. Roy. Stat. Soc. London, 53, 370–418 and 54, 296–325. Reprinted in Biometrika 45 (1958), 293–315, with a biographical note by G.A. Barnard. Reproduced in Press (1989), 185–217.
- Berger, J. (1985). Statistical Decision Theory and Bayesian Analysis, Springer, Berlin.
- Bernardo, J. (1979a). Expected information as expected utility, *Annals of Statistics* pp. 686–690.
- Bernardo, J. (1979b). Reference posterior distributions for Bayesian inference (with discussion), *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B* pp. 113–147.
- Bernardo, J. and Smith, A. (1994). Bayesian Theory, Wiley, Chichester.
- Berndt, R. (1991). The Practice of Econometrics, Addison-Wesley.
- Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity, Journal of Econometrics 31: 307–327.
- Box, G., Jenkins, G. and Reinsel, G. (2008). Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control, 4th edn, Wiley, New York.

- Brandt, P. (2008). MSBVAR: Markov-Switching Bayesian Vector Autoregression Models. R package version 0.3.2.
 - URL: http://www.utdallas.edu/~pbrandt/
- Brown, P., Le, N. and Zidek, J. (1994). Inference for a covariance matrix, in P. Freeman and e. A.F.M. Smith (eds), Aspects of Uncertainty: A Tribute to D. V. Lindley, Wiley, Chichester, pp. 77–92.
- Caines, P. (1988). Linear Stochastic Systems, Wiley, New York.
- Campbell, J., Lo, A. and MacKinley, A. (1996). The Econometrics of Financial Markets, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Canova, F. (2007). Methods for Applied Macroeconomic Research, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Cappé, O., Godsill, S. and Moulines, E. (2007). An overview of existing methods and recent advances in sequential Monte Carlo, Proceedings of the IEEE 95: 899–924.
- Cappé, O., Moulines, E. and Rydén, T. (2005). Inference in Hidden Markov Models, Springer, New York.
- Carmona, R. A. (2004). Statistical analysis of financial data in S-plus, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Caron, F., Davy, M., A., D., Duflos, E. and Vanheeghe, P. (2008). Bayesian inference for linear dynamic models with dirichlet process mixtures, *IEEE Transactions* on Signal Processing 56: 71–84.
- Carter, C. and Kohn, R. (1994). On Gibbs sampling for state space models, Biometrika 81: 541–553.
- Chang, Y., Miller, J. and Park, J. (2005). Extracting a common stochastic trend: Theories with some applications, *Technical report*, Rice University.
 - URL: http://ideas.repec.org/p/ecl/riceco/2005-06.html.
- Chatfield, C. (2004). The Analysis of Time Series, 6th edn, CRC-Chapman & Hall, London.
- Cifarelli, D. and Muliere, P. (1989). Statistica Bayesiana, Iuculano Editore, Pavia. (In Italian).
- Consonni, G. and Veronese, P. (2001). Conditionally reducible natural exponential families and enriched conjugate priors, *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **28**: 377–406.
- Consonni, G. and Veronese, P. (2003). Enriched conjugate and reference priors for the wishart family on symmetric cones, *Annals of Statistics* **31**: 1491–1516.
- Cowell, R., Dawid, P., Lauritzen, S. and Spiegelhalter, D. (1999). *Probabilistic networks and expert systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- D'Agostino, R. and Stephens, M. (eds) (1986). Goodness-of-fit Techniques, Dekker, New York.
- Dalal, S. and Hall, W. (1983). Approximating priors by mixtures of conjugate priors, J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B 45: 278–286.
- Dawid, A. (1981). Some matrix-variate distribution theory: Notational considerations and a bayesian application, *Biometrika* 68: 265–274.
- Dawid, A. and Lauritzen, S. (1993). Hyper-markov laws in the statistical analysis of decomposable graphical models, *Ann. Statist.* **21**: 1272–1317.
- de Finetti, B. (1970a). Teoria della probabilità I, Einaudi, Torino. English translation as Theory of Probability I in 1974, Wiley, Chichester.
- de Finetti, B. (1970b). Teoria della probabilità II, Einaudi, Torino. English translation as Theory of Probability II in 1975, Wiley, Chichester.
- De Finetti, B. (1972). Probability, Induction and Statistics, Wiley, Chichester.

- DeGroot, M. (1970). Optimal Statistical Decisions, McGraw Hill, New York.
- Del Moral, P. (2004). Feynman-Kac Formulae: Genealogical and Interacting Particle Systems with Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Diaconis, P. and Ylvisaker, D. (1985). Quantifying prior opinion, in J. Bernardo, M. deGroot, D. Lindley and A. Smith (eds), Bayesian Statistics 2, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North Holland), pp. 133–156.
- Diebold, F. and Li, C. (2006). Forecasting the term structure of government bond yields, *Journal of Econometrics* **130**: 337–364.
- Diebold, F., Rudebuschb, G. and Aruoba, S. (2006). The macroeconomy and the yield curve: A dynamic latent factor approach, *Journal of Econometrics* 131: 309–338.
- Doan, T., Litterman, R. and Sims, C. (1984). Forecasting and conditional projection using realistic prior distributions, *Econometric Reviews* 3: 1–144.
- Doucet, A., De Freitas, N. and Gordon, N. (eds) (2001). Sequential Monte Carlo Methods in Practice, Springer, New York.
- Durbin, J. and Koopman, S. (2001). Time Series Analysis by State Space Methods, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Engle, R. (1982). Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity with estimates of the variance of UK inflation, *Econometrica* 50: 987–1008.
- Engle, R. and Granger, C. (1987). Co-integration and error correction: Representation, estimation, and testing, *Econometrica* 55: 251–276.
- Fearnhead, P. (2002). Markov chain Monte Carlo, sufficient statistics, and particle filter, *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 11: 848–862.
- Forni, M., Hallin, M., Lippi, M. and Reichlin, L. (2000). The generalized dynamic factor model: Identification and estimation, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 82: 540–552.
- Frühwirth-Schnatter, S. and Kaufmann, S. (2008). Model-based clustering of multiple time series, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* **26**: 78–89.
- Früwirth-Schnatter, S. (1994). Data augmentation and dynamic linear models, *Journal of Time Series Analysis* **15**: 183–202.
- Früwirth-Schnatter, S. and Wagner, H. (2008). Stochastic model specification search for Gaussian and non-Gaussian state space models, IFAS Research Papers, 2008-36.
- Gamerman, D. and Migon, H. (1993). Dynamic hierarchical models, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B 55: 629–642.
- Gelman, A., Carlin, J., Stern, H. and Rubin, D. (2004). *Bayesian Data Analysis*, 2nd edn, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton.
- Geweke, J. (2005). Contemporary Bayesian Econometrics and Statistics, Wiley, Hoboken.
- Gilbert, P. (2008). Brief User's Guide: Dynamic Systems Estimation. URL: http://www.bank-banque-canada.ca/pgilbert/.
- Gilks, W. and Berzuini, C. (2001). Following a moving target Monte Carlo inference for dynamic Bayesian models, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, *Series B* 63: 127–146.
- Gilks, W., Best, N. and Tan, K. (1995). Adaptive rejection Metropolis sampling within Gibbs sampling (Corr: 97V46 p541-542 with R.M. Neal), Applied Statistics 44: 455–472.
- Gilks, W. and Wild, P. (1992). Adaptive rejection sampling for Gibbs sampling, Applied Statistics 41: 337–348.

- Gourieroux, C. and Monfort, A. (1997). Time Series and Dynamic Models, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Granger, C. (1981). Some properties of time series data and their use in econometric model specification, *Journal of Econometrics* **16**: 150–161.
- Gross, J. (n.d.). Nortest: Tests for Normality. R package version 1.0.
- Hannan, E. and Deistler, M. (1988). The Statistical Theory of Linear Systems, Wiley, New York.
- Harrison, P. and Stevens, C. (1976). Bayesian forecasting (with discussion), Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B 38: 205–247.
- Harvey, A. (1989). Forecasting, Structural Time Series Models and the Kalman filter, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hastings, W. (1970). Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications, Biometrika 57: 97–109.
- Hutchinson, C. (1984). The Kalman filter applied to aerospace and electronic systems, Aerospace and Electronic Systems, IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems AES-20: 500-504.
- Hyndman, R. (2008). Forecast: Forecasting Functions for Time Series. R package version 1.14.
 - URL: http://www.robhyndman.com/Rlibrary/forecast/.
- Hyndman, R. (n.d.). Time Series Data Library.
 - URL: http://www.robjhyndman.com/TSDL.
- Hyndman, R., Koehler, A., Ord, J. and Snyder, R. (2008). Forecasting with Exponential smoothing, Springer, Berlin.
- Jacquier, E., Polson, N. and Rossi, P. (1994). Bayesian analysis of stochastic volatility models (with discussion), *Journal of Business and Economic Statis*tics 12: 371–417.
- Jazwinski, A. (1970). Stochastic Processes and Filtering Theory, Academic Press, New York.
- Jeffreys, H. (1998). *Theory of Probability*, 3rd edn, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Johannes, M. and Polson, N. (2009). MCMC methods for continuous-time financial econometrics, in Y. Ait-Sahalia and L. Hansen (eds), *Handbook of Financial Econometrics*, Elsevier. (To appear).
- Kalman, R. (1960). A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems, Trans. of the AMSE - Journal of Basic Engineering (Series D) 82: 35–45.
- Kalman, R. (1961). On the general theory of control systems, Proc. IFAC Congr Ist. 1: 481–491.
- Kalman, R. (1968). Contributions to the theory of optimal control, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana 5: 558–563.
- Kalman, R. and Bucy, R. (1963). New results in linear filtering and prediction theory, Trans. of the AMSE – Journal of Basic Engineering (Series D) 83: 95–108.
- Kalman, R., Ho, Y. and Narenda, K. (1963). Controllability of linear dynamical systems, in J. Lasalle and J. Diaz (eds), Contributions to Differential Equations, Vol. 1, Wiley Interscience.
- Kim, C.-J. and Nelson, C. (1999). State Space Models with Regime Switching, MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Kolmogorov, A. (1941). Interpolation and extrapolation of stationary random sequences, *Bull. Moscow University, Ser. Math.* 5.

- Künsch, H. (2001). State space and hidden Markov models, in O. Barndorff-Nielsen, D. Cox and C. Klüppelberg (eds), Complex stochastic systems, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, pp. 109–173.
- Kuttner, K. (1994). Estimating potential output as a latent variable, Journal of Business and Economic Statistics 12: 361–68.
- Landim, F. and Gamerman, D. (2000). Dynamic hierarchical models; an extension to matrix-variate observations, Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 35: 11– 42.
- Laplace, P. (1814). Essai Philosophique sur les Probabilitiès, Courcier, Paris. The 5th edn (1825) was the last revised by Laplace. English translation in 1952 as Philosophical Essay on Probabilities, Dover, New York.
- Lau, J. and So, M. (2008). Bayesian mixture of autoregressive models, Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 53: 38–60.
- Lauritzen, S. (1981). Time series analysis in 1880: A discussion of contributions made by T.N. Thiele, *International Statist. Review* 49: 319–331.
- Lauritzen, S. (1996). Graphical Models, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Lindley, D. (1978). The Bayesian approach (with discussion), Scandinavian Journal of Statistics 5: 1–26.
- Lindley, D. and Smith, A. (1972). Bayes estimates for the linear model, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B* **34**: 1–41.
- Lipster, R. and Shiryayev, A. (1972). Statistics of conditionally Gaussian random sequences, Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Univ. California Press, Berkeley.
- Litterman, R. (1986). Forecasting with Bayesian vector autoregressions five years of experience, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 4: 25–38.
- Liu, J. (2001). Monte Carlo Strategies in Scientific Computing, Springer, New York.
- Liu, J. and West, M. (2001). Combined parameter and state estimation in simulation-based filtering, in A. Doucet, N. De Freitas and N. Gordon (eds), Sequential Monte Carlo Methods in Practice, Springer, New York.
- Ljung, G. and Box, G. (1978). On a measure of lack of fit in time series models, Biometrika 65: 297–303.
- Lütkepohl, H. (2005). New Introduction to Multiple Time Series Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Maybeck, P. (1979). Stochastic Models, Estimation and Control, Vol. 1 and 2, Academic Press, New York.
- Metropolis, N., Rosenbluth, A., Rosenbluth, M., Teller, A. and Teller, E. (1953). Equations of state calculations by fast computing machines, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **21**: 1087–1091.
- Migon, H., Gamerman, D., Lopez, H. and Ferreira, M. (2005). Bayesian dynamic models, in D. Day and C. Rao (eds), *Handbook of Statistics*, Vol. 25, Elsevier B.V., chapter 19, pp. 553–588.
- Morf, M. and Kailath, T. (1975). Square-root algorithms for least-squares estimation, *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control* **AC-20**: 487–497.
- Muliere, P. (1984). Modelli lineari dinamici, *Studi Statistici* (8), Istituto di Metodi Quantitativi, Bocconi University, Milan. (In Italian).
- O'Hagan, A. (1994). Bayesian Inference, Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics, 2B, Edward Arnold, London.
- Oshman, Y. and Bar-Itzhack, I. (1986). Square root filtering via covariance and information eigenfactors, *Automatica* 22: 599–604.

- Petris, G. and Tardella, L. (2003). A geometric approach to transdimensional Markov chain Monte Carlo, *Canadian Journal of Statistics* **31**: 469–482.
- Pfaff, B. (2008a). Analysis of Integrated and Cointegrated Time Series with R, 2nd edn, Springer, New York.
- Pfaff, B. (2008b). Var, svar and svec models: Implementation within R package vars, Journal of Statistical Software 27.
 - URL: http://www.jstatsoft.org/v27/i04/.
- Pitt, M. and Shephard, N. (1999). Filtering via simulation: Auxiliary particle filters, Journal of the American Statistical Association 94: 590–599.
- Plackett, R. (1950). Some theorems in least squares, Biometrika 37: 149–157.
- Poirier, D. (1995). Intermediate Statistics and Econometrics: a Comparative Approach, MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Pole, A., West, M. and Harrison, J. (n.d.). Applied Bayesian forecasting and time series analysis, Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Prakasa Rao, B. (1999). Statistical Inference for Diffusion Type Processes, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Rabiner, L. and Juang, B. (1993). Fundamentals of Speech Recognition, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs.
- Rajaratnam, B., Massam, H. and Carvalho, C. (2008). Flexible covariance estimation in graphical Gaussian models, *Annals of Statistics* **36**: 2818–2849.
- Reinsel, G. (1997). Elements of Multivariate Time Series Analysis, 2nd edn, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Robert, C. (2001). The Bayesian Choice, 2nd edn, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Robert, C. and Casella, G. (2004). *Monte Carlo Statistical Methods*, 2nd edn, Springer, New York.
- Rydén, T. and Titterington, D. (1998). Computational Bayesian analysis of hidden Markov models, J. Comput. Graph. Statist. 7: 194–211.
- Savage, L. (1954). The Foundations of Statistics, Wiley, New York.
- Schervish, M. (1995). Theory of Statistics, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Shephard, N. (1994). Partial non-Gaussian state space models, *Biometrika* 81: 115–131.
- Shephard, N. (1996). Statistical aspects of ARCH and stochastic volatility, in D. Cox, D. Hinkley and O. Barndorff-Nielsen (eds), *Time Series Models with Econometric, Finance and other Applications*, Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 1–67.
- Shumway, R. and Stoffer, D. (2000). Time Series Analysis and its Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Sokal, A. (1989). Monte Carlo Methods in Statistical Mechanics: Foundations and New Algorithms, Cours de Troisième Cycle de la Physique en Suisse Romande, Lausanne.
- Stein, C. (1956). Inadmissibility of the usual estimator for the mean of a multivariate normal distribution, in J. Neyman (ed.), Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1, University of California Press, Berkeley, pp. 197–206.
- Storvik, G. (2002). Particle filters for State-Space models with the presence of unknown static parameters, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* **50**: 281–289.
- Theil, H. (1966). Applied Economic Forecasting, North Holland, Amsterdam.

- Thiele, T. (1880). Om anvendelse af mindste kvadraters methode i nogle tilflde, hvor en komplikation af visse slags uensartede tilfldige fejlkilder giver fejlene en "systematisk" karakter, Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Skrifter Naturvidenskabelig og Mathematisk Afdeling pp. 381–408. English Transalation in: Thiele: Pioneer in Statistics, S. L. Lauritzen, Oxford University Press (2002).
- Tierney, L. (1994). Markov chain for exploring posterior distributions (with discussion), *Annals of Statistics* **22**: 1701–1786.
- Uhlig, H. (1994). On singular Wishart and singular multivariate beta distributions, Annals of Statistics 22: 395–405.
- Venables, W. and Ripley, B. (2002). Modern Applied Statistics with S, 4th edn, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Wang, L., Liber, G. and Manneback, P. (1992). Kalman filter algorithm based on singular value decomposition, Proc. of the 31st Conf. on Decision and Control, pp. 1224–1229.
- West, M. and Harrison, J. (1997). Bayesian Forecasting and Dynamic Models, 2nd edn, Springer, New York.
- West, M., Harrison, J. and Migon, H. (1985). Dynamic generalized linear models and Bayesian forecasting, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 80: 73–83.
- Wiener, N. (1949). The Extrapolation, Interpolation and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series, Wiley, New York.
- Wold, H. (1938). A Study in the Analysis of Stationary Time Series, Almquist and Wiksell, Uppsala.
- Wuertz, D. (2008). fBasics: Rmetrics Markets and Basic Statistics. R package version 280.74.
 - URL: http://www.rmetrics.org.
- Zellner, A. (1971). An Introduction to Bayesian Inference in Econometrics, Wiley, New York.
- Zhang, Y. and Li, R. (1996). Fixed-interval smoothing algorithm based on singular value decomposition, Proceedings of the 1996 IEEE International Conference on Control Applications, pp. 916–921.