

Autoformalizing Siegel's lemma

April 30, 2024

Theorem 0.1 (Lemma 8.1). *Let $0 < M < N$, and a_{jk} be rational integers satisfying*

$$|a_{jk}| \leq A \quad \text{where } 1 \leq A, 1 \leq j \leq M \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (1)$$

Then there exists a set of rational integers x_1, \dots, x_N , not all zero, satisfying

$$a_{j1}x_1 + \dots + a_{jN}x_N = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq M \quad (2)$$

and

$$|x_k| \leq (NA)^{\frac{M}{N-M}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let

$$H = (NA)^{\frac{M}{N-M}}. \quad (4)$$

Then

$$NA < (H + 1)^{\frac{N-M}{M}}. \quad (5)$$

Hence

$$(NAH) + 1 \leq NA(H + 1) \quad (6)$$

and

$$NA(H + 1) < (H + 1)^{\frac{N}{M}} \quad (7)$$

Define

$$y_j = a_{j1}x_1 + \dots + a_{jN}x_N, \quad 1 \leq j \leq M. \quad (8)$$

We define B_j as the sum of the $-\min(0, a_{jk})$ for all a_{jk} .

Similarly, we define C_j as the sum of the $\max(0, a_{jk})$ for all a_{jk} .

For any set of integers (x_1, \dots, x_N) satisfying

$$0 \leq x_k \leq H, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (9)$$

we have that

$$-B_j H \leq y_j \leq C_j H, \quad (10)$$

and

$$B_j + C_j \leq NA. \quad (11)$$

The number of sets of (x_1, \dots, x_N) satisfying

$$0 \leq x_k \leq H, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (12)$$

is $(H + 1)^N$.

And the corresponding number of set of sets (y_1, \dots, y_M) is at most

$$(NAH + 1)^M.$$

It follows from the fact

$$(NAH) + 1 \leq NA(H + 1) < (H + 1)^{\frac{N}{M}} \quad (13)$$

and the pigeonhole principle that there must be two sets (x'_1, \dots, x'_N) and (x''_1, \dots, x''_N) which correspond to the same set (y_1, \dots, y_M) .

Let $x_k = x'_k - x''_k, (1 \leq k \leq N)$ so that (x_1, \dots, x_N) is now the required set satisfying

$$a_{j1}x_1 + \dots + a_{jN}x_N = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq M \quad (14)$$

and

$$|x_k| \leq (NA)^{\frac{M}{N-M}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (15)$$

□