



# Rouquier dimension is Krull dimension for normal toric varieties

David Favero<sup>1,2</sup> · Jesse Huang<sup>3</sup>

Received: 13 June 2023 / Revised: 6 August 2023 / Accepted: 29 August 2023 /

Published online: 3 October 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

## Abstract

We prove that for any normal toric variety, the Rouquier dimension of its bounded derived category of coherent sheaves is equal to its Krull dimension. Our proof uses the coherent-constructible correspondence to translate the problem into the study of Rouquier dimension for certain categories of constructible sheaves.

**Keywords** Rouquier dimension · Derived categories · Toric varieties

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 14M25 · 32S60 · 14F08 · 14J33

## 1 Introduction

The Rouquier dimension of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  is a measure of its homological complexity. More precisely, given a generator  $G \in \mathcal{T}$ , the *generation time* is the minimal number of exact triangles needed to form every object of  $\mathcal{T}$  from  $G$ . The Rouquier dimension is simply the infimum of these generation times.

---

J. Huang is supported by a Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences Postdoctoral Fellowship and by NSERC through the Discovery Grant program. D. Favero is supported by NSERC through the Discovery Grant and Canada Research Chair programs.

---

✉ Jesse Huang  
jesse.huang@ualberta.ca

David Favero  
favero@ualberta.ca

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, 127 Vincent Hall, 206 Church Street, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

<sup>2</sup> Korea Institute for Advanced Study, 85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, Central Academic Building 632, Edmonton, AB T6G 2C7, Canada

Rouquier, who introduced this invariant in [29], primarily studied it for  $\mathcal{T} = \text{Coh}(X)$ , the derived category of coherent sheaves of a scheme  $X$ . Notably, he showed that the Rouquier dimension of  $\text{Coh}(X)$  is bounded below by the Krull dimension of  $X$  and remarked that he knew of no cases where the two were not equal. Orlov [26] went on to prove that the two invariants agree in dimension 1 and proposed that Rouquier dimension and Krull dimension should agree for all smooth algebraic varieties.

**Conjecture 1.1** (Orlov) *For any smooth algebraic variety, the Rouquier dimension of its derived category of bounded coherent sheaves agrees with the Krull dimension of the variety.*

While this conjecture is still largely open, it has been established in various special cases [1, 2, 4–7, 9, 12, 25, 26, 28, 29, 33].<sup>1</sup> In this note, we settle the toric case:

**Theorem 1.2** (Corollary 3.7) *For any normal toric variety Conjecture 1.1 holds.*

This result has also been obtained independently and will appear in forthcoming work of Hanlon–Hicks–Lazarev [20].

Our method of proof passes through a form of homological mirror symmetry for toric varieties (and certain toric stacks) called the coherent-constructible correspondence. This correspondence goes back to the pioneering works of Fang–Liu–Treumann–Zaslow [13–15], however, we use the most general form due to Kuwagaki [23]. This provides an equivalence

$$\kappa : \text{Coh}(X_\Sigma) \cong \text{Sh}^w(\Lambda_\Sigma)$$

between the derived categories of coherent sheaves on the toric variety  $X_\Sigma$  and a certain class of constructible sheaves on a torus.

Hence, to obtain the theorem, it is enough to study Rouquier dimension for certain categories of constructible sheaves. It turns out that such categories have a natural generator  $\mathcal{P}^\Lambda$  which we call the *probe generator*. Therefore, we study the generation time of this object, providing upper and lower bounds which in the case of a torus are sharp (yielding our main result as a consequence).

**Theorem 1.3** (Example 2.16) *Let  $\mathbb{T} = (S^1)^n$  be a real torus and  $\Lambda$  be a Lagrangian skeleton. Then the generation time of the probe generator is  $n$ .*

For completeness, we also track the probe generator through the equivalence  $\kappa^{-1}$ . As it turns out, the summands of the probe generator correspond to a natural stratification of the torus first considered by Bondal–Ruan [8] who established a bijection between strata and summands of the toric Frobenius pushforward of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Therefore, unsurprisingly, we find that the probe generator is taken to the toric Frobenius pushforward of  $\mathcal{O}$  (the same generator studied in [2]).

<sup>1</sup> We are not sure if this list is exhaustive.

## Notation and conventions

- All categories are dg derived.
- We use the notation in e.g. [24] for various sheaf categories equipped with either a stratification or with singular support conditions.  $\mathrm{Sh}^\diamond$  means the dg derived category of constructible sheaves of  $\mathbb{C}$ -modules (of possibly infinite rank),  $\mathrm{Sh}^w$  means compact objects in  $\mathrm{Sh}^\diamond$ , and  $\mathrm{Sh}^c$  means the dg derived category of constructible sheaves of  $\mathbb{C}$ -modules of finite rank.
- The generation time of a generator  $G$  in a triangulated category is denoted by  $t(G)$ . The Loewy length of an algebra  $A$  is denoted by  $\mathrm{LL}(A)$ .

## 2 Generation time for probe generators

**Definition 2.1** (*Poset stratified topological space*) Let  $S$  be a poset. Equip  $S$  with the Alexandroff topology. An  $S$ -stratification of a topological space  $X$  is the level sets of a surjective continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow S$ .

Let  $X$  be a finite regular CW complex satisfying the *axiom of the frontier*

$$\overline{e_\alpha} \cap e_\beta \neq \emptyset \iff e_\beta \subset \overline{e_\alpha} \text{ for cells } e_\beta \text{ and } e_\alpha.$$

The axiom of the frontier guarantees that the collection of cells  $S_{\mathrm{CW}}$  form a poset. Hence  $X$  obtains an  $S_{\mathrm{CW}}$ -stratification. The incidence algebra,  $A$ , of this poset is an HPA [16, Definition 3.1] whose underlying quiver  $Q$  has:

$$Q_0 := \{e_i \in S_{\mathrm{CW}}\}$$

$$\# Q_1(e_j \rightarrow e_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \overline{e_i} \cap e_j \neq \emptyset \text{ and } j = i - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with ideal generated by path homotopy relation among exit paths. One can write  $A = \mathrm{End}(G_A)$ , with  $G_A = \bigoplus_{S_\alpha \in S_{\mathrm{CW}}} \mathcal{P}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$  are the exit sheaves from strata  $S_\alpha$  [16, Proposition 4.16].

**Lemma 2.2** *The algebra  $A$  is Koszul. The direct sum of the left dual exceptional collection is*

$$G_A^! := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in S_{\mathrm{CW}}} i_\alpha! \mathbb{C}_{S_\alpha}[k_\alpha],$$

where  $k_\alpha$  is the position  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$  appears in the exceptional collection. The endomorphism algebra of  $G_A^!$  in  $\mathrm{Sh}_{S_{\mathrm{CW}}}^c(X)$  is the Koszul dual  $A^!$ .

**Proof** The order complex of any open interval  $(e_\alpha, e_\beta)$  in the cell poset, which is the face link of the minimal cell  $e_\alpha$  inside the maximal cell  $e_\beta$  bounding the interval, is always homeomorphic to a sphere of  $\dim \dim e_\beta - \dim e_\alpha - 2$ , hence the cell poset

is locally Cohen–Macaulay. By [27, Proposition, Section 1.6],  $A$  is Koszul. (See also [31, 32].)

Now observe that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{P}_\beta, i_{\alpha!}\mathbb{C}_{S_\alpha}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Hence  $i_{\alpha!}\mathbb{C}_{S_\alpha}[k]$  is the left dual of  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3** Explicitly, we can define an ideal  $I \subseteq kQ$  generated by

$$I := \langle a(e_i, e_{i-1})a(e_{i-1}, e_{i-2}) - a(e_i, e'_{i-1})a(e'_{i-1}, e_{i-2}) \rangle.$$

Then  $A = kQ/I$ . Our assumptions on  $X$  imply, by [10, Theorem 4.2], that for any codimension 2 incidence relation  $\sigma <_2 \sigma'$ , there are exactly two intermediate  $\tau$ , such that  $\sigma <_1 \tau <_1 \sigma'$ . Hence the perpendicular of  $I$  in  $kQ^{\mathrm{op}}$  is given by

$$I^\perp := \langle a(e_i, e_{i-1})a(e_{i-1}, e_{i-2}) + a(e_i, e'_{i-1})a(e'_{i-1}, e_{i-2}) \rangle,$$

which gives the quadratic dual algebra

$$A^! := kQ^{\mathrm{op}}/I^\perp.$$

**Remark 2.4** In this simple case,  $\mathrm{Sh}_{S_{\mathrm{CW}}}^w(X) = \mathrm{Sh}_{S_{\mathrm{CW}}}^c(X)$ .

**Remark 2.5** The  $A_\infty$ -structure on both dg endomorphism algebras can be seen to be formal. Namely, an exit sheaf  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha \in \mathrm{Sh}_{S_{\mathrm{CW}}}^c(X)$  is a complex of sheaves concentrated in degree 0. Since  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$  represents the stalk functor at  $p \in S_\alpha$  [16], we have  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sh}_{S_{\mathrm{CW}}}^c(X)}(\mathcal{P}_\alpha, \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'}) = \mathcal{P}_{\alpha'}|_p$ , which is in degree 0. Hence all morphisms among the exit sheaves are in degree 0, which implies  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Sh}_{S_{\mathrm{CW}}}^c(X)}(G_A)$  is formal. The Koszul property also forces the  $A_\infty$ -structure of  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Sh}_{S_{\mathrm{CW}}}^c(X)}(G_A^!)$  to be formal for degree reasons.

**Proposition 2.6** *Given  $(X, S_{\mathrm{CW}})$ , we have*

$$t(G_A) = t(G_A^!) = \dim X.$$

**Proof** By Lemma 2.2,  $A$  is Koszul, and the quadratic dual  $\mathrm{End}(G_A^!) = A^!$ . Hence by [5, Proposition 3.26], we have  $t(G_A) = \mathrm{LL}(A^!) - 1 = \dim X$ . Symmetrically,  $\mathrm{End}(G_A) = A$  and  $t(G_A^!) = \mathrm{LL}(A) - 1 = \dim X$ .  $\square$

We now give a (somewhat restrictive) definition of Lagrangian skeleta for convenience.

**Definition 2.7** A *Lagrangian skeleton* on a smooth manifold  $X$  is a conical Lagrangian in  $T^*X$  containing the zero section embedded as a closed subset in the union of conormals of all strata in a Whitney stratification  $S'$  of  $X$ .

If  $S$  is a coarsening  $S'$  such that the quotient map  $S' \rightarrow S$  induces a poset structure on  $S$ , we call the Lagrangian skeleton

$$\Lambda_S := \bigcup_{S_\alpha \in S} \text{ss}(i_{\alpha!} \mathbb{C}_{S_\alpha})$$

the *stratification skeleton* of  $S$ .

Now suppose  $X$  is a smooth manifold and  $\Lambda' \subset T^*X$  is a Lagrangian skeleton. Recall from [24] that if  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$  is a closed subskeleton, there is a localization functor

$$\rho^L : \text{Sh}_{\Lambda'}^\diamond(X) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\Lambda^\diamond(X),$$

defined as the left adjoint to the inclusion  $\rho : \text{Sh}_\Lambda^\diamond(X) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\Lambda'}^\diamond(X)$ . Moreover,  $\rho^L$  preserves compact objects. Restriction to compact objects gives the stop removal functor

$$\rho^{L,w} : \text{Sh}_{\Lambda'}^w(X) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\Lambda^w(X).$$

**Definition 2.8** Let  $\Lambda \subseteq T^*X$  be a Lagrangian skeleton. We call the representative of the stalk functor at  $v$ , that is,  $\mathcal{P}_v^\Lambda \in \text{Sh}_\Lambda^w(X)$  satisfying

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}_\Lambda^\diamond(X)}(\mathcal{P}_v, F) = F_v, \quad \text{for all } F \in \text{Sh}_\Lambda^\diamond(X),$$

the *stalk probe* at  $v$ .

**Remark 2.9** Here, we do not require  $v$  to be inside the smooth locus of  $\Lambda$ . This is allowed since taking the stalk at any point  $v \in X$  is a cocontinuous functor  $\text{Sh}_\Lambda^\diamond \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{-Mod}$ .

**Lemma 2.10** Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda'$  be a closed subskeleton, then

$$\rho^{L,w}(\mathcal{P}_v^{\Lambda'}) = \mathcal{P}_v^\Lambda.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_\Lambda^\diamond(X)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\rho^{L,w}(\mathcal{P}_v^{\Lambda'}), \mathcal{F}) &= \text{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(\mathcal{P}_v^{\Lambda'}, \mathcal{F}) && \text{by adjunction} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_v && \text{by definition.} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Definition 2.11** Suppose  $S_{\text{CW}}$  is a regular CW complex stratification on a smooth manifold  $X$  with stratification skeleton  $\Lambda_{\text{CW}}$  (see Definition 2.7), and let  $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_{\text{CW}}$  be a closed subskeleton. For each cell  $e_\alpha$ , choose a base point  $e_{\alpha,v}$ . The *probe generator* is the direct sum of stalk probes

$$\mathcal{P}^\Lambda := \bigoplus_{S_\alpha \in S_{\text{CW}}} \mathcal{P}_{e_{\alpha,v}}^\Lambda$$

considered as an object of  $\text{Sh}_\Lambda^w$ .

**Lemma 2.12** Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda'$  be a closed subskeleton of a Lagrangian skeleton  $\Lambda \subset T^*X$ , then

$$t(\mathcal{P}^\Lambda) \leq t(\mathcal{P}^{\Lambda'}).$$

**Proof.** The functor  $\rho^{L,w}$  has dense image by [18, Corollary 4.22]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} t(\mathcal{P}^{\Lambda'}) &\geq t(\rho^{L,w}(\mathcal{P}^{\Lambda'})) && \text{since } \rho^{L,w} \text{ has dense image} \\ &= t(\mathcal{P}^\Lambda) && \text{by Lemma 2.10.} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The proof of the next theorem requires the use of ghost maps. We recall the following definition:

**Definition 2.13** ([5, Definition 2.10]) Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category,  $f$  be a morphism, and  $\mathcal{I}$  be a full subcategory. We say that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{I}$  ghost if, for all  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , the induced map,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(I, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(I, Y)$ , is zero.

We now recall the Ghost Lemma, which will be used to prove the theorem below.

**Lemma 2.14** ([5, Lemma 2.12] or [29, Lemma 4.11]) Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category and let  $G$  be an object of  $\mathcal{T}$ . If there exists a sequence of morphisms,  $f_i: X_{i-1} \rightarrow X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , in  $\mathcal{T}$  where each  $f_i$  is  $G$ -ghost and  $f_t \circ \dots \circ f_1 \neq 0$ , then  $G$  generates  $X_0$  in time at least  $t$ .

**Theorem 2.15** Let  $X$ ,  $\Lambda_{\text{CW}}$ , and  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_{\text{CW}}$  be as in Definition 2.11. Then,

$$\text{LL}(H^*(X, k)) - 1 \leq t(\mathcal{P}^\Lambda) \leq \dim X.$$

In particular, the Rouquier dimension of  $\text{Sh}_\Lambda^w(X)$  is at most  $\dim X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $c \in H^i(X, \mathbb{C})$  be a cohomology class. This induces a map of constructible sheaves

$$c: \mathbb{C}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_X[i].$$

For  $i > 0$ , the map  $c$  is  $\mathcal{P}^\Lambda$ -ghost as

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}^\Lambda, \mathbb{C}_X[i]) = \bigoplus_{e_v} \mathbb{C}[i]$$

is concentrated in degree  $i$ . Hence any nontrivial cup product  $c_1 \cup \dots \cup c_n$  gives a nontrivial sequence of  $\mathcal{P}^\Lambda$ -ghost maps. The Ghost Lemma 2.14 then gives the lower bound.

For the upper bound, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(\mathcal{P}^\Lambda) &\leq t(\mathcal{P}^{\Lambda_{\text{CW}}}) && \text{by Lemma 2.12} \\ &= \dim X && \text{by Proposition 2.6.} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Example 2.16** If  $X$  is a torus then  $H^*(X, k)$  is isomorphic to the exterior algebra  $\Lambda^\bullet k^{\dim X}$ . Hence  $\text{LL}(H^*(X, k)) = \dim X + 1$  and  $t(\mathcal{P}) = \dim X$ .

### 3 Application to toric varieties

We now consider the Langragian skeleta appearing as mirrors of toric varieties. For this, let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  be an open toric subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  defined by a subfan  $\tilde{\Sigma} \subseteq \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}^n}$ . Let  $G$  be any subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$ , the maximal torus of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . We define  $\tilde{M} := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m^n, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and  $\widehat{G} := \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{G}_m)$  is exact, applying it to the inclusion we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\beta^\vee} \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\mu} \widehat{G} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $M$  is defined as the kernel of  $\mu$ .

Taking  $\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Z})$  gives a four-term exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{G}^\vee \xrightarrow{\mu^\vee} \tilde{N} \xrightarrow{\beta} N \rightarrow \text{coker } \beta \rightarrow 0.$$

We adopt the setup in [23], asking that  $\beta_{\mathbb{R}}$  induces a combinatorial isomorphism of fans. That is, we assume

- (i) The restricted map  $\beta_{\mathbb{R}}|_{\tilde{\sigma}} : \tilde{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma$  is an isomorphism of cones for all  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ .
- (ii) There is a poset isomorphism

$$\tilde{\Sigma} \simeq \Sigma$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a fan consisting of all cones  $\sigma = \beta_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{\sigma})$ .

The above assumption implies  $\Sigma$  is simplicial. The quotient stack  $[U/G]$  is a smooth Deligne–Mumford toric stack (see [17]) whose coarse moduli space is the toric variety  $X_\Sigma$ . We note that if  $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$  is smooth, then  $X_\Sigma$  is isomorphic to  $[U/G]$ .

Furthermore, since  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  is a subfan of the standard fan for  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , all cones  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$  are of the form  $\tilde{\sigma}_I = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^I \subset \tilde{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , with dual cone  $\tilde{\sigma}_I^\vee = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^I \times \mathbb{R}^{I^c}$ . Let

$$\tilde{\Lambda} := \bigcup_{\substack{\tilde{\sigma}_I \in \tilde{\Sigma} \\ \tilde{D} \in \tilde{M}}} (\tilde{\sigma}_I^\perp + \tilde{D}) \times (-\tilde{\sigma}_I) = \bigcup_{\substack{\tilde{\sigma}_I \in \tilde{\Sigma} \\ \tilde{D} \in \tilde{M}}} (\mathbb{R}^{I^c} + \tilde{D}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^I$$

be the  $\tilde{M}$ -periodic FLTZ skeleton associated to the fan  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ . Let  $\pi : \tilde{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{\mathbb{R}}/M$  be the projection, and define

$$\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}} := \tilde{\Lambda}/M.$$

Then, the fiber skeleton

$$\Lambda_\Sigma := \Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}|_{M_{\mathbb{R}}/M}$$

is the (non-equivariant) mirror skeleton to  $[U/G]$ .

### Lemma 3.1

$$\mathcal{P}_{\pi(p)}^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} = \pi_! \mathcal{P}_p^{\tilde{\Lambda}}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\pi_! \mathcal{P}_p^{\tilde{\Lambda}}, F) &= \text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}_p^{\tilde{\Lambda}}, \pi^! F) && \text{by adjunction} \\ &= \text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}_p^{\tilde{\Lambda}}, \pi^* F) && \text{since } \pi \text{ is a covering map} \\ &= (\pi^* F)_p && \text{by definition of stalk probe} \\ &= F_{\pi(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Since  $\pi_! \mathcal{P}_D^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$  does not depend on the choice of lift  $\tilde{D}$ , we write  $\mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} := \pi_! \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{D}}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ .

We will use the following noncharacteristic deformation lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** ([30, Theorem 14]) *Let  $i_b : \mu_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(b)/M \hookrightarrow \tilde{M}_{\mathbb{R}}/M$ . The pullback*

$$i_b^* : \text{Sh}_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}^\diamond \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}|_{\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(b)}}^\diamond$$

*is an equivalence of categories.*

In particular, taking  $b = 0$  gives an equivalence of categories

$$i^* : \text{Sh}_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}^\diamond \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sh}_{\Lambda_{\Sigma}}^\diamond.$$

We now recall the stratification refining  $\Lambda_{\Sigma}$  defined by Bondal–Ruan [8]. Let  $D_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . The *Bondal–Ruan map* is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mu_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(0)/M &\rightarrow \widehat{G} \\ \sum_i a_i D_i + M &\mapsto \mu \left( - \sum_i \lfloor a_i \rfloor D_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lfloor a_i \rfloor$  is the floor of  $a_i$ . We take the associated stratification  $S_{\text{BR}}$  on  $\mathbb{T}^n$  whose strata are given by the level sets of  $S_D := \Phi^{-1}(D)$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $[p] \in \tilde{M}_{\mathbb{R}}/M$  denote the coset  $p + M$ , where  $p \in \tilde{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The probe sheaf  $\mathcal{P}_{[p]}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma}}$  at the point  $[p] \in S_D$  is given by*

$$\mathcal{P}_{[p]}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma}} = i^* \mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}.$$

**Proof.** First choose  $\tilde{D}$  such that  $\mu(\tilde{D}) = D$ . For  $p \in S_{\tilde{D}} \subset \tilde{D} + (-1, 0]^n$  for some  $\tilde{D} \in \tilde{M}$ , write  $p = \tilde{D} + x$ , where  $x \in (-1, 0]^n$ , i.e.,  $p, \tilde{D}$  lie in the same stratum of the cube stratification  $S_{\text{cube}}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{P}_p^{\text{cube}} = \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{D}}^{\text{cube}}$  which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{p}}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} &= \rho^{\text{L}, \text{w}}(\mathcal{P}_p^{\text{cube}}) && \text{by Lemma 2.10} \\ &= \rho^{\text{L}, \text{w}}(\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{D}}^{\text{cube}}) && \text{from the observation above} \\ &= \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{D}}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} && \text{by Lemma 2.10.}\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{[p]}^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} &= \pi_! \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{p}}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} && \text{by Lemma 3.1} \\ &= \pi_! \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{D}}^{\tilde{\Lambda}} && \text{by (1)} \\ &= \mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} && \text{by Lemma 3.1.}\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}(i^* \mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}, G) &= \text{Hom}(i^* \mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}, i^* F) \text{ for some } F && \text{since } i^* \text{ is essentially surjective} \\ &= \text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}, F) && \text{since } i^* \text{ is an equivalence} \\ &= \text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}_{[p]}^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}, F) && \text{by (2)} \\ &= F_{[p]} && \text{by the definition of stalk probe} \\ &= (i^* F)_{[p]} && \text{since } [p] \in S_D \subset \mu_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(0)/M \\ &= G_{[p]} && \text{by definition of } F. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.4** *Let*

$$\kappa : \text{Coh}([U/G]) \rightarrow (\text{Sh}_{\Lambda_{\Sigma}}^W)^{\text{op}}$$

*be the covariant equivalence (mirror functor) from [23]. Then,*

$$\kappa \left( \bigoplus_{D \in \text{Im } \Phi} \mathcal{O}(D) \right) = \mathcal{P}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma}}.$$

**Proof.** We observe that each  $\kappa_I$  in the mirror functor

$$\text{Ind } \kappa = \varprojlim_{C(\Sigma)} \text{Ind } \kappa_{\sigma_I}$$

defined by Kuwagaki [23] takes  $\mathcal{O}_{[\mathbb{C}^I \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{I^c}/G]}(D)$  to  $\mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_I}|_{M_{\mathbb{R}}/M}} = i^* \mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}_I}}$ . Then the Čech resolution of  $\mathcal{O}_{[U/G]}(D)$  realizes

$$\mathcal{O}_{[U/G]}(D) = \varprojlim_{C(\Sigma)} \mathcal{O}_{[\mathbb{C}^I \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{I^c}/G]}(D). \tag{3}$$

On the other hand for  $[p] \in S_D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{[p]}^{\Lambda_\Sigma} &= i^* \mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} && \text{by Lemma 3.3} \\
&= i^* \pi_! \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{D}}^{\tilde{\Lambda}_I} && \text{by Lemma 3.1} \\
&= i^* \pi_! \varinjlim_{C(\tilde{\Sigma})} \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{D}}^{\tilde{\Lambda}_I} && \text{by [21, Proposition 4.5]} \\
&\quad \text{Note: [21] used opposite indexing, i.e., } \sigma_I \text{ here is } \sigma_{I^c} \text{ in [21]} \\
&= i^* \varinjlim_{C(\tilde{\Sigma})} \pi_! \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{D}}^{\tilde{\Lambda}_I} && \text{since } \pi_! \text{ is a left adjoint hence preserves colimit} \\
&= i^* \varinjlim_{C(\tilde{\Sigma})} \mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}_I}} && \text{by Lemma 3.1} \\
&= \varinjlim_{C(\tilde{\Sigma})} i^* \mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}_I}} && \text{since } i^* \text{ is an equivalence.}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In the opposite category, the colimit becomes the limit.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(\mathcal{O}_{[U/G]}(D)) &= \kappa\left(\varprojlim_{C(\Sigma)} \mathcal{O}_{[\mathbb{C}^I \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{I^c}/G]}(D)\right) && \text{by (3)} \\
&= \varinjlim_{C(\Sigma)} \kappa(\mathcal{O}_{[\mathbb{C}^I \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{I^c}/G]}(D)) && \text{since } \kappa \text{ is an equivalence} \\
&= \varinjlim_{C(\Sigma)} i^* \mathcal{P}_D^{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Sigma}_I}} && \text{by definition of } \kappa \\
&= \mathcal{P}_{[p]}^{\Lambda_\Sigma} && \text{by (4). } \square
\end{aligned}$$

The following corollary will appear in forthcoming works of Ballard–Duncan–McFaddin [3] and Hanlon–Hicks–Lazarev [20]; both results were publicly claimed before us and use algebro-geometric methods. See also [1, 19] for more general discussions on the Rouquier dimension of Fukaya categories and applications via mirror symmetry.

**Corollary 3.5** *The object  $\bigoplus_{D \in \text{Im } \Phi} \mathcal{O}(D)$  generates  $\text{Coh}(X_\Sigma)$ .*

**Proof** Since  $\kappa$  is an equivalence [23], this follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4.  $\square$

As a consequence, we have

**Corollary 3.6** *For any toric stack of the form  $[U/G]$  in the setup of [23], Conjecture 1.1 holds, i.e.,*

$$\text{rdim } \text{Coh}([U/G]) = \dim[U/G].$$

*Furthermore, this generation time is achieved by the collection of line bundles  $\bigoplus_{D \in \text{Im } \Phi} \mathcal{O}(D)$ .*

**Proof** By [4, Lemma 2.17]<sup>2</sup>,

$$\mathrm{rdim} \, \mathrm{Coh}([U/G]) \geq \dim [U/G].$$

Recall that the Bondal–Ruan stratification  $S_{\mathrm{BR}}$  is a block stratification by [16, Corollary 5.7]. By definition, this means it is the coarsening of a CW stratification  $S_{\mathrm{CW}}$ . Let  $S_{\mathrm{CW}}^1$  be the first barycentric subdivision of  $S_{\mathrm{CW}}$ . Then  $S_{\mathrm{CW}}^1$  is a regular CW stratification.

We have a chain of embeddings of closed subskeletons

$$\Lambda_\Sigma \subset \Lambda_{S_{\mathrm{BR}}} \subset \Lambda_{S_{\mathrm{CW}}} \subset \Lambda_{S_{\mathrm{CW}}^1}.$$

The upper bound then follows from Theorem 2.15 and the non-equivariant coherent-constructible correspondence [23, Theorem 1.2] which provides an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Coh}([U/G]) \simeq \mathrm{Sh}_{\Lambda_\Sigma}^{\mathrm{w}}.$$

The fact that this is achieved by  $\bigoplus_{D \in \mathrm{Im} \Phi} \mathcal{O}(D)$  is Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

We now deduce the result for any normal toric variety  $X_{\Sigma'}$ , using the result for stacks. The following corollary will be translated entirely into toric methods in forthcoming work of Hanlon–Hicks–Lazarev [20] inspired by a similar approach seen here; their result was claimed publicly before our paper appeared.

**Corollary 3.7** *For any normal toric variety  $X_{\Sigma'}$ , Conjecture 1.1 holds, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{rdim} \, \mathrm{Coh}(X_{\Sigma'}) = \dim X_{\Sigma'}.$$

**Proof** First, observe that we can choose a smooth resolution  $X_\Sigma$  (see e.g. [11, Theorem 11.1.9].) Since  $X_\Sigma$  is smooth, it is isomorphic to the quotient stack  $X_\Sigma = [U/G]$  where  $U$  is obtained from the Cox construction. Therefore by Corollary 3.6,

$$\mathrm{rdim} \, \mathrm{Coh}(X_\Sigma) = \dim X_\Sigma.$$

Furthermore, since any normal toric variety has rational singularities (see e.g. [11, Theorem 11.4.2]), the functor

$$g_*: \mathrm{Coh}(X_\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X_{\Sigma'})$$

has dense image (see e.g. [22, Lemma 7.4]). It follows that

$$\mathrm{rdim} \, \mathrm{Coh}(X_\Sigma) \leq \mathrm{rdim} \, \mathrm{Coh}(X_{\Sigma'}) = \dim X'_\Sigma = \dim X_\Sigma.$$

Once again, the lower bound for is [29, Proposition 7.17].  $\square$

---

<sup>2</sup> For completeness, we give the reference to the stacky case. The original proof (for schemes) is due to Rouquier [29, Proposition 7.17].

**Remark 3.8** To deduce the general case from the smooth case, one may also observe that  $\Lambda_{\Sigma'} \subseteq \Lambda_\Sigma$  and then appeal directly to Theorem 2.15.

**Acknowledgements** We thank Andrew Hanlon for notifying us about [20] and providing us with an early draft with an independent proof of Theorem 1.2 found therein. We are also grateful to Evgeny Shinder and Martin Kalck for providing us with the reference [22]. We thank Matt Ballard and Alex Duncan for their excellent explanations of the results in [3] and additional discussions at the Banff International Research Station.

## References

1. Bai, S., Côté, L.: On the Rouquier dimension of wrapped Fukaya categories and a conjecture of Orlov. *Compositio Math.* **159**(3), 437–487 (2023)
2. Ballard, M.R., Duncan, A., McFaddin, P.K.: The toric Frobenius morphism and a conjecture of Orlov. *Eur. J. Math.* **5**(3), 640–645 (2019)
3. Ballard, M., Duncan, A., McFaddin, P.: Generation and the toric Frobenius (in preparation)
4. Ballard, M., Favero, D.: Hochschild dimensions of tilting objects. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2012**(11), 2607–2645 (2012)
5. Ballard, M., Favero, D., Katzarkov, L.: Orlov spectra: bounds and gaps. *Invent. Math.* **189**(2), 359–430 (2012)
6. Ballard, M., Favero, D., Katzarkov, L.: A category of kernels for equivariant factorizations, II: further implications. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **102**(4), 702–757 (2014)
7. Ballard, M., Favero, D., Katzarkov, L.: Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **746**, 235–303 (2019)
8. Bondal, A.: Derived categories of toric varieties. In: Convex and Algebraic Geometry. Oberwolfach Reports, vol. 3, pp. 284–286. Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, Oberwolfach (2006)
9. Brown, M.K., Sayrafi, M.: A short resolution of the diagonal for smooth projective toric varieties of Picard rank 2 (2022). [arXiv:2208.00562](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.00562)
10. Cooke, G.E., Finney, R.L.: Homology of Cell Complexes. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1967)
11. Cox, D.A., Little, J.B., Schenck, H.K.: Toric Varieties. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2011)
12. Elagin, A., Xu, J., Zhang, S.: On cyclic strong exceptional collections of line bundles on surfaces. *Eur. J. Math.* **7**(1), 69–115 (2021)
13. Fang, B., Liu, C.-C.M., Treumann, D., Zaslow, E.: A categorification of Morelli’s theorem. *Invent. Math.* **186**(1), 79–114 (2011)
14. Fang, B., Liu, C.-C.M., Treumann, D., Zaslow, E.: T-duality and homological mirror symmetry for toric varieties. *Adv. Math.* **229**(3), 1875–1911 (2012)
15. Fang, B., Liu, C.-C.M., Treumann, D., Zaslow, E.: The coherent-constructible correspondence for toric Deligne–Mumford stacks. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2014**(4), 914–954 (2014)
16. Favero, D., Huang, J.: Homotopy path algebras (2022). [arXiv:2205.03730](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.03730)
17. Geraschenko, A., Satriano, M.: Toric stacks I: The theory of stacky fans. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367**(2), 1033–1071 (2015)
18. Ganatra, S., Pardon, J., Shende, V.: Microlocal Morse theory of wrapped Fukaya categories (2018). [arXiv:1809.08807](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.08807)
19. Hanlon, A., Hicks, J., Lazarev, O.: Relating categorical dimensions in topology and symplectic geometry (2023). [arXiv:2308.13677](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.13677)
20. Hanlon, A., Hicks, J., Lazarev, O.: Resolutions of toric subvarieties by line bundles and applications (2023). [arXiv:2303.03763](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.03763)
21. Huang, J., Zhou, P.: Variation of GIT and variation of Lagrangian skeletons II: Quasi-symmetric case. *Adv. Math.* **408**(A), 108597 (2022)
22. Kawamata, Y.: Semi-orthogonal decomposition of a derived category of a 3-fold with an ordinary double point. In: Abban, H., et al. (eds.) Recent Developments in Algebraic Geometry. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 478, pp. 183–215. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2022)

23. Kuwagaki, T.: The nonequivariant coherent-constructible correspondence for toric stacks. *Duke Math. J.* **169**(11), 2125–2197 (2020)
24. Nadler, D.: Wrapped microlocal sheaves on pairs of pants (2016). [arXiv:1604.00114](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.00114)
25. Olander, N.: The Rouquier dimension of quasi-affine schemes (2021). [arXiv:2108.12005](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12005)
26. Orlov, D.: Remarks on generators and dimensions of triangulated categories. *Moscow Math. J.* **9**(1), 153–159 (2009)
27. Polo, P.: On Cohen–Macaulay posets, Koszul algebras and certain modules associated to Schubert varieties. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **27**(5), 425–434 (1995)
28. Pirozhkov, D.: Rouquier dimension of some blow-ups. *Eur. J. Math.* **9**(2), Art. No. 45 (2023)
29. Rouquier, R.: Dimensions of triangulated categories. *J. K-theory* **1**(2), 193–256 (2008)
30. Shende, V.: Toric mirror symmetry revisited. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **360**, 751–759 (2022)
31. Yanagawa, K.: Derived category of squarefree modules and local cohomology with monomial ideal support. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56**(1), 289–308 (2004)
32. Yanagawa, K.: Dualizing complex of the incidence algebra of a finite regular cell complex. *Illinois J. Math.* **49**(4), 1221–1243 (2005)
33. Yang, S.: A note on the Rouquier dimensions of product varieties. *J. Algebra Appl.* **15**(4), Art. No. 1650065 (2016)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.