Lecture 6

February 2, 2022

1 The Signal-to-Noise Equation

 Key Question

How do we estimate whether a telescope will be able to detect a given source?

1.1 Optical

The human eye has a very low quantum efficiency (QE) of about 1% (this means around 1 in every 100 photons is actually detected). At optical wavelengths, we typicall use CCDs, or charged-coupled devices, for observations. This is due to their very high quantum efficiency, meaning their response is nearly linear - that is, the number of counts you observer is directly proportional to the intensity of light.

They also have very high dynamic ranges - each pixel in a CCD is capable of registering up to ~65,000 counts accurately. Typically, CCD's are Si based. As a photon interacts with a given pixel, it causes excitation of an electron into a conduction band. When finished observing, the accumulation of charge within a pixel can be shifted to an adjacent pixel. As such, an image is read out pixel-by-pixel, as shown below.


Now, assume the number of photons reaching our detector over a fixed interval of time is N, but the arrival time of each photon is randomly distributed. The probability of detecting k photons over a fixed time interval is then given by a Poisson distribution

$$P(k) = \frac{N^k \exp^{-N}}{k!}$$

For large N (>10), this becomes a Gaussian with $\sigma = \sqrt{N}$ (see below)

$$P(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(k-N)^2/2\sigma^2}$$

As such, any process which involves a large number of events has an ideal error on it of \sqrt{N} - this is called shot noise.



Now imagine we wish to measure the signal-to-noise(SNR) ratio of a star. This is given by:

$$SNR = \frac{Signal}{Noise}$$

For a CCD, there are 4 main sources of noise: - Shot noise due to S_0 photons from the source, $\sigma_0 = \sqrt{S_0}$ - Shot noise due to S_b photons from the background, $\sigma_b = \sqrt{S_b}$ - Shot noise due to S_d counts casued by the thermal properties of the CCD, $\sigma_d = \sqrt{S_d}$. - A time independant readout out noise, $\sigma_R = R$. This is not a square root, and is the standard deviation in the number of electrons measured at the readout step.

Let's assume all of these processes are independent. We are also going to assume they all involve high enough numbers that they are Gaussians. As such, the variance of the sum is the sum of the variances, $\sigma_{\text{Total}}^2 = \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_b^2 + \sigma_d^2 + \sigma_R^2$. As such, the noise term, $N = \sigma_{\text{Total}}$, is given by

$$N = \sqrt{S_0 + S_b + S_d + R^2}$$

and thus the Signal-to-Noise ratio is given by

$$SNR = \frac{S_0}{\sqrt{S_0 + S_b + S_d + R^2}}.$$

This is the most basic form of this equation. Note that S_0 , S_b , and S_d will all scale linearly with exposure time.

There are 3 limiting cases for the basic form of the equation.

- 1. **Object limited:** $S_0 >> S_b, S_d, R^2$. In this case, the equation simplifies to SNR = $\frac{S_0}{\sqrt{S_0}}$ = $\sqrt{S_0}$. Since the number of counts detected, S_0 , is proportional to time, then SNR $\propto \sqrt{t}$. This means you eventually get diminishing returns on increasing your exposure time. Additionally, S_0 is proportional to D^2 , where D is the aperture of the telescope. As such, SNR $\propto D$.
- 2. Background limited: $S_b >> S_0, S_d, R^2$ In this case, we get SNR = $\frac{S_0}{\sqrt{S_b}}$. Both S_0 and S_b scale the same way with exposure time and telescope aperture, and the SNR scales the same as in the above. For fixed S_0 , the SNR scales with the square root of the background signal so if your background increases (because for example the moon rises), the SNR drops. This is important in determining when to observer your targets can they withstand a bright moon, or do you need no moon at all?
- 3. **Read noise limited:** $R^2 >> S_0, S_b, S_d$ Read noise is independent of exposure time, so this typically only occurs for short exposures. SNR = $\frac{S_0}{R}$. In this regime, since \$R\$ is time independent, SNR scales linearly with time and with the square of the aperture.

2 Infrared Astronomy

Recall Wien's displacement law, which states that

$$\lambda T = \text{constant} = 2898 \mu \text{m K}$$

So stars with a temperature of 2500 Kelvin will peak in Intensity at a wavelength of 1.2 μ m. So if we want to observed the coolest stars (M-dwarfs), around which we've found a lot of exoplanets (which are also very cold objects), then we need to move to infrared wavelengths. This region is also where we're likely to see the optical spectra of highly redshifted Galaxies, making this a very important window for astronomy.


One of the largest issues comes from the thermal radiation produced by the sky and the telescopes themselves. IR instruments are typically cooled to minimise these effects, normally with liquid He. This of course means they have limited lifespans - the helium on the Spitzer space telescope lasted for 5 years.

1-5 μ m observations can be done from the ground, but above this, it is much better to go to space (especially > 25 μ m).

IR astronomy comes with one other major advantage over Optical astronomy. The effects of interstellar extinction are far weaker at IR wavelengths than at optical wavelengths.

Typically, when discussing interstellar extinction, a value is given as $A_{\rm V}$. This represents the magnitude of light absorbed due to interstellar extinction in the Johnson V band. So $A_{\rm V}=5$ means 5 mags are absorbed at V band, and so an object will appear 100 times fainter in the night sky than it should. The below figure shows extinction as a function of wavelength - it is stronger at short wavelengths, meaning it affects optical astronomy quite significantly.

Very roughly, there is a linear dependance on $A_{\rm V}$ with distance, with $A_{\rm V}=1$ corresponding to a rough distance of 1 kpc.



Ratio of extinction in K band to V band is: 0.12533034482999322

A star with $A_{\rm v}=10$ </br/>

Imagine we are observing a star through a dust cloud, and that $A_V = 10$ mag. The extintion at K band (which is at 2 μ m) would be:

$$\frac{A_{\rm K}}{A_{\rm V}} = 0.1253303 A_{\rm K} = 10 \times 0.1253303 = 1.2$$

So there's only 1.2 mags of extinction at the K band. Now if we assume that the object is equally bright in both V and K bands, that means the object is ~ 3000 brighter in the K band than in the V band!

So, observing at IR wavelengths allows us to see objects which are heavily obscured by dust at optical wavelengths, such as star forming regions, galactic centres, etc!

3 Radio Astronomy