

## Solution to exercise 1

In this exercise, you want to take care of possible overflows in the computation of

$$r = (a * \text{randomNumbers}[\text{indexOfInteger}] + c) \% \text{modulus}$$

under the assumption

$$\text{Long.MAX\_VALUE} < a \cdot \text{modulus} + c < 2 \cdot \text{Long.MAX\_VALUE}.$$

If the operation  $a * \text{randomNumbers}[\text{indexOfInteger}] + c$  produces an overflow, i.e., if the result is bigger than  $\text{Long.MAX\_VALUE}$ , the value produced in Java is negative (note that a multiple overflow is prevented by the assumption  $a \cdot \text{modulus} + c < 2 \cdot \text{Long.MAX\_VALUE}$ ).

In this case, two values play an essential role: the true mathematical value of  $a * \text{randomNumbers}[\text{indexOfInteger}] + c$  and the number you get in your program. The first one can be written as

$$\text{Long.MAX\_VALUE} + \text{valueOverflow} = -\text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} + \text{valueOverflow} - 1,$$

where  $\text{valueOverflow}$  is the size of the overflow got in the operation, whereas the number produced by Java is

$$\text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} + \text{valueOverflow} - 1.$$

The goal of the exercise is to find a way to get the natural number

$$r = -\text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} + \text{valueOverflow} - 1 \% \text{modulus},$$

only looking at the observed number

$$\text{observedNumber} = \text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} + \text{valueOverflow} - 1. \quad (1)$$

By the distributive property of the  $\%$  operation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} + \text{valueOverflow} - 1 \% \text{modulus} \\ &= ((-\text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} \% \text{modulus}) + (\text{valueOverflow} - 1 \% \text{modulus})) \% \text{modulus} \\ &= (\text{remainderOfMinusMinValue} + \text{remainderOverflowMinusOne}) \% \text{modulus}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{remainderOfMinusMinValue} = -\text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} \% \text{modulus}$$

and

$$\text{remainderOverflowMinusOne} = \text{valueOverflow} - 1 \% \text{modulus}.$$

Note that  $\text{remainderOfMinusMinValue} + \text{remainderOverflowMinusOne}$  is positive and less than  $\text{Long.MAX\_VALUE}$  if  $2 \cdot \text{modulus} < \text{Long.MAX\_VALUE}$ , so it is not affected by overflows, and this is the right correction to the overflow that we have to perform before applying  $\%$ .

Now it only remains to get  $\text{valueOverflow}$  from the observed number (1), i.e.

$$\text{valueOverflow} = \text{observedNumber} - \text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} + 1.$$

Note that in the case when  $-\text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} \% \text{modulus} = 0$ , that is for example the case of the `LinearCongruentialGenerator` class, it is enough to compute  $\text{valueOverflow} - 1 \% \text{modulus}$ . However, in this case one can also correct the overflow after the  $\%$ , just adding  $\text{modulus}$  to the result, as we did in `LinearCongruentialGenerator`.

Indeed, we have

$$\text{Long.MIN\_VALUE} = (-k) \cdot \text{modulus} = (-k + 1) \cdot \text{modulus} - \text{modulus},$$

where  $k \geq 1$  is a natural number, then we have

$$\text{observedNumber} \% \text{modulus} = (-\text{modulus} + \text{remainderOverflowMinusOne}) \% \text{modulus}.$$

The latter is a negative number, so it is the value returned by Java when we ask it to compute `observedNumber % modulus`. So it is enough to add `modulus` to the result to obtain `remainderOverflowMinusOne`, which is the number we want, as observed above.