

## 3.4 Log-linear regression

---

### 1. Introduction

The term **log-linear models** is used to describe any model of the form

$$\log \mathbb{E}(Y_i) = c + \mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} \quad (3.4.1)$$

In this section, we will analyse the introduction of interaction terms and the analogous of the ANOVA for log-linear models.

## 2. Modelling example

### Example:

Let's consider a cross-sectional study of patients with a form of skin cancer called "malignant melanoma". We have 400 patients, with information about the site of the tumour and its histological type. The data are collected into the `melanoma` dataset available in the `dobson` package in `R`.

Tumor type	Site			
	Head & neck	Trunk	Extrem -ities	Total
Hutchinson's melanotic freckle	22	2	10	34
Superficial spreading melanoma	16	54	115	185
Nodular	19	33	73	125
Indeterminate	11	17	28	56
Total	68	106	226	400

The table represents a **contingency table**. Let's call the probability of being in cell  $(j, k)$   $\theta_{jk}$ . Then, in case of no association

$$\theta_{jk} = \theta_{j.} \theta_{.k} \quad j = 1, \dots, J \quad \text{and} \quad k = 1, \dots, K \quad (3.4.2)$$

i.e, in the case of **independence**

$$\log \mathbb{E}(Y)_{jk} = \log n + \log \theta_{j.} + \log \theta_{.k} \quad (3.4.3)$$

which can be compared with the **dependent** model, i.e.

$$\log \mathbb{E}(Y)_{jk} = \log n + \log \theta_{jk} \quad (3.4.4)$$

Analogously to the ANOVA model, we should *introduce the factors relative to the single predictors/factors*

$$\log \mathbb{E}(Y)_{jk} = \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_k + (\alpha\beta)_{jk} \quad (3.4.5)$$

where  $(\alpha\beta)_{jk}$  represents a coefficient relative to the *interaction term*; therefore, to test for independence we can compare (3.4.5) with

$$\log \mathbb{E}(Y)_{jk} = \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_k \quad (3.4.6)$$

or with the minimal model

$$\log \mathbb{E}(Y)_{jk} = \mu \quad (3.4.7)$$

The specification of log-linear models is **hierarchical**: if the higher-order term (interaction) is included in the model, all the lower-order terms are included as well.



**Warning:** This means that, in many cases, log-linear models have many parameters: **constraints may be needed!**

While several distributions can be used (**Think:** Can you think of anyone?), **Poisson distributions can be assumed**. Therefore, all standard methods for GLM can be applied (weighted least squares, goodness-of-fit statistics like  $P^2$  and  $D$ , Pearson and deviance residuals).

---

## 2.1 The saturated model

The **saturated model** is given by

```
library(dobson)

data("melanoma")

ressat.melanoma <- glm(frequency ~ site*type, family=poisson(), data=melanoma)
summary(ressat.melanoma)
```

---

## 2.2 The model with no interactions

The model with **no interaction terms** is given by

```
library(dobson)

data("melanoma")

resadd.melanoma <- glm(frequency ~ site + type, family=poisson(), data=melanoma)
summary(resadd.melanoma)
```

---

## 2.3 The minimal model

The **minimal** is given by

```
library(dobson)

data("melanoma")

resmin.melanoma <- glm(frequency ~ 1, family=poisson(), data=melanoma)
summary(resmin.melanoma)
```

---

## 2.4 Expected frequencies

For the reference category **type:Hutchinson's melanotic freckle** on **site:extremities** the expected frequencies are

- minimal model:  $e^{3.507} = 33.33$
- additive model:  $e^{2.9554} = 19.21$
- saturated model:  $e^{2.3026} = 10.00$

Note: the expected frequencies for the saturated model correspond to the observed frequencies.

For **type:indeterminate** tumours on **site:head-neck** the expected frequencies are

- minimal model:  $e^{3.507} = 33.33$
- additive model:  $e^{2.9554-1.2010+0.499} = 9.520049$
- saturated model:  $e^{2.3026+0.7885+1.0296-1.7228} = 11.000$

Again the expected frequencies for the saturated model correspond to the observed frequencies.

For **type:nodular** tumours on **site:trunk** the expected frequencies are

- minimal model:  $e^{3.507} = 33.33$
- additive model:  $e^{2.9554-0.7571+1.3020} = e^{3.5003} = 33.12$
- saturated model:  $e^{2.3026-1.6094+1.9879+0.8155} = e^{3.4966} = 33.00$