Skill Mastery Quiz 6

Communicating in Math (MTH 210-01) Winter 2020

Name:

P3-3 The following statement is incorrect:

For each integer n, if n is odd, then $(n^2 + 1)$ is a prime number.

Show the statement is false using a counterexample. You should clearly explain why the counterexample you found shows the statement is false. (If you don't remember what a prime number is just ask!)

This statement is false and there are many counterexamples. As one, let n=3. Then $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and n is odd (since 3=2(1)+1 and $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$). Additionally, $n^2+1=3^2+1=10$ which is not prime (since $10=5\cdot 2$). Thus we have found an integer for which the hypothesis is true and the conclusion is false, making the statement false.

P1-2 Consider the following statement:

For all natural numbers p and q, if p and q are twin primes other than 3 and 5, then pq + 1 is a perfect square and 36 divides pq + 1.

State what you would assume in a direct proof.

Assume that p and q are natural numbers and p and q are twin primes other than 3 and 5. (Basically, we assume the hypothesis.)

State what you would assume in a proof by contradiction.

Assume that there exist natural numbers p and q such that p and q are twin primes other than 3 and 5 and that pq + 1 is not a perfect square or 36 does not divide pq + 1.

P4-1 Consider the following proposition and proof. Is the proof correct? If not, explain any major mathematical errors. If so, does the proof meet our writing guidelines?

Theorem 1. If a is an odd integer then 3a + 2 is an odd integer.

Proof. We will use a direct proof. For 3a + 2 to be an odd integer there must exist an integer n such that

$$3a + 2 = 2n + 1$$
.

By subtracting 2 from both sides of this equation we obtain

$$3a = 2n - 1$$

= $2(n - 1) + 1$.

By the closure properties of integers, n-1 is an integer, and hence, the last equation implies that a is an odd integer. This proves that if a is an odd integer then 3a+2 is an odd integer.

Though the statement is true, the proof is wrong for multiple reasons. For one, they start by assuming the conclusion (by saying there exists an integer n such that 3a + 2 = 2n + 1. Moreover, they only show then that 3a is odd, not that a is odd (though showing a is odd so that is the hypothesis anyway, which isn't what they should be trying to show!)