

# Technical University of Denmark

Written examination, May 24, 2019

Page 1 of 8 pages

Course number: 02141

Aids allowed: All written aids are permitted

Exam duration: 4 hours

Weighting: 7-step scale

## **Exercises on Formal Methods**

### Exercise 1 (15%) Semantics and Program Analysis

Consider the following Guarded Commands program in the notation of the online system at FormalMethods.dk:

- 1a Draw the program graph for this program. How many nodes does it have?
- **1b** What is the final configuration in case the initial configuration of the execution sequence is  $\langle q_{\triangleright}; [i=0,j=0,n=2,t=0,A=[4,3,2,1]] \rangle$ ? (You do not have to show all the intermediate configurations.)
- 1c What is the final configuration in case the initial configuration of the execution sequence is  $\langle q_{\triangleright}; [i=0,j=0,n=3,t=0,A=[4,3,2,1]] \rangle$ ? (You do not have to show all the intermediate configurations.)
- 1d Compute the analysis assignment **A** when there is just one initial abstract memory as given by  $\mathbf{A}(q_{\triangleright}) = \{[\mathtt{i} = +, \mathtt{j} = +, \mathtt{n} = +, \mathtt{t} = +, \mathtt{A} = \{+\}]\}$ . (Hint: make abbreviations like  $[+++++\{+\}]$  for the abstract memory above, in order not to have to write too much.)

What is the set of abstract memories at the final node, i.e. what is  $\mathbf{A}(q_{\blacktriangleleft})$ ?

#### Exercise 2 (15%) Information Flow

Consider the following program C in Guarded Commands:

```
m:=0;
d:=0;
do m<10000 -> M[m]:=M[m]+27; m:=m+1
[] d<20000 -> D[d]:=(D[d]*103)/100; d:=d+1
od
```

Here M is intended to be an array of length 10000 and D is intended to be an array of length 20000. (This may be seen as a cloud provider updating costs with a fixed value for one company and with a percentage for another company.)

2a Draw the instrumented program graph as constructed by

$$\mathbf{edges}_{\mathbf{s}}(q_{\triangleright} \leadsto q_{\blacktriangleleft}) \llbracket C \rrbracket (\{\ \})$$

of Formal Methods an Appetizer Section 5.2.

Preparing for the next questions let us choose a security lattice with elements clean, Mcompany, Dcompany, corrupted partially ordered by

$$\mathsf{clean} \sqsubseteq \mathsf{Mcompany} \sqsubseteq \mathsf{corrupted} \qquad \mathsf{clean} \sqsubseteq \mathsf{Dcompany} \sqsubseteq \mathsf{corrupted}$$

in the manner of Formal Methods an Appetizer Section 5.4.

For each of the following security associations determine whether or not the security analysis  $\sec[\![C]\!](\{\,\})$  of Formal Methods an Appetizer Section 5.3 deems the program secure.

You should motivate your answer (but do not need to exhibit the detailed computation).

$$\mathbf{2b}\ \mathbf{L}(m) = \mathsf{Mcompany}, \ \mathbf{L}(M) = \mathsf{Mcompany}, \ \mathbf{L}(d) = \mathsf{Dcompany}, \ \mathbf{L}(D) = \mathsf{Dcompany},$$
 pany.

$$2c$$
 L(m) = clean, L(M) = Mcompany, L(d) = clean, L(D) = Dcompany.

2d 
$$L(m) = \text{clean}, L(M) = \text{Mcompany}, L(d) = \text{Dcompany}, L(D) = \text{Dcompany}.$$

**2e** 
$$L(m) = Mcompany$$
,  $L(M) = Mcompany$ ,  $L(d) = clean$ ,  $L(D) = Dcompany$ .

#### Exercise 3 (15%) Deterministic Systems

This exercise compares three different notions of what might constitute a deterministic system.

• In Formal Methods an Appetizer Section 1.4 we defined a program graph PG and its semantics S to constitute a deterministic system whenever

$$\langle q_{\triangleright}; \sigma \rangle \stackrel{\omega'}{\Longrightarrow}^* \langle q_{\blacktriangleleft}; \sigma' \rangle$$
 and  $\langle q_{\triangleright}; \sigma \rangle \stackrel{\omega''}{\Longrightarrow}^* \langle q_{\blacktriangleleft}; \sigma'' \rangle$  imply that  $\sigma' = \sigma''$  and that  $\omega' = \omega''$ 

• An alternative definition might be to define a program graph PG and its semantics S to constitute a *locally deterministic system* whenever distinct edges with the same source node have semantic functions that are defined on non-overlapping domains.

This can be written more formally as  $dom(\mathcal{S}[\![\alpha_1]\!]) \cap dom(\mathcal{S}[\![\alpha_2]\!]) = \{\}$  whenever  $(q, \alpha_1, q_1) \neq (q, \alpha_2, q_2)$ .

ullet Yet another definition might be to define a program graph PG and its semantics  $\mathcal S$  to constitute a strongly deterministic system whenever

$$\langle q_{\triangleright}; \sigma \rangle \stackrel{\omega'}{\Longrightarrow}^* \langle q'; \sigma' \rangle$$
 and  $\langle q_{\triangleright}; \sigma \rangle \stackrel{\omega'''}{\Longrightarrow}^* \langle q''; \sigma'' \rangle$  and  $|\omega'| = |\omega''|$  imply that  $\sigma' = \sigma''$  and that  $\omega' = \omega''$ 

where  $|\omega|$  denotes the length of  $\omega$ .

It follows from Formal Methods an Appetizer Section 1.4 that the statement "a locally deterministic system is also deterministic" is always true. It also follows that the statement "a deterministic system is also locally deterministic" is sometimes false.

For each of the statements below indicate whether or not you consider them to be always true or sometimes false.

If you consider them to be always true you should provide a short explanation (but no formal proof). If you consider them to be sometimes false you should provide a simple example (that is no more complex than necessary to make the point). You may refer to results and figures in Formal Methods an Appetizer if you do so precisely.

- **3a** "A locally deterministic system is also strongly deterministic."
- **3b** "A deterministic system is also strongly deterministic."
- **3c** "A strongly deterministic system is also locally deterministic."

# **Exercises on Context-free Languages**

### **Exercise 4 (25%)**

In the lectures on model checking you were introduced to CTL, a formal language to express properties of programs. A popular alternative to CTL is *Linear-time Temporal Logic* (LTL), which was introduced by Amir Pnueli in 1977, who later received the Turing Award in 1996 for his contributions on temporal logics and verification. The next set of exercises regard the syntax of LTL as provided by the following grammar.

The productions of the grammar are

$$F \rightarrow \mathsf{tt} \qquad (\mathsf{true})$$

$$\mid A \qquad (\mathsf{atomic predicates})$$

$$\mid !F \qquad (\mathsf{negation})$$

$$\mid F \& F \qquad (\mathsf{conjunction})$$

$$\mid \mathsf{XF} \qquad (\mathsf{next state})$$

$$\mid \mathsf{GF} \qquad (\mathsf{globally})$$

$$\mid F \ \mathsf{U} \ F \qquad (\mathsf{until})$$

$$\mid [F] \qquad (\mathsf{grouping of a formula})$$

$$A \rightarrow \mathsf{p} \mid \mathsf{q} \mid \mathsf{r} \qquad (\mathsf{atomic predicates})$$

the set of non-terminal symbols is  $N = \{F, A\}$ , the set of terminal symbols is  $T = \{\mathsf{tt}, !, \&, \mathsf{X}, \mathsf{G}, \mathsf{U}, [,], \mathsf{p}, \mathsf{q}, \mathsf{r}\}$ . Strings generated by F are LTL formulas, and strings generated by A are atomic predicates.

(a) Show that the following formula is accepted by the grammar of LTL by providing a parse tree for it:

- (b) Show that the grammar is ambiguous by providing one LTL formula and two distinct parse trees for it. You are not allowed to use negation (!) and conjunction (&) in the example formula.
- (c) Consider the following precedence and associativity rules.
  - Precedence from highest to lowest for the following unary and binary operators is: !, &, X, G, U;
  - $\bullet$  & and U are left-associative.

which of the two parse trees you provided in (b) adheres to these rules?

(d) Consider again the rules of (c). As explained in class, many grammar languages used by parser generators allow you to specify those rules in the grammar specification. Most likely, this is what you did in the mandatory assignment. Describe very briefly the grammar annotations that would implement the rules of (c) in one of the two grammar languages we saw in class

- (FsLexYacc or ANTLR4). Please specify which grammar language you have chosen. You don't need to give the entire grammar specification but just the part where the above precedence and associativity rules are specified.
- (e) Consider again the rules of (c). Transform the grammar of LTL into a new grammar that encodes the rules directly. You can apply the techniques seen in class. For example, you can first enforce precedence by stratifying the grammar into precedence layers, and then enforce associativity by forbidding recursion on one of the sides.
- (f) Construct a Pushdown Automaton (PDA) that accepts the same language as the grammar of LTL described at the beginning of the exercise. Use the construction that we saw in class (and described in book [HMU]) to translate a context-free grammar into an equivalent, non-deterministic PDA.
- (g) Show that the example formula of exercise (a) is accepted by the PDA you have constructed in exercise (f). Do so by showing the sequence of configurations (instantaneous descriptions) of the PDA starting with the initial one (q, X tt U tt, F), where q is the initial state of your PDA, X tt U tt is the input string and F is the initial stack symbol.
- (h) Design a set of datatypes that are suitable to store abstract representations (ASTs) of LTL formulas. You can take inspiration from your solution to the mandatory assignment, i.e. you can use F# types, Java classes, etc.. Show how the example formula

X [pUGq]

would be represented as a value of your datatype.

Page 6 of 8

# Exercises on Regular Languages

## Exercise 5 (10%)

In this question we are going to study four languages,  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$  and  $L_4$  over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ :

 $L_1$  is described by the regular expression  $(0+1)^*$ .

 $L_2$  is described by the context free grammar with productions

$$A \rightarrow 0A \mid A1 \mid \epsilon$$

 $L_3$  is described by the  $\epsilon$ -NFA with transition relation

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \rightarrow \star p & \{q\} & \emptyset \\ q & \emptyset & \{p\} \\ r & \{r\} & \emptyset \end{array}$$

 $L_4$  is described by the DFA with transition relation

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} & \parallel 0 & 1 \\ \hline \rightarrow p & \parallel q & p \\ \star q & q & r \\ r & r & r \end{array}$$

Fill out the following table with a *yes* if the string in the column is a member of the language in the row and a *no* if it is not a member of the language:

	$\epsilon$	0000	0101	0011	1100	1010
$L_1$						
$L_2$						
$L_3$						
$L_4$						

# Exercise 6 (20%)

Again consider the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . For each of the six statements below determine whether it is true or false and explain your answer (by giving a counter example or a proof of the result).

- (a) If L is a regular language and  $L' \subseteq L$  then L' is a regular language.
- (b) If L is a regular language then there exists a proper subset  $L' \subset L$  such that L' is a regular language.

- (c) If L and M are regular languages then so is  $\{xy \mid x \in L \text{ and } y \notin M\}$ .
- (d)  $\{w \mid w=w^R\}$  is a regular language recall that  $w^R$  is the string w reversed so  $(011)^R=110$ .
- (e) If L is a regular language then so is  $\{w \mid w \in L \text{ and } w^R \in L\}$ .
- (f)  $\{xyx^R \mid x \in \Sigma^* \text{ and } y \in \Sigma^*\}$  is a regular language.