Essential Exercise 5.17

We shall prove the following four results jointly:

- R1 if sec[CI(X)] $g_0 \neq g_0$ $(g, y := a3X'3, g') \in edges(g_0 \rightarrow g_0) TCI(X)$ then $X' \cup f_0(a) = 33y$? $X \subseteq X'$
- R2 if $w = true \ where \ (w,d') = sec_2 \Gamma GCI(d,X)$ $q_0 \neq q_0$ $(q,y) = a(1X'), g' \in E \ where \ (E,d') = edges_s(q_0 q_0)GCI(d,X)$ then $X' \cup fv(a) = 3y$ $X \subseteq X'$
- R 3 if $sec \mathbb{C}P(X)$ $q_0 \neq q_0$ $(q_1 A(a_1) := a_2 ? X'?, q') \in edges_s(q_0 \sim q_0) \mathbb{C}P(X)$ then $X' \cup fv(a_1) \cup fv(a_2) = fA$ $X \subseteq X'$
- R4 if w = true where $(w,d') = sec_2 [GCD(d,X)]$ $g_0 \neq g_0$ $(g,A[g_0]:=a_2(X'),g') \in E$ where $(E_1d')=edges_2(g_0^2g_0)[GCD(d,X)]$ then $X' \cup f_0(g_0) \cup f_0(g_0) = g_0(A)$ $X \subseteq X'$

While this looks like a lot of work all cases are extremely similar (1)

Proving R1, R2, R3, R4 jointly means that we perform a proof by mathematical induction on the size of the syntactic component CC for R1, R3 and GC for R2, R47.

(This is sometimes colled mutual structure) induction.)

Basically this means that we need to consider 8 cases: 6 for the commands and 2 for the guarded commands.

During the proofs we need to simultaneously inspect:

Definitions 5.4 and 5.5 for edges, and edges,

Definitions 5.12 and 5.13 for sec and secz

(This would be easiest if you have a page on your desk with

each set of definitions - otherwir one may easily get lost.)

Case C is x:= a

Only R1 applies and it is immediate that X'= x, y= x and that X'ufu(a) = 31x3.

Case Cis A [a.]: = a2

Only R3 applies and it is immediate that X'= X and that X' uf, (a,) uf, (a2) = 3 A.

Case Cis skip Immediate as neither R1, R2, R3 nor R4 applies. Case Cis C1: C2

Both R1 and R3 may apply. Any edge in the program graph for C must come from either C1 or C2 and our induction hypothesis for C1 and C2 then give us the result.

Case Cis If GC fi

Both R1 and R3 may apply. Our induction hygothesis established R2 and R4 for GC and this gives us the result.

Case Cis do GC od

Both R1 and R3 may apply. Our induction hypothesis established R2 and R4 for GC and this gives us the result. (Because the edge (90,7d,9.) in edges (9.79.) [C] (X) is not an assignment.)

Case GC is b -> C

Both R2 and R4 may apply. Our induction hypothesis established R1 and R3 for C and we observe that the set Xufv(b)ufv(d) is used both for edges, and for sec; hence this gives us the result. (Because the edge (9., bn-d, 9) is not an assignment.)

Case GC is GC1BGC2

Both R 2 and Ry may apply. Our induction hypothesis established R2 and R4 for both GC and GC and we observe that the set X is used both for the two occurrences of edgess, and for sec, and that furthermore the d's match up; hence this gives us the result.

End of 5,17