

1 Easy

1.1

In the model definition below, which line is the likelihood?

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma) \quad (1)$$

$$\mu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10) \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma \sim \text{Exp}(1) \quad (3)$$

y_i is the likelihood.

1.2

In the model definition just above, how many parameters are in the posterior distribution?

Two, μ and σ .

1.3

Using the model definition above, write down the appropriate form of Bayes' theorem that includes the proper likelihood and priors.

$$Pr(\mu, \sigma | y) = \frac{\prod_i \mathcal{N}(y_i | \mu, \sigma) * \mathcal{N}(\mu | 0, 10) * \text{Exp}(\sigma | 1)}{\int \int \prod_i \mathcal{N}(y_i | \mu, \sigma) * \mathcal{N}(\mu | 0, 10) * \text{Exp}(\sigma | 1) d\mu d\sigma} \quad (4)$$

1.4

In the model definition below, which line is the linear model?

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma) \quad (5)$$

$$\mu_i = \alpha + \beta x_i \quad (6)$$

$$\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10) \quad (7)$$

$$\beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma \sim \text{Exp}(2) \quad (9)$$

The line $\mu_i = \alpha + \beta x_i$ specifies the linear model.

1.5

In the model definition just above, how many parameters are in the posterior distribution?

Three - α , β and σ .

1.6

Translate the quap model formula below into a mathematical model definition.

```
flist <- alist(  
  y ~ dnorm( mu , sigma ), mu <- a + b*x,  
  a ~ dnorm( 0 , 10 ),  
  b ~ dunif( 0 , 1 ), sigma ~ dexp( 1 )  
)
```

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma) \quad (10)$$

$$\mu_i = \alpha + \beta x_i \quad (11)$$

$$\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10) \quad (12)$$

$$\beta \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1) \quad (13)$$

$$\sigma \sim \text{Exp}(2) \quad (14)$$

1.7

A sample of students is measured for height each year for 3 years. After the third year, you want to fit a linear regression predicting height using year as a predictor. Write down the mathematical model definition for this regression, using any variable names and priors you choose. Be prepared to defend your choice of priors.

$$h_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma) \tag{15}$$

$$\mu_i = \alpha + e^\beta x_i \tag{16}$$

$$\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(165, 30) \tag{17}$$

$$\beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10) \tag{18}$$

$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 20) \tag{19}$$

$$\tag{20}$$

I'm assuming the question implies we are only interested in predicting year 3 growth for these adolescents during a stage in life in which they are growing; otherwise one might prefer an asymptotically flat curve or maybe a multi stage to account for shrinking in late age. But, to keep it simple and in line with the material covered in the chapter, I choose a linear regression; α should correspond to the mean marginal height of the students; I'm guessing this is around 155 but I leave a wide variance to account for the little information we have about these students. Since they are "students," I assume they are not in the shrinking phase of life and model with log-normal β which prevents negative growth over years, but use 0 as the mean for β because they may be older students, in which case they wouldn't grow that much.

1.8

Now suppose I remind you that every student got taller each year. Does this information lead you to change your choice of priors? How?

I would be inclined to set a higher mean for β than 0.

1.9

Now suppose I tell you that the variance among heights for students of the same age is never more than 64cm. How does this lead you to revise your priors?

I would go for $\sigma \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 8)$, bounding σ^2 at 64.