



Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoepp@gmail.com>

---

## Your recent messages to sage-devel

5 messages

---

**Julian R  th** <julian.rueth@fsfe.org>

Sun, Jun 9, 2024 at 5:05 PM

To: Matthias K  ppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>

Cc: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Dear Matthias,

We decided to reject your recent message to sage-devel.

As we said in our call, we would like you not to make absolute statements such as "this is inappropriate rhetoric" that also convey a position of authority in your messages to sage-devel.

Statements such as 'repeated already many times by you,' and 'makes no technical sense,' might come across as dismissive and discourage open communication.

We also rejected your message saying "All of the above are "fear, uncertainty, and doubt" markers; I will not respond to such." This comes across as uncollaborative. You make a proposal and you reject the first response you get with a quite unfriendly rejection.

The SageMath Code of Conduct Committee

---

**Matthias K  ppe** <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>

Sun, Jun 9, 2024 at 5:14 PM

To: Julian R  th <julian.rueth@fsfe.org>

Cc: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Don't send me stuff like this any more, people.

---

**Matthias K  ppe** <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>

Tue, Jun 11, 2024 at 3:04 PM

To: Julian R  th <julian.rueth@fsfe.org>

Cc: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Hi committee,

Below I'll explain it to you once more.

On Sun, Jun 9, 2024 at 5:05 PM Julian R  th <julian.rueth@fsfe.org> wrote:

> As we said in our call, we would like you not to make absolute  
> statements such as "this is inappropriate rhetoric" that also convey a  
> position of authority in your messages to sage-devel.

Once again, people, I obviously have the position of authority.  
I consider any further attempts to continue your gaslighting as harassment.

> Statements such as 'repeated already many times by you,' and 'makes no  
> technical sense,' might come across as dismissive and discourage open  
> communication. We also rejected your message saying "All of the above are "fear,  
> uncertainty, and doubt" markers; I will not respond to such." This comes  
> across as uncollaborative. You make a proposal and you reject the first  
> response you get with a quite unfriendly rejection.

Wrong, and comically irresponsible to send me, the target of abuse,  
such messages.

To paraphrase "You should be happy that the abuser gives you attention!"

Just don't any more.

Matthias

--

Dr. Matthias Koeppel . . . . . <http://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~mkoeppel>  
Professor of Mathematics

---

**Julian R  th** <julian.rueth@fsfe.org>  
To: Matthias Koeppel <mkoeppel@math.ucdavis.edu>  
Cc: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Fri, Jun 14, 2024 at 2:20 PM

Dear Matthias,

In accordance with your request, we will no longer send you notices when  
your messages are rejected in moderation.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Matthias Koeppel** <mkoeppel@math.ucdavis.edu>  
To: Julian R  th <julian.rueth@fsfe.org>  
Cc: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Fri, Jun 14, 2024 at 2:22 PM

Did I have to mention: "Don't mock me?"

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]