



Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoepp@gmail.com>

---

## CoCC's opinion and recommendation regarding PR #37501 -- Calling In and Calling Out

3 messages

---

**Jean-Philippe Labbé** <jean-philippe.labbe@etsmtl.ca>  
To: Matthias Koepp <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>  
Cc: sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Sat, Mar 30, 2024 at 5:42 PM

Dear Matthias,

I hope this email reaches you well.

I am writing you on behalf of the newly nominated CoCC, and this email more specifically regards the PR #37501, although its scope isn't bound only by that one.

We appreciate your gesture of asking the CoCC to look into the situation and pointing out the "Calling In and Calling Out Guide" which helped to diffuse the situation.

Regarding PR #37501, the committee believes that the "eyes" emoji can easily be interpreted as "I am watching you". In fact, your further explanation in the thread confirms that you use it to mark messages that you feel may violate the Code of Conduct. Furthermore, you state that "it is also accounting to later report as abuse. This can be interpreted as weaponizing the CoCC, which we find unacceptable.

In our opinion, marking comments that upset you without saying what upset you is not helpful in defusing contentious situations. Your discussion partner will feel that allegations are being made against them without knowing what the allegations actually are. As a result, they will feel attacked without knowing what to reflect on. If you read the very helpful "Calling In and Calling Out Guide" you will see that the recommended way to call out behavior that upsets you is to use language that very specifically describes what makes you upset about the behavior you find upsetting. When you do this, you give your interlocutor something to work with and think about. Furthermore, by clearly focusing on describing how you experienced the words used, rather than stating them in absolute terms, you invite the other person to consider that there are multiple sides to how words are experienced, which generally helps people to think in more collaborative rather than adversarial terms.

A good example of "Calling out" actually happened in the same thread: Gonzalo called out your use of the "Eyes" emoji. He described how he found it offensive and asked you to stop using it in his posts.

The Committee believes that everyone involved in a heated discussion, including you, should strive to "carve every word before letting it fall". Whenever a remark is made that offends you, do consider that most likely your discussion partner did not intend to do so and didn't intend what you read into it. At the same time, when your discussion partner seems upset by what you said, consider that they might have interpreted your statement differently from what you intended. Consider taking a short break from the current situation, as time often helps to diffuse these tensions.

The committee is still discussing how to resolve the current issues (without going into details, we have had 3 meetings and will continue to meet weekly until all issues are resolved).

We hope to come up with solutions and recommendations in the short term that will hopefully lead to consensus and allow the community to move forward and work on its welcoming atmosphere.

Finally, we hope we can count on your cooperation to help defuse heated discussions, while the CoCC continues in its preparation to fulfill its duties.

Best wishes from Montréal,  
J-P on behalf on the CoCC

---

**Matthias Koepp** <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>  
To: Jean-Philippe Labbé <jean-philippe.labbe@etsmtl.ca>  
Cc: sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Thu, Apr 4, 2024 at 8:34 PM

Hi J-P and all:

J-P: good to hear from you! It's been a while.

On Sat, Mar 30, 2024 at 5:42 PM Jean-Philippe Labbé

<[jean-philippe.labbe@etsmtl.ca](mailto:jean-philippe.labbe@etsmtl.ca)> wrote:

> I am writing you on behalf of the newly nominated CoCC, and this email  
> more specifically regards the PR #37501 [...]

All: There's quite a number of things that I have to respond to in this email...

But in my first response, I'll start with a reaction to this paragraph near the end of the long email. I'll phrase it as general advice for the committee regarding future communication with community members who have reported abusive conduct to you.

> The Committee believes that everyone involved in a heated discussion,  
> including you, should strive to "carve every word before letting it  
> fall". Whenever a remark is made that offends you, do consider that most  
> likely your discussion partner did not intend to do so

This recommendation, while undoubtedly well-meaning and certainly reasonably-sounding etc. is **\*\*actively harmful\*\*** in any communication with a community member who has reported to the committee that they have been the target of abusive conduct (... and who is waiting for the committee to acknowledge the report(s) and to take action).

Why? Because this recommendation denies the community member's experience as the target of abuse. It does so in multiple ways:

- by casually making the judgment that the abuser "most likely did not intend to do so",
- by trivializing the report as having been made because words "offended" the community member,
- by painting the context as a "heated discussion" (a symmetric situation) rather than as "abuse" (an asymmetric situation).

I hope this helps,  
Matthias

--

Dr. Matthias Koeppe . . . . . <http://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~mkoeppe>  
Professor of Mathematics

---

**Matthias Koeppe** <[mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu](mailto:mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu)>

To: Jean-Philippe Labbé <[jean-philippe.labbe@etsmtl.ca](mailto:jean-philippe.labbe@etsmtl.ca)>

Cc: [sage-conduct@googlegroups.com](mailto:sage-conduct@googlegroups.com)

Mon, Apr 8, 2024 at 10:55 PM

Hi sage-conduct committee:

Prompted by the latest emails, I am following up with a necessary response to other parts of this email.

On Sat, Mar 30, 2024 at 5:42 PM Jean-Philippe Labbé

<[jean-philippe.labbe@etsmtl.ca](mailto:jean-philippe.labbe@etsmtl.ca)> wrote:

> I am writing you on behalf of the newly nominated CoCC, and this email  
> more specifically regards the PR #37501, although it's scope isn't bound  
> only by that one.  
>

> We appreciate your gesture of asking the CoCC to look into the situation  
> and pointing out the "Calling In and Calling Out Guide" which helped to  
> diffuse the situation.

There appears to be a fundamental and problematic lack of understanding of the situation if the committee considers "heated

situations" the main problem that needs to be addressed by "diffusing". It is not.

The problem is abuse and disrespect. Both are asymmetric.

The problem is not solved by asking everyone to be nice to each other.

And it is certainly not solved by asking those that are targets of abuse in cooperating with the denial of abuse.

Your task is simple: Learn to recognize abuse and disrespectful conduct; acknowledge abuse and disrespect; set clear expectations for the perpetrators; give support to the targets of abuse and disrespect.

> [...] We hope to come up with solutions and recommendations in the short term  
> that will hopefully lead to consensus

You are assuming that all are acting in good faith. It's already documented abundantly that this is not a suitable assumption. Act accordingly.

[Quoted text hidden]