

More label changes by Tobias

22 messages

David Roe <roed314@gmail.com> To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com> Cc: sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Sun, Mar 10, 2024 at 11:14 AM

Hi Matthias,

Thanks for drawing my attention to #37534, which Tobias just changed from needs review to needs info. I agree that it should be changed back to needs review, but he has asked us to forward on some comments about the PR which he can't make since he's blocked. The committee doesn't really want to get into the business of relaying comments between blocked parties, and we also have other reservations about speaking with Tobias' voice in these contexts. For your information, here's the comment he wants to make:

-1 on first recommending devcontainers for using sage in such a prominent place. I actually tried this setup for some time but it's guite cumbersome. I can see it working for someone that uses vscode for all sage work and who has all documents in one directory. I suggest to put this in a new section/document ("Using SageMath via Developer Containers") and then link to that section in the "Installation instructions" as a possible alternative.

I don't have the bandwidth to handle this this afternoon, but hope to return to it tonight. We will change the status back to needs review, and we appreciate that you drew our attention to it rather than doing it yourself. David

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Sun, Mar 10, 2024 at 12:22 PM

To: David Roe <roed314@gmail.com> Cc: sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Thanks. David.

As you know, I blocked Tobias when I discovered that being unable to comment on his PRs was because he had blocked me. Although my decision to block him then was made for reciprocity, now I have to say that I much prefer _not_ receiving Tobias's comments.

I find it draining to read the comment because to me it just reads as another prelude to obstructive behavior and expressions of disrespect.

There's nothing specifically wrong with this particular comment, but it does not offer any useful insight either. Field-testing the procedure that is described there is part of the review, and Tobias's report of having tried it is -- at best -- one datapoint.

Affirmative steps will be necessary before I want to read any of his comments again.

Matthias

[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Koeppe -- http://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~mkoeppe

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com> To: David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>

Cc: sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Now Dima has taken up the labeling games.

He marked https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36999 as "pending". I

Tue, Mar 12, 2024 at 9:28 AM

reverted it.

He just did the same on https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36676

[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

To: David Roe <roed314@gmail.com> Cc: sage-conduct@googlegroups.com Tue, Mar 12, 2024 at 10:04 AM

And the abuse continues.

Now Dima has set disputed PRs

https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36982 and

https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36982 to "positive review".

[Quoted text hidden]

John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 12, 2024 at 10:10 AM

To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Cc: David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Hi Matthias.

You sent the same PR number: "https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36982 and https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36982". Was one of those supposed to be something else?

Regards,

John

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "sage-conduct" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to sage-conduct+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/sage-conduct/CAJ_wo5idGmKVWQjRvoxpUpg4YmOmUW9xxwn6mzaVhnH2aEPFFw%40mail.gmail.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.

--

John H. Palmieri

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 12, 2024 at 10:44 AM

To: John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>

Cc: David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Sorry about the copy-paste error. There's a whole bunch of similar changes in various PRs made within the past hour.

https://github.com/sagemath/sage/issues?q=sort%3Aupdated-desc+is%3Aopen+label%3Adisputed [Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

To: John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>

Cc: David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/37138 ("Make sage-conf optional")

- someone set this to "positive review" again
- my objection to it stands: what we declare as a dependency is a design choice, the design supports an important use case, and citing a definition of what a "required dependency" is cannot override design https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/37138#issuecomment-1904428147

Tue, Mar 12, 2024 at 1:15 PM

https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/37436

- pulls in the changes from the above PR
- someone set this to "positive review" again
- this is another case of trying to force changes in through bundling

https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/37446 ("Specify all dependencies of sagelib in its pyproject.toml")

- someone set this to "positive review", although it obviously has not been reviewed in a meaningful way
- this is a compilation of several things that I object to, and obviously unfinished, unmergeable
- it creates a pyproject.toml in SAGE_ROOT; that's another copy of what is generated in src/setup; it declares there a build-system of setuptools, but there's not a setup.py
- it duplicates version information for dependencies, violating the design of single-sourcing this from build/pkgs

On Tue, Mar 12, 2024 at 10:44 AM Matthias Köppe

[Quoted text hidden]

William Stein < wstein@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 12, 2024 at 1:21 PM

To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Cc: John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Hi Matthias,

Thank you for bringing all of this to our attention. As a step, the committee decided for now to revoke Dima's triage privileges, a fact that is publicly visible at:

https://github.com/orgs/sagemath/teams/triage

William

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/sage-conduct/CAJ_wo5h_7vb_94soPZ-TVun7CU9ScAGKbVs56bfjZ5uq1bbV8Q%40mail.gmail.com.

[Quoted text hidden]

__

William (http://wstein.org)

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 12, 2024 at 1:36 PM

To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>

Cc: John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Should I revert these label changes, or will one of you do?

Matthias

[Quoted text hidden]

David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 12, 2024 at 8:23 PM

To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Thanks for checking. I think it's best if you wait; we're currently discussing how to handle it.

David

[Quoted text hidden]

Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

So per the latest clarification in

https://groups.google.com/g/sage-devel/c/lgBYUJI33SQ/m/LwTprknYCgAJ --

the plan really is that ... after 5 months of waiting, all that's happening is that I have to go and personally organize a supermajority of developers who vote for each and every one of my PRs that have been held back by persistent abuse?

Matthias

[Quoted text hidden]

David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 5:22 PM

To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Hi Matthias.

This response is just from me, since the new committee hasn't had a chance to meet.

I hope that the new committee will be discussing additional steps to take; the new dispute policy does not have to be the only step taken. But at least there is now a process where each of these PRs can proceed, assuming there is enough support among Sage developers.

David

[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 7:29 PM

To: David Roe < roed314@gmail.com>

Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Not good enough.

There is way too little participation on these votes, way too little time.

[Quoted text hidden]

David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 8:07 PM

To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

On Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 10:29 PM Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com> wrote:

Not good enough.

I understand that you're frustrated Matthias, but you don't get to dictate what other people do. Personally, I feel like I'm working on all of this far more than I'd like to be.

There is way too little participation on these votes, way too little time.

I agree with your effort to encourage people to vote, and I'll echo you on sage-devel now. What do you mean by too little time?

[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 8:10 PM

To: David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>

Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

On Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 8:07 PM David Roe < roed314@gmail.com > wrote:

>

> On Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 10:29 PM Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com> wrote:

>>

>> Not good enough.

>

> I understand that you're frustrated Matthias, but you don't get to dictate what other people do.

I don't know, David, try rephrasing?

Matthias

[Quoted text hidden]

David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 8:14 PM

To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

Sure. What do you mean by "Not good enough?"

David

[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 8:33 PM

To: David Roe < roed314@gmail.com>

Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

The whole process is still systematically denying the undeniable fact of abuse.

You are responding within 45 minutes to criticize me with a description that is uncalled for.

The serial abuser's reported abuse from 10 hours ago,

https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36753#issuecomment-1999164090

along with follow-ups, still stands and I'm the only one calling it out.

Matthias

[Quoted text hidden]

William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 8:34 PM

To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Matthias,

Please remove me from this thread.

William

[Quoted text hidden]

--

William (http://wstein.org)

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 8:42 PM

sure thing, I should have checked who is cc'd. It's been a long 72 hours day.

Matthias

[Quoted text hidden]

David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 8:49 PM

To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>, sage-conduct@googlegroups.com

I'm not going to respond further to this right now Matthias, instead focusing on getting the new committee caught up and other things outside of Sage.

David

[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com> To: David Roe <roed314@gmail.com> Sun, Mar 17, 2024 at 10:29 AM

Thanks David, I agree of course that the transition to the new committee takes precedence!

Has the email sage-conduct@googlegroups.com already been updated to reach (only) the new committee members?

Matthias

[Quoted text hidden]

David Roe <roed314@gmail.com>
To: Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Sun, Mar 17, 2024 at 11:49 AM

Yes, I did that on Friday. David [Quoted text hidden]