Tobias Diez (again)
30 messages

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Wed, Oct 25, 2023 at 6:15 PM
To: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

He's now making personal attacks and insinuations.
https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36489#discussion_r1372452493
An intervention is necessary here.

Thanks,
Matthias
--
Dr. Matthias Koeppe . . . . http://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~mkoeppe
Professor of Mathematics

William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>   Wed, Oct 25, 2023 at 6:56 PM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Thanks -- I 100% agree and have started by adding a comment to that
thread. I don't know if Tobias has the option to edit his own comment,
but I can certainly delete his comment if requested.

I think his comment clearly violates all of the points of the code of
conduct. It's not friendly, not patient, not welcoming, not
considerate, and not respectful or polite.   My guess is that he
might actually be under a lot of stress... but that does not in any
way excuse such behavior.

Matthias, again, thanks for helping keep thing civil in sage dev land!

William
[Quoted text hidden]
> --
> You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "sage-abuse" group.
> To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to sage-abuse+unsubscribe@
googlegroups.com.
> To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/sage-abuse/CAJ_wo5jGw%
3DJ74zwu_hrtAnLxaAsBfPiZQFmzj%3DzpLJKC7bXwcQ%40mail.gmail.com.

--
William (http://wstein.org)

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Wed, Oct 25, 2023 at 7:14 PM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Thanks a lot William for the fast action.
Unfortunately I have to say it's not a one-time violation on the side of Tobias, and I don't think it can be attributed to stress.
There's a pattern of abusive conduct, in which he uses a wide spectrum of inappropriate, manipulative techniques.

[Quoted text hidden]

William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>   Wed, Oct 25, 2023 at 7:16 PM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

On Wed, Oct 25, 2023 at 7:14 PM Matthias Koeppe
<mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu> wrote:
>
> Thanks a lot William for the fast action.
> Unfortunately I have to say it's not a one-time violation on the side of Tobias, and I don't think it can be attributed to
stress. There's a pattern of abusive conduct, in which he uses a wide spectrum of inappropriate, manipulative techniques.
>

I agree, and I also fully agree that nothing at all excuses this
behavior.   If there is anything next that needs to be done,
let me and the committee know.

Thanks!

 -- William
[Quoted text hidden]
--
William (http://wstein.org)

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Thu, Oct 26, 2023 at 5:39 PM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36489#discussion_r1372503977

Tobias has doubled down with his insinuation that my review is improper, and repeats an unwelcome accusation
("negativity").

[Quoted text hidden]

William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>   Thu, Oct 26, 2023 at 6:21 PM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

I'm not seeing the comments where this happens. Maybe something got deleted?

On Thu, Oct 26, 2023 at 5:40 PM Matthias Koeppe
[Quoted text hidden]
--
William (http://wstein.org)

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Thu, Oct 26, 2023 at 6:26 PM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36489#discussion_r1372503977:

"""

@williamstein I honestly don't see where I've violated the code of conduct. I merely (wanted to) express that
I don't enjoy Matthias negativity (and were genuinely asking where this negativity is coming from). Anyway,
I've now hidden my comment.

@mkoeppe Would you please review the PR in line with the code of conduct? In particular, finally explain to
me what errors you encounter.

"""

William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>   Thu, Oct 26, 2023 at 6:41 PM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Hi Matthias,

I definitely agree that the tone of the comments isn't the best.
However, Tobias did at least try to make attempt to be more
civil in this comment than in the previous really bad one.   Do you
think you could be extra patient with interpreting this
particular comment?

 -- William

On Thu, Oct 26, 2023 at 6:26 PM Matthias Koeppe
--
William (http://wstein.org)

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Thu, Oct 26, 2023 at 6:47 PM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

He's denying any wrongdoing, which makes a clear followup from sage-abuse necessary.

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Fri, Nov 3, 2023 at 9:58 PM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

The saga continues, with abusive conduct on a daily basis. The latest https://github.com/sagemath/
sage/pull/36561#issuecomment-1791789459 -- obstruction by bad-faith review comments, labeling war, and inappropriate
accusations.

William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>   Fri, Nov 3, 2023 at 10:15 PM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Matthias,

How valuable do you think this "meson build system" is for Sage, from
your point of view?   We will keep whatever you respond
in confidence here (I'm also available to video chat tomorrow if that
is easier).
I tried to make my own assessment about this question, but didn't get anywhere.

It would probably be helpful to know, just to put the situation in
context for those of us that aren't really paying attention.

Thanks,

William
[Quoted text hidden]
--
William (http://wstein.org)

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Fri, Nov 3, 2023 at 10:49 PM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Hi William,
I support developing meson build systems to replace the current use of setuptools for the Sage library. (In fact, I opened
https://github.com/sagemath/sage/issues/34630 in Oct 2022 for this task.)

Happy to do a video chat tomorrow, pick a time.

Matthias

[Quoted text hidden]

William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>   Fri, Nov 3, 2023 at 11:23 PM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Can you do 10am?

Thanks!

 -- William

On Fri, Nov 3, 2023 at 10:49 PM Matthias Koeppe
[Quoted text hidden]
--
William (http://wstein.org)

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Sat, Nov 4, 2023 at 5:57 AM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

That works
Matthias

Sent from my phone
[Quoted text hidden]

William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>   Sat, Nov 4, 2023 at 9:47 AM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

I sent you a calendar invite for https://meet.google.com/xdc-jwuk-yvs at 10am.
[Quoted text hidden]
--
William (http://wstein.org)

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Sat, Nov 4, 2023 at 9:51 AM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Got it, see you in a bit.
[Quoted text hidden]

William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>   Sat, Nov 4, 2023 at 9:57 AM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Wait we are having a *power outage* that just started so my internet is down and I don't have good cell phone service.
Can we reschedule for tomorrow at 10am (assuming we have power)?

-- William Stein
[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Sat, Nov 4, 2023 at 10:09 AM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Sure, Sunday works. See you then

Matthias
[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Wed, Nov 15, 2023 at 4:42 PM
To: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

May I get an update on this?
Persistent misconduct is continuing, some recent examples:
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36561 held up by labeling war
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36666#issuecomment-1805078169
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36697#issuecomment-1809287191
[Quoted text hidden]

David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com>   Thu, Nov 16, 2023 at 1:18 PM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Hi Matthias,
Sorry for the delay. Here are the actions we propose to take, along with a timeline for carrying them out. If you have any
suggestions, we're happy to hear them.

1. Take away Tobias' admin privileges and write to him explaining that we have done so. Taking away the privileges is
technically easy, but it will take a little while to draft an email to him.   In addition to the justifications already discussed, he
accidentally pushed to develop recently. We will discuss this further on the sage-abuse list, with the goal of completing it
by **Monday**.
2. propose a new policy on sage-devel describing how to handle refereeing conflicts. The rough outline is that if there are
at least twice as many developers in favor of a PR as there are opposed (with the author counting in favor), then anyone
in favor may set the PR to positive review, and anyone opposed *should not undo that state*. If a developer is opposed to a
change and unable to convince the author or other referee, we will give an example of an appropriate email that they can
use in writing to sage-devel to ask other developers to take a look. With a majority but less than a 2-to-1 advantage,
developers in favor of a PR can still call a vote on sage-devel as normal, but in this case those opposed are allowed to set
the PR back to needs work and those in favor should respect that decision until a vote on sage-devel is carried out. I will
volunteer to lead the discussion on vote on this new policy, and plan to start that discussion by **next Wednesday**, though
of course the process will take longer.
3. We plan to write to Tobias and tell him that continued violations of the Sage code of conduct will result in us reporting
him to Github. We intend to wait to send this email until **after step 1**, and it will take some effort to draft it since we want
to include specific examples and guidance. We haven't discussed a particular deadline for this, but I hope that we can
write this message to him by **Tuesday, November 28**.
David

[Quoted text hidden]
> [Quoted text hidden]
> --
> You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "sage-abuse" group.
> To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to sage-abuse+unsubscribe@

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Thu, Nov 16, 2023 at 1:51 PM
To: David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com>
Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Hi David,
Thanks a lot for the update. All of this, including the timeline, seems reasonable to me. I do have a quick remark on point 2 below.

> On Thu, Nov 16, 2023 at 1:19 PM David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com> wrote:
> 2. propose a new policy on sage-devel describing how to handle refereeing conflicts. The rough outline is that if there
> are at least twice as many developers in favor of a PR as there are opposed (with the author counting in favor), then
> anyone in favor may set the PR to positive review, and anyone opposed *should not undo that state*.

Whenever votes are to be counted, it's crucial that everyone involved knows that this is happening (and when). In particular, such votes should definitely not be called retroactively - as was attempted in https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/35404#issuecomment-1504474945

Matthias
[Quoted text hidden]

David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com>   Tue, Nov 21, 2023 at 11:30 AM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Dear Matthias,
We have just taken the first step I outlined last week, revoking Tobias' admin privileges and informing him of several steps we plan to take. In addition to what we discussed with you last week, several addendums came out of our discussion over the weekend.

1. We would like to ask you not to push changes directly to Tobias' branches, even if he neglects to uncheck the "Maintainers are allowed to edit this pull request." For whatever reason, it messes up his process, and it's an easy step to take as a way to make peace. If you want to suggest changes, you can always make a PR against his PR.

2. I know that there are multiple technical issues where you and Tobias have disagreements. For both of your sakes, it would be good if these could be resolved one way or another, so that you don't have to waste time arguing with each other. We would like to propose a venue where other Sage developers with expertise in Sage's build system (and other package management tools such as Conda and Meson) can weigh in and hopefully provide either a consensus decision that you can both agree on, or a proposal that can be voted on by sage-devel. I know that you have reached out at various points to try to get feedback and involvement (most recently in the Modularization project: V thread, but also with the effort to organize weekly Zoom meetings for Sage developers and many other times). I hope that we can encourage specific people to participate in some kind of Zoom meeting; I'm willing to moderate as someone neutral.

We would be happy to hear feedback from you on this idea, especially if there are specific issues or PRs you would like to see addressed, or individuals that you think would be valuable participants. This isn't something that would happen soon, since I think it should wait until after the policy discussion we discussed last week.

Thank you for your patience with this process, and for continuing to engage with us to make Sage a more welcoming and positive community.
David
[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Tue, Nov 21, 2023 at 12:53 PM
To: David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com>
Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Hi David,

Thanks for the update.

On Tue, Nov 21, 2023 at 11:30 AM David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com> wrote:
> 1. We would like to ask you not to push changes directly to Tobias' branches, even if he neglects to uncheck the
> "Maintainers are allowed to edit this pull request." For whatever reason, it messes up his process, and it's an easy step
> to take as a way to make peace. If you want to suggest changes, you can always make a PR against his PR.

I'm certainly willing to avoid actions that could be misconstrued as a provocation, or misconstrued as exploiting that
Tobias's privileges have now been lowered.

I do note that Tobias still appears to be in the Triage team, which means that he is still able to continue with abusive
labeling.

> 2. [...] We would like to propose a venue where other Sage developers with expertise in Sage's build system (and other
> package management tools such as Conda and Meson) can weigh in and hopefully provide either a consensus
> decision that you can both agree on, or a proposal that can be voted on by sage-devel. I know that you have reached
> out at various points to try to get feedback and involvement (most recently in the Modularization project: V thread, but
> also with the effort to organize weekly Zoom meetings for Sage developers and many other times). I hope that we can
> encourage specific people to participate in some kind of Zoom meeting; I'm willing to moderate as someone neutral.

I'll be open to any activity that helps increase the number of participants who collaborate on build system work, CI,
modularization, the Sage distribution, packaging etc. A "Sage Day" on this specific topic, like the (sparsely attended)
https://wiki.sagemath.org/days111, would be valuable. I'd urge us to make this a neutral activity open to the public, not one
that is framed as conflict resolution.

> We would be happy to hear feedback from you on this idea, especially if there are specific issues or PRs you would like
> to see addressed, or individuals that you think would be valuable participants. This isn't something that would happen
> soon, since I think it should wait until after the policy discussion we discussed last week.

Yes, there are quite a number of affected PRs.

A) My PRs that are currently being held up by Tobias's bad-faith demands and labeling abuse, and that I would like to see
merged ASAP in the early 10.3 series:

- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36666 (Replace relative imports by absolute ones in sage.{algebras,...})
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36676 (Restructure sage.*.all for modularization, replace relative by absolute
imports)
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36561(pkgs/sage-conf: Move metadata from setup.cfg to pyproject.toml)
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36694 (CI conda: On pull_request, only run 1 macOS job and 1 Linux job)
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36697 (CI Linux incremental: Set max_parallel = 8, reduce standard-sitepackages
platforms)
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36678 (CI conda: Ignore baseline test failures)
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36508 (CI docbuild: Run containers explicitly with docker run, docker exec)

B) PRs by Tobias where he declines to participate in the normal review process, setting "needs work" back to "needs
review". Examples:

- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36503
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36580
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36489

Thanks
Matthias
[Quoted text hidden]

David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com>   Fri, Nov 24, 2023 at 8:41 AM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Hi Matthias,
Thanks for bringing #36694 to my attention; I'm not going to review it because I think it's more valuable for me to preserve
my neutrality so that I can more effectively act as a moderator for the discussion on policies overall.

On Tue, Nov 21, 2023 at 3:54 PM Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu> wrote:
> Hi David,

> Thanks for the update.
>
> On Tue, Nov 21, 2023 at 11:30 AM David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com> wrote:
>> 1. We would like to ask you not to push changes directly to Tobias' branches, even if he neglects to uncheck the "Maintainers are allowed to edit this pull request." For whatever reason, it messes up his process, and it's an easy step to take as a way to make peace. If you want to suggest changes, you can always make a PR against his PR.
>
> I'm certainly willing to avoid actions that could be misconstrued as a provocation, or misconstrued as exploiting that Tobias's privileges have now been lowered.
>
> I do note that Tobias still appears to be in the Triage team, which means that he is still able to continue with abusive labeling.

We are going to leave Tobias on Triage for now, and that we hope the policy discussion that was just started, about when it's appropriate to change/block the status change of a ticket, will resolve the issue. If it does not, we can remove Tobias from Triage.

>> 2. [...] We would like to propose a venue where other Sage developers with expertise in Sage's build system (and other package management tools such as Conda and Meson) can weigh in and hopefully provide either a consensus decision that you can both agree on, or a proposal that can be voted on by sage-devel. I know that you have reached out at various points to try to get feedback and involvement (most recently in the Modularization project: V thread, but also with the effort to organize weekly Zoom meetings for Sage developers and many other times). I hope that we can encourage specific people to participate in some kind of Zoom meeting; I'm willing to moderate as someone neutral.
>
> I'll be open to any activity that helps increase the number of participants who collaborate on build system work, CI, modularization, the Sage distribution, packaging etc. A "Sage Day" on this specific topic, like the (sparsely attended) https://wiki.sagemath.org/days111, would be valuable. I'd urge us to make this a neutral activity open to the public, not one that is framed as conflict resolution.

We had certainly intended on being inclusive, and framing it as an online Sage Days is probably more friendly than a mediation session. I'm not going to have time to take any steps in this direction soon (I'm applying for jobs this fall), but we'll keep it in mind.

>> We would be happy to hear feedback from you on this idea, especially if there are specific issues or PRs you would like to see addressed, or individuals that you think would be valuable participants. This isn't something that would happen soon, since I think it should wait until after the policy discussion we discussed last week.
>
> Yes, there are quite a number of affected PRs.
>
> A) My PRs that are currently being held up by Tobias's bad-faith demands and labeling abuse, and that I would like to see merged ASAP in the early 10.3 series:
>
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36666 (Replace relative imports by absolute ones in sage.{algebras,...})
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36676 (Restructure sage.*.all for modularization, replace relative by absolute imports)
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36561(pkgs/sage-conf: Move metadata from setup.cfg to pyproject.toml)
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36694 (CI conda: On pull_request, only run 1 macOS job and 1 Linux job)
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36697 (CI Linux incremental: Set max_parallel = 8, reduce standard-sitepackages platforms)
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36678 (CI conda: Ignore baseline test failures)
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36508 (CI docbuild: Run containers explicitly with docker run, docker exec)
>
> B) PRs by Tobias where he declines to participate in the normal review process, setting "needs work" back to "needs review". Examples:
>
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36503
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36580
> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36489

Thanks for this list.
David

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Fri, Nov 24, 2023 at 10:20 AM
To: David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com>
Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

Hi David,

> On Fri, Nov 24, 2023 at 8:42 AM David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Thanks for bringing #36694 to my attention; I'm not going to review it because I think it's more valuable for me to
>> preserve my neutrality so that I can more effectively act as a moderator for the discussion on policies overall.

Of course.

>> On Tue, Nov 21, 2023 at 3:54 PM Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu> wrote:
>>> I do note that Tobias still appears to be in the Triage team, which means that he is still able to continue with abusive
>>> labeling.
>>
>> We are going to leave Tobias on Triage for now, and that we hope the policy discussion that was just started, about
>> when it's appropriate to change/block the status change of a ticket, will resolve the issue. If it does not, we can remove
>> Tobias from Triage.

Sure, that's a meaningful strategy that is worth trying.

>> [...] there are quite a number of affected PRs.
>>
>> A) My PRs that are currently being held up by Tobias's bad-faith demands and labeling abuse, and that I would like to
>> see merged ASAP in the early 10.3 series:
>>
>> - https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36666 (Replace relative imports by absolute ones in sage.{algebras,...})

Regarding this one, I do have a concern that may need particular attention or separate proceedings:
https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36666#issuecomment-1805078169 (2 weeks ago), in which Tobias makes a false
claim of authorship, and has so far declined to respond when called out for it (https://github.com/sagemath/
sage/pull/36666#issuecomment-1805084464, https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36666#issuecomment-
1813698262).

Matthias
--
[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Sat, Nov 25, 2023 at 8:51 AM
To: David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com>
Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

I'll note that Tobias is continuing with aggression.
- https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/36753#issuecomment-1826257380 (using the painfully familiar "accuse-the-
opponent-what-they-are-guilty-of-themselves" technique)

[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Mon, Apr 15, 2024 at 5:16 PM
To: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Resending for reference by the new committee.
[Quoted text hidden]

Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>   Mon, Apr 15, 2024 at 5:17 PM
To: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Resending for reference by the new committee.

(I never got a response on this one.)

From: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Date: Sat, Nov 25, 2023 at 8:51 AM
Subject: Re: [sage-abuse] Tobias Diez (again)
To: David Roe <roed.math@gmail.com>
Cc: William Stein <wstein@gmail.com>, sage-abuse <sage-abuse@googlegroups.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Julian Rüth <julian.rueth@fsfe.org>   Mon, Apr 15, 2024 at 5:35 PM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Dear Matthias,

I had a look at the link you shared but could not come to the conclusion
that the breach (if any) of the Code of Conduct is sufficiently clear
that I must take immediate action here.

I added this to the agenda for the next meeting of the Code of Conduct
committee. We'll try to discuss the incident there and I will let you
know what's the outcome of that discussion.

julian

* Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu> [2024-04-15 17:17:21 -0700]:
[Quoted text hidden]
> --
> You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "sage-conduct" group.
> To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to sage-conduct+unsubscribe@
googlegroups.com.
> To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/sage-conduct/CAJ_
wo5gdj2iTPhuu91HVWQ_Fe1xbBHQ3wB0UTuQ%3DZnsVq9Rriw%40mail.gmail.com.
> For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.

Julian Rüth <julian.rueth@fsfe.org>   Thu, Apr 25, 2024 at 5:24 PM
To: Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Dear Matthias,

We reviewed the comment that you reported. We decided not to take any
public action.

The SageMath Code of Conduct Committee

* Matthias Koeppe <mkoeppe@math.ucdavis.edu> [2024-04-15 17:17:21 GMT]:?
[Quoted text hidden]
> --
[Quoted text hidden]