

Code of Conduct Committee re recent discussion on PR #37900

3 messages

John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>

Fri, May 3, 2024 at 2:30 PM

To: Matthias Koeppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>, sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Dear Matthias.

In https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/37900#issuecomment-2086880191, Martin says that he finds a comment of yours condescending. In the ensuing interchange, he calmly and credibly explains why. An appropriate response might be, "I'm sorry, I did not intend this to be condescending," but judging from your actual response at https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/37900#issuecomment-2087025657, you actually did intend it to be condescending. This is a violation of the Code of Conduct.

The SageMath Code of Conduct Committee

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>

Fri, May 3, 2024 at 2:54 PM

To: John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com> Cc: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

On Fri, May 3, 2024 at 2:31 PM John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com> wrote:

> In https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/37900#issuecomment-2086880191, Martin says that he finds a comment of yours condescending. In the ensuing interchange, he calmly and credibly explains why. An appropriate response might be, "I'm sorry, I did not intend this to be condescending," but judging from your actual response at https://github.com/sagemath/sage/pull/37900#issuecomment-2087025657, you actually did intend it to be condescending.

What now? I did intend to tell him that it's inappropriate to 'cast an unclear, "conditional" vote that put others in charge of interpreting it; and then [...to...] criticize them'. There's nothing "condescending" about any of it; it's another act of "playing offended".

Matthias

--

Matthias Koeppe -- http://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~mkoeppe

Matthias Köppe <matthiaskoeppe@gmail.com>
To: John Palmieri <jhpalmieri64@gmail.com>
Cc: sage-conduct <sage-conduct@googlegroups.com>

Fri, May 3, 2024 at 3:15 PM

Note how carefully Martin crafted his attack on Nathan to maintain deniability.

And note how a proper response by Martin to my gentle reminder should have simply been, "Fair enough, I do know that." Instead he decided to attack me in public with his act.

[Quoted text hidden]