



# Person Detection and Tracking in Crowded Scenes using Classical Computer Vision Techniques

Academic Year: 2024-2025

Realised by:

Manon LAGARDE Maria KONTARATOU Chaimae SADOUNE Supervised by:

Céline Hudelot

# Presentation outline





- **Evaluation Metrics**
- Analysis of Results
- Conclusion



## Problem Definition

In dense urban environments and large-scale events, the ability to detect and track individuals is crucial for

various applications such as public safety, crowd management, and behavioral studies.

#### Why is this important?

- Surveillance & security
- Crowd flow analysis
- Smart city applications

#### Why is this difficult?

- Scale Variations  $\rightarrow$  People appear in different sizes depending on their distance from the camera.
- Occlusions → Individuals may be partially or fully blocked by others.
- Dynamic Backgrounds → Changing environmental conditions and moving cameras add complexity.





- 1. Accurate individual detection without requiring large annotated datasets.
- 2. Robust tracking across frames, minimizing identity switches and false detections.
- 3. Computational efficiency, enabling real-time or near-real-time performance in practical applications.

# Methodology

The proposed methodology is based on classical computer vision techniques that balance accuracy and computational efficiency.

The selected approaches are :







- HOG captures edge-based features of pedestrians effectively.
- SVM provides accurate classification with low computational overhead.

# Methodology

The proposed methodology is based on classical computer vision techniques that balance accuracy and computational efficiency. The selected approaches are :







- Detections are associated across frames based on centroid movement.
- Well-suited for moderate crowd densities with low computational cost.

# Methodology

The proposed methodology is based on classical computer vision techniques that balance accuracy and computational efficiency. The selected approaches are:






- Background Subtraction (MOG2) → Removes static elements and detects moving objects
- Pedestrian Detection (HOG + SVM)
- IoU Filtering → Merges overlapping detections from MOG2 and HOG to improve accuracy
- Kalman Filter Prediction → Estimates the next position of pedestrians based on motion history
- Hungarian Algorithm Matching  $\rightarrow$  Matches new detections to existing tracks and updates Kalman if match is found

## DataSet Used

To evaluate the performance of our pedestrian detection and tracking methods, we rely on well-known benchmark datasets that reflect real-world scenarios.



**PETS2009** 



MOT17-07 MOT17-14

**MOT Dataset** 

Before applying our detection models, we prepare the datasets through several preprocessing steps to enhance their suitability. After preprocessing, these datasets serve as the foundation for training and testing

## **Evaluation Metrics:**

To evaluate the performance of our pedestrian detection and tracking methods, we used key evaluation metrics:



- **Precision & Recall** Measure the model's ability to correctly detect pedestrians while minimizing false positives and false negatives.
- F1 Score Balances precision and recall to provide an overall performance measure.
- Intersection over Union (IoU) Evaluates how well predicted bounding boxes overlap with the ground truth.
- Multi-Object Tracking Accuracy (MOTA) Penalizes false positives, false negatives, and identity switches.
- Multi-Object Tracking Precision (MOTP) Measures how accurately detected objects align with ground truth over time.
- Processing Time per Frame Determines real-time feasibility.

## **Evaluation Metrics:**

To test the robustness of our models, experiments were conducted under diverse conditions:



• **Static & dynamic backgrounds** – Static scenes are easier for background subtraction, while dynamic scenes introduce motion-based detection challenges.



• **Different crowd densities** – Sparse pedestrian environments are easier to track, while dense crowds introduce occlusions and identity switches.



• **Scale variations** – People appear at different scales based on distance, challenging fixed-size feature extractors like HOG.

#### Dataset Used:

- PETS09-S2L1 A moderately crowded scene with occlusions.
- **PETS09-S2L2** A highly dense crowd, making detection and tracking significantly harder.

## Results Overview:

Model	Video	Precision	Recall	F1 Score	IoU	Dice Score	MOTA	МОТР
HOG + SVM	PETS09-S2L1	0.002	0.0013	0.0016	0.5427	0.0016	N/A	N/A
<b>Hybrid Model</b>	PETS09-S2L1	0.014	0.0118	0.0128	0.5639	0.0128	-0.8241	0.5697
HOG + SVM	PETS09-S2L2	0.0013	0.0004	0.0006	0.5226	0.0006	N/A	N/A
<b>Hybrid Model</b>	PETS09-S2L2	0.0079	0.003	0.0044	0.5516	0.0044	-0.3775	0.5631

Table - Evaluation Metrics Overview for Pedestrian Detection and Tracking

The **Hybrid Model (MOG2 + HOG + Kalman)** showed better precision and recall than the baseline model (HOG + SVM), but performance remains limited.

- Low Precision & Recall indicate frequent false positives and missed detections, limiting reliability.
- IoU (~0.55) suggests detections are somewhat aligned with ground truth but box placement is inconsistent.
- Negative MOTA scores highlight frequent identity switches and poor tracking stability, especially in high-density scenes.
- MOTP (~0.56) shows that when tracking succeeds, bounding box alignment is reasonable, but tracking failures dominate.
- PETS09-S2L2 (dense crowd) worsens performance, with recall dropping to 0.0030, making tracking unreliable.

While **classical methods provide a computationally efficient approach**, visual verification shows that person detection worked despite the weak tracking performance. Occlusion handling, feature extraction, and tracking consistency still require improvements for real-world applications.

## **Conclusion:**



#### Advantages:

- Lightweight and interpretable approach using HOG + MOG2 + Kalman filtering, making it suitable for low-resource applications.
- Real-time pedestrian detection and tracking without requiring deep learning.
- Visual observation confirmed successful pedestrian detection, even though numerical scores were low.



#### **Limitations:**

- Struggles with occlusions and dense crowds, leading to identity switches and false detections.
- Tracking is unstable, as seen in negative MOTA scores, especially in high-density scenarios.
- Background subtraction introduces false positives, affecting detection accuracy.

## **Conclusion:**

#### **Next steps:**



- Improve feature extraction by integrating Local Binary Patterns (LBP) or wavelet-based features to enhance detection.
- Refine tracking algorithms using better object association techniques to reduce identity switches.
- Implement adaptive background modeling to reduce false positives in motion-based detection.



#### **Real-World Applications:**

- Surveillance & Security Enhancing crowd monitoring and public safety in urban areas.
- Traffic Monitoring Pedestrian movement analysis for smart city planning and road safety.
- Crowd Analysis Understanding pedestrian flow in public spaces, malls, and transportation hubs.

Classical computer vision techniques offer a viable solution for pedestrian detection and tracking in low-resource environments. Despite challenges with occlusions and identity tracking, the approach provides a foundation for improvement. Enhancing feature extraction, tracking stability, and background modeling can make it a reliable alternative for real-time applications without deep learning.





# Thank You