

CPU-Scheduling

The Illusion of Multitasking

Mark Krutzler

Kantonschule Im Lee — 2024/25

Contents

I	Forewords?	2
	Introduction	3
	Metrics	4
II	The ABCs of CPU Scheduling	5
1	Basic Algorithms	7
1.1	First In, First Out (FIFO)	7
1.2	Shortest Job First (SJF)	8
1.3	Shortest Time-to-Completion (STCF)	8
1.4	Round Robin (RR)	8
III	Advanced Policies	9
2	Multi-Level Feedback Queue	10
3	Lottery and Stride Scheduling	11
IV	Implementations	12
4	My Implementation?	13
5	Solaris Scheduling	14
6	Linux 2.6 Fair Scheduler	15
V	Conclusion	16
	Sources	17

Part I

Forewords?

Introduction

We all use computers. The modern person couldn't do half of the things that is expected from him without an instance of Windows, MacOS or any other Operating System. We surf the web, write emails, documents and have a video call at the same time. The question is: "How does CPU achieve all of this?" The things listed are only the parts of the OS that we come in contact with on a daily basis. What about all the other things hidden under the hood of a graphics environment? If it comes down to doing one thing than it's fine. The problem arises then we have multiple tasks: The CPU can only do one thing at a time and yet we all multitask on our machines. This Black Box effect of taking multiple jobs and working on them in a proper order is what I will dissect in this "Maturarbeit".

The art of scheduling tasks could seem easy for us humans. We ?instinctively? estimate the many aspects of the task:

- Job: Convince our boss to give us a pay rise
Priority: High
Time Required: Medium (With a lot of Waiting Time)
Effort: High (Said "No Way" last week)
- Job: Walk the Dog
Priority: High
Time Required: Low
Effort: Low
- Job: Go to the Hairdresser
Priority: Low (Went last week as well)
Time Required: High
Effort: Low

These prediction are often highly complex and are based on previous experiences. We also include factors like: When was the last time I did it? In addition to all that every human plans differently based on what's important to him/her. Still from just the priority, time required and effort, we would roughly know how to order these things. For example I would walk the dog first, because it is a "request" made by someone else and therefore the response time should be as low as possible. The Hairdresser can fade into the background, due to its low priority. Maybe making an appointment is a good idea though.

A computer does nothing like that. How could it? What he sees is:

- Job: Process 1
Priority: Needs to be Set
Time Required: ??? (Waiting Time: ???)
Effort: ???

What he knows is when the task arrived and how much time he worked on it. With this in mind the let's get to a quick introduction of the metrics, so that we know how to rate the policies that we'll look at.

Metrics

MAYBE JUST LEAVING THIS OUT AND LOOKING AT THIS DURING A LATER TIME (WHEN THE METRICS ARE MENTIONNED OR LINK AS A FOOTNOTE?) ALSO THIS FOLLOWS THE OSTEP WAY TOO MUCH: We can measure different aspects of a Scheduler Policy. There is however a differentiation between fairness and performance. It is often a tradeoff between the two. For example the concept of everybody getting a same sized piece of cake is fair. However, it would be faster to give more to the fast eaters than to those who like to talk during eating. In this scenario we can't have both fairness and performance. In reality it is really difficult to predict, who'll eat faster.

Definition 0.1: Turnaround Time

The turnaround time is a performance metric. It measures how long a task took to be completed from the time it arrived. It is calculated as follows:

$$T_{Turnaround} = T_{Completion} - T_{Arrival}$$

We'll often look at the Average Turnaround time for a predetermined set of jobs. This helps us to quantify how "efficient" a single task is handled. In the best case scenario a single jobs turnaround time is equivalent to the runtime of that job. Another really important thing for us is Response Time. We want to move our mouse around. If we type we want the letter to appear in an instant and the Microsoft suite should start without much delay. Of course the startup time of a program depends on many other factors, however if we never even start to work on it, it'll take a good while.

Definition 0.2: Response Time

The response time is a performance metric. It measures the responsiveness of our computer. How much time does it take until the job is run? It is calculated as follows:

$$T_{Response} = T_{First_Run} - T_{Arrival}$$

Part II

The ABCs of CPU Scheduling

MAYBE HERE THE METRICS PART?

Chapter 1

Basic Algorithms

This chapter mainly focuses on simple policies that can be used to order processes. Usually there are multiple simplifications added to the scenario so that it makes sense. The policies are generally either an abstraction for though experiments or a part of a larger system. Therefore there will be an absense of answers to common questions like: "How do we know how long a process takes until completion?" (Actually we actually never really know how long something will take)

1.1 First In, First Out (FIFO)

Probably the most basic of them all. The "First In, First Our" is just as the name suggests it. Usually we humans know it as first come, first served. This policies runs into problems really quickly if the discard the possibility of all tasks requiring the same amount of run time. A so called "Convoy Effect" takes place. Just imagen how annoying it is, when you're at a store and a family of five cuts into the line in front of you. They have two full carts loaded. You have to wait until they finish. In addition to that they also send back their kid to get something. Wouldn't it be overall much faster if they let you in front, before the cashier starts scanning the items?

```
1  # Simple implementation of FIFO in Python
2  list = []
3
4  # Adding a new process
5  def add_client(name):
6      list.append(name)
7
8  # Decide which process is next
9  def allocate():
10     next = list.pop(0)
11     use_resource(next) # function that will allow "next" to use the CPU
```

As you can see the implementation is itself pretty easy.

1.2 Shortest Job First (SJF)

1.3 Shortest Time-to-Completion (STCF)

1.4 Round Robin (RR)

Part III

Advanced Policies

Chapter 2

Multi-Level Feedback Queue

Chapter 3

Lottery and Stride Scheduling

Part IV

Implementations

Chapter 4

My Implementation?

Chapter 5

Solaris Scheduling

Chapter 6

Linux 2.6 Fair Scheduler

Part V

Conclusion

Sources

- <https://texblog.org/2015/09/30/fancy-boxes-for-theorem-lemma-and-proof-with-mdframed/>