

Study Definition Repository (SDR)

Reference Implementation
Solution Architecture
Version 2.0



Document History

Version No.	Date	Author	Revision Description
V0.1	Nov 27 th , 2021	Nachiket Vaidya	Initial Version
V1.0	March 11 th , 2022	Nachiket Vaidya	Revised
V2.0	August 19th, 2022	ACN	Updated diagrams and authentication related content

V2.0 Highly Confidential 2 of 20



Table of Contents

1.		Solu	ution	Overview	e
	1.	1.	High	Level Solution Architecture	6
2.		Arcl	nitect	ture Goals and Constraints	8
	2.	1.	Arch	nitecture Goals/Objectives	8
	2.	2.	Arch	nitectural Assumptions and Decisions	8
	2.	3.	Solu	ution Architecture Attributes	9
		2.3.	1.	Tools and Technologies	9
		2.3.	2.	Patterns	. 10
		2.3.	3.	Authentication and Authorization	. 10
		2.3.	4.	Portability	. 11
		2.3.	5.	Diagnostics and Logging	. 12
		2.3.	6.	Alerting	. 12
3.		App	licati	on Architecture	. 13
	3.	1.	Fror	nt End Application (UI)	. 13
	3.	2.	API	Layer	. 13
	3.	3.	API	Service Specifications	. 13
	3.	4.	API	Architectural Style	. 13
	3.	5.	API	Component Model	. 14
4.		Data	a Arc	hitecture	. 14
	4.	1.	Con	ceptual/Logical Data Model	. 15
	4.	2.	Data	a Sources	. 16
	4.	3.	Data	a Dictionary	. 16
5.		Sec	urity	Architecture	. 16
	5.	1.	Sec	urity Solution Overview	. 16
6.		Infra	astru	cture	. 17
	6.	1.	Azu	re Platform Components	. 17
	6.	2.	Env	ironment Strategy for SDR	. 18
	6.	3.	Dep	loyment Models	. 18
Α	ממ	endi	х A -	Key Terms	. 20



List of Tables

Table 1 - Architectural Decisions	88
Table 2 - Tools and Technologies	1010
Table 3 - Azure Platform Components	17
Table 4 - Environment Details	18
Table 5 - Appendix A: Key Terms	2020



List of Figures

Figure 1 - Solution Overview Diagram	66
Figure 2 - High Level Solution Architecture Diagram	77
Figure 3 - Authentication and Authorization Workflow	11
Figure 4 - Azure Monitor	12
Figure 5 - UML Diagram for Clinical Study	15
Figure 6 - Up-stream and Down-stream systems for SDR	16
Figure 7 - Deployment Models	19



1. Solution Overview

Digital Data Flow (DDF) is TransCelerate's vision to catalyze an industry-level transformation, enabling digital exchange of study definitions (e.g., protocol) by collaborating with standards bodies to create a sustainable open-source Study Definition Repository (SDR) Reference Implementation based upon a standardized model – the Unified Study Definitions Model (USDM). The SDR Reference Implementation seeks to transform the drug development process by enabling a digital workflow to move from a current state of manual asset creation to a future state of fully automated and dynamic readiness to support clinical study execution.

Proposed Study Start-up Digital Data Flow Solution Components Study Design Clinical & Study Definitions Study Builder Data System & Operational Repository Sources **Systems** Study Definitions Repository Value Proposition Accelerate study Shift from Increase Leverage existing and Drive innovation start-up & documents to automation while new standards for and flexibility for execution data for single reducing manual accessibility. stakeholders source of Clinical hand-offs and interoperability, and through opentruth across the transcription reusability of study source, flexible, ecosystem protocol-related vendor-agnostic definitions data solution

Figure 1 - Solution Overview Diagram

2021 TransCelerate BioPharma Inc.. All rights reserved.

1.1. High Level Solution Architecture

Figure 2 below depicts high level architecture of the SDR Reference Implementation which is built using Angular Front End and NET 6 Backend and deployed in Microsoft Azure Cloud. The solution architecture components are chosen in a way to support TransCelerate's objectives of making the future releases cloud and vendor agnostic and support portability and deployment of the reference implementation to other environments such Amazon Web Services Cloud (AWS).

V2.0 **Highly Confidential** 6 of 20



For the Reference Implementation, the vision is to leverage the USDM provided by CDISC by building a repository to house the USDM complying study data, establishing inbound APIs to enable upstream systems (e.g., study builders (SB), protocol authors) to input data, and outbound API to enable outward flow of data to downstream systems (e.g., Electronic Data Capture systems (EDC) to automate study start-up activities.




Figure 2 - High Level Solution Architecture Diagram

Legend

DS = Delegated Subnet SE = Service Endpoint

V2.0 Highly Confidential 7 of 20



2. Architecture Goals and Constraints

This section provides a description of goals and constraints of Solution Architecture.

2.1. Architecture Goals/Objectives

The architecture for the SDR Reference Implementation has been designed to achieve the following key objectives and architectural goals. The architectural components are chosen in a way to meet the foundational business requirements of being vendor, cloud, and system agnostic.

- Cloud Agnostic / Open-Source Create an application that is relatively cloud agnostic from an implementation perspective by choosing technology stack and cloud components/services that offer extensibility and portability to the application.
- Accelerate study start-up / execution by enabling the automation of data flow to downstream clinical systems which reduces the need for duplication, manual input, and transcription.
- Reduce Manual input by creating an application that automates data flow.
- Open API Specifications with REST endpoints to maximize system interoperability and promote collaboration.

2.2. Architectural Assumptions and Decisions

The SDR Reference Implementation will leverage Microsoft Azure Cloud Components and services for development and deployment. The choice of solution components, however, has been made to achieve key architectural goals and objects listed in section 2.1 above. Following are some of the key architectural decisions made for the SDR Reference Implementation.

Table 1 - Architectural Decisions		
Area	Decision	
Connectivity	OAS compliant RESTful API interfaces operate on a Push / Pull model – the upstream vendor is responsible for pushing data	

Area	Decision
Connectivity	OAS compliant RESTful API interfaces operate on a Push / Pull model – the upstream vendor is responsible for pushing data into the SDR and the downstream vendor is responsible for pulling data from the SDR. Import and Export functionality within the SDR will be considered for future release. Event based notifications and/or support for GraphQL will be considered in future releases.
Role Based Access Control	Role Based Access Controls are designed for accessing different components and PAAS services within Azure. However, for the purpose of MVP, it will have single role type for the necessary users

V2.0 **Highly Confidential** 8 of 20



Database	No SQL Database (Cosmos DB) is used to define the Application Data Model and support data versioning, data encryption as well as data partitioning standards. Additionally, it also disallows the import of data that is not in USDM format. Mongo DB interface will be used by the application layer to connect to Cosmos DB, allowing for deployment using Mongo DB in other environments.
Authentication and Authorization	Azure Active Directory (OAuth 2.0) is used to authenticate the user access to the SDR UI and APIalong with Certificate based authentication.
Versioning	Study Definitions stored in the repository and assigned a version generated and maintained by the SDR Application
Auditing	EntryDatetime and EntrySystem are captured in the Audit Log for SDR Reference Implementation

2.3. Solution Architecture Attributes

2.3.1. Tools and Technologies

The SDR Reference Implementation is built on Azure technology, however, the application components are designed keeping in mind portability and interoperability to other systems or cloud environments such as Amazon Web Services (AWS), Google Cloud Platform (GCP) etc.

Below is the list of Tools and Technologies adopted in designing & developing the DDF SDR Reference Implementation.

V2.0 Highly Confidential 9 of 20



Table 2 - Tools and Technologies

Cloud Services (Azure)	Front End UI Application	Backend API Application	Dev ops	Testing
 Azure Subscription (ACP) Azure AD (OAUTH 2.0) for Authentication/Security Azure API Management Azure App Service Azure Cosmos DB (Mongo API) Azure Key Vault Azure Monitor 	Angular 11 Bootstrap HTML5	.Net 6 .Net Entity Framework 5	Terraform GitHub	 NUnit Testing Postman for API Testing SDR UI - Manual Non-Functional Testing: JMeter for performance testing

2.3.2. Patterns

Azure API Management endpoints are the only set of interfaces that are called by external apps and other services/ systems. The architecture design prevents apps from calling integration services directly, instead all web service requests are channelled through the API Management layer.

The following principles define how APIs, and the Integration services are built and applied to the wider solution:

- Access to the services layer is always via the API management layer. This is a fundamental architecture principle as it enables security, billing, and endpoint publication.
- The depth of API and Integration service chaining should be kept to a minimum
- APIs would adhere to Open API Standards as defined by CDISC here

2.3.3. Authentication and Authorization

The Solution Architecture for SDR Reference Implementation adopts Microsoft's cloud-based identity and access management service Azure Active Directory for authenticating the users as well as authorization. Azure AD is to be the identity provider for generating access tokens using OAuth2.0 standards which are the main method of authentication. Additionally, certificate-based authentication is enabled on APIM service. Managed Identities (MSI) is the primary method of authentication between APIM and backend Azure services. Authorization is implemented using the App roles on Azure App Registrations.






Figure 3 - Authentication and Authorization Workflow

2.3.4. Portability

The architecture of SDR Reference Implementation has been designed, keeping in mind portability. Which is defined as the ability to move and suitably adapt the applications and data between systems and cloud services (from one cloud service/system provider to different cloud providers and/or systems and services). Specifically:

Data Portability: The data model of the solution has been designed using Cosmos DB(Azure's version of MongoDB) and Mongo APIs which store data in collections in JSON format. This approach allows to easily transfer data from Cosmos DB to any other No SQL database.

Application Portability: Technology stack and PAAS services chosen for the Reference Implementation ensure ease of porting/deploying the application components to different cloud providers or target environments by simply recompiling the codebase without significant changes. The Front end of the application is built using Angular which is a popular choice for building the web application and has a build in process that is standard across any build environment. The API layer is built using .Net 6 and is deployed in Azure App Service.

V2.0 Highly Confidential 11 of 20



2.3.5. Diagnostics and Logging




Figure 4 - Azure Monitor

Diagnostic logs provide rich information about operations and errors that are important for auditing as well as troubleshooting purposes. Diagnostic logs differ from activity logs, as activity logs mainly provide insights into the operations performed on Azure resources. Diagnostic logs provide insight into operations performed by resources. The SDR Reference architecture utilizes Application Insights for Diagnostic Logging and Application Logging.

Shared dashboards configured in Application Insights allow for troubleshooting and diagnostic tracking statistics as well as recording logs related to API Usage, Reliability, Responsiveness and Failures. Application Logging enables tracing the application calls and any exceptions that may arise because of code failures.

2.3.6. Alerting

Metric alerts in Azure Monitor provide notifications when one of the metrics crosses a threshold value. Metric alerts work on a range of multi-dimensional pre-set platform and custom metrics, including Application Insights standard and custom metrics. Alerts proactively provide notifications when important conditions are found in the monitored data. Which allows the identification and addressing of issues before users of the system notice them. Alert rules are the actions taken when an alert fires, which captures the target and criteria for alerting. The alert rule can be either in an enabled or a disabled state and are only fired when enabled. For the SDR

V2.0 Highly Confidential 12 of 20



Reference Architecture alerts are configured to send emails and notify stakeholders when the resource utilization crosses 80% of its threshold usage.

3. Application Architecture

The application architecture section describes and defines the solution's architecture, including the major solution components, their relationships and the technologies and tools used to build them. The Application Layer for the Solution has a Front End (UI) Application built using Angular 13 and an API Layer built using .Net 6 and follows the Open API Specifications.

3.1. Front End Application (UI)

The front-end Application for the solution is designed using Single Page Application pattern and follows a Model View Controller architectural style to integrate with the backend solution components. The User Interface for the application has been designed to enable the user to perform several business functions such as View Study Details, Search Study Definitions, Study comparison and System usage report.

3.2. API Layer

API elements have a well-defined scope and consumption scenarios. APIs should therefore have the minimum level of accessibility exposed to align with the intended consumption. Keeping in mind that exposing APIs, in a manner which does not align with their intended consumption, is a potential attack vector and could lead to system behavioural failure.

The correct implementation of public/private scoping allows for certain details to be hidden and therefore reduces the likelihood of over intimacy and tight coupling in the implementation. This design principle when properly followed allows changes to implementation without causing risk of significant regression and the introduction of technical debt.

The SDR Reference Implementation follows this design principle for class level interfaces, their members as well as the members exposed publicly from the compiled service interfaces. This aligns with the principles of micro-service architectural approach. A simple summary of the principle would be to publicly expose WHAT the implementation does and to retain privacy of HOW the implementation achieves it.

3.3. API Service Specifications

The API Layer of the solution complies with the Open API Specification (OAS) standards which allows systems to discover and understand the capabilities of the service without access to the source code, documentation, or through the network traffic inspection. When properly defined, a consumer can understand and interact with remote services with a minimal amount of implementation logic.

3.4. API Architectural Style

The API Layer for the SDR follows the REST architectural style that uses HTTP requests to GET, PUT, POST and DELETE data. REST standards are not linked with any technology or



platform, and introduce the best practices known as constraints. They also describe how the server processes requests and responds to them. Operating within these constraints, the system gains desirable properties such as reliability, ease of use, improved scalability and security, low latency while enhancing the system performance and helping achieve technology independence in the process.

3.5. API Component Model

The API Layer components for the SDR Reference Implementation are developed using C# classes.

4. Data Architecture

Data architecture is dependent on multiple factors mainly associated with how data moves across different upstream and downstream systems. Specifically, to standardize the data exchange between different systems, the SDR Reference architecture only persists the data in a USDM conformant - JSON format in Cosmos DB (NoSQL DB PAAS in Azure). The NoSQL database design allows for persisted Study Definitions as JSON documents with built in support for tracking changes and auto indexing to ensure optimum system performance. Additionally, it also supports future SDR needs related to data partitioning.



4.1. Conceptual/Logical Data Model

Figure 5 - UML Diagram for Clinical Study

Open attached object for better viewing experience of UML Diagram.




V2.0 Highly Confidential 15 of 20



4.2. Data Sources

Figure 6 - Up-stream and Down-stream systems for SDR



4.3. Data Dictionary

The Data Dictionary captures the USDM conformance rules and relationships for all the data elements of Study Definition. The simplified version of Data Dictionary used for SDR Application available in GitHub link.

For more details, refer CDISC portal here.

5. Security Architecture

5.1. Security Solution Overview

All components of the architecture are designed to communicate through secure connections to allow alternate hosting and deployment models for high availability or disaster recovery situations. Building the architectural elements with these considerations in mind ensured that the stability and security of the solution will not be compromised regardless of any situation or compromise to any of the individual component.

The following security measures are configured and applied via APIM Policies:

Prevent unauthorized access - Using client certificates

V2.0 Highly Confidential 16 of 20



6. Infrastructure

The infrastructure section provides a description of the Azure resources that are deployed as part of Azure Platform for the SDR Reference Implementation.

6.1. Azure Platform Components

The reference Architecture for SDR is being deployed in Microsoft's public cloud platform, Azure. A single tenant single subscription model has been configured to house application code and data for delivering application functionality.

Below is the list of different Azure components and services utilized in the reference implementation of SDR.

Table 3 - Azure Platform Components

Architecture Area	Configuration Items	Azure Component
	Tagging	Azure Tags
		https://docs.microsoft.com/en-
		us/azure/cloud-adoption-
Governance		framework/ready/azure-best-
	Naming Convention	practices/resource-naming
	Resource Group	Azure Resource Groups
	Cost Management	Azure Cost Management Billing
Subscription &	Subscription	Azure Subscription
Regions	Region	Azure Region (US East)
		Azure VNET for PaaS
	Vnet	integration
Networking	Subnet	Azure Subnet
	DNS	Azure DNS
	Identity Provider	Azure AD
	Users	Active Directory Users
Identity	Groups	AAD Security Groups
	Service Principals	AAD SP
	Managed Identity	Azure Managed Identities

V2.0 Highly Confidential 17 of 20



Architecture Area	Configuration Items	Azure Component
	RBAC	AAD & Subscription roles
	Security Monitoring	Azure Defender
	Baseline Policy	
Security	Key Management	Azure Key Vault
	DDOS	
	API Gateway Inbound Control	Azure APIM Inbound Policies
Operations	Logging	Application Insights
	Monitoring	Azure Monitor

6.2. Environment Strategy for SDR

For SDR Reference Architecture, 3-tier environment model is recommended as mentioned in below.

Table 4 - Environment Details

Environment	Details
Dev	Development of SDR (This env is only accessible to SDR development/testing teams)
QA/UAT	For handling UAT, tickets, bugs, fixes. (This env is only accessible to SDR development/testing teams)
Pre-Prod	With QA cleared code applied on with almost production ready data (this environment is accessible to Member companies)

6.3. Deployment Models

The Deployment Models section describes different ways of deploying the Azure Platform Resources.

Deployment Models:

- Manual Deployment All the Azure resources are manually deployed using Azure Portal.
- **Pipeline Deployment** The deployment of Azure resources through terraform code using a YAML Pipeline.

V2.0 Highly Confidential 18 of 20






Figure 7 - Deployment Models

V2.0 Highly Confidential 19 of 20



Appendix A - Key Terms

Error! Reference source not found.A below provides definitions and explanations for terms and acronyms relevant to the content presented within this document.

Table 5 - Appendix A: Key Terms

Term	Definition
DDF	Digital Data Flow
MVP	Minimum Viable Product
SDR	Study Definition Repository
API	Application Programming Interface
EDC	Electronic Data Capture
SB	Study Builder
AAD	Azure Active Directory
USDM	Unified Study Definition Model
AWS	Amazon Webservices Cloud
GCP	Google Cloud Platform
PAAS	Platform as a Service