



**POLITECNICO
DI TORINO**

Master's Degree in Electronic Engineering

Master Thesis

Design of the frontend for LEN5, a RISC-V Out-of-Order processor

Supervisor

Prof. Maurizio MARTINA

Candidate

Marco ANDORNO

Academic year 2018-2019

Abstract

RISC-V is a free and open source Instruction Set Architecture, which has sparked interest all over the community of computer architects, as it paves the way for a previously unseen era of extensible software and hardware design freedom. One of its main strength points is the vast modularity implemented in terms of different ISA extensions, which aim to cover a very broad range of applications. This allows designers to tailor the architecture according to their specific needs, without constraining them to support unnecessary instructions.

Being RISC-V a relatively new ISA, a limited number of cores is available at the moment, and in particular very few of them are open sourced. So the main motivation for this work is the contribution to this open source hardware community, by means of the design of an Out-of-Order RISC-V core as general purpose as possible.

The core is a 64-bit processor, supporting the G extension, which is a shorthand for the base integer (I), multiply and divide (M), floating point (F) and atomic (A) extensions. One goal of this project, which will be carried out alongside two colleagues, is to eventually include support also for the operating system, by implementing the yet unstandardized Privileged ISA, for the experimental vector extension (V) and possibly for a matrix extension to be defined from scratch. These last design choices are motivated by the lack of open source cores supporting them, and the great advantage that such vectorized computation can provide in a world where the popularity and the performance needs of artificial intelligence and machine learning are ever-growing.

Moreover, the choice of designing an out-of-order core arises mainly as all modern processors are of such kind, as it has been the best compromise to efficiently exploit instruction level parallelism for decades. The goal is to implement both instruction issue and execution to be performed Out-of-Order, because this allows the highest performance gain. This design choice, of course, comes with a series of implications that will need accurate analysis and benchmarking, possibly by keeping everything as parametric and modular as possible: branch prediction, instruction queue management, memory hierarchy and cache organization are just some examples.

The final outcome of this work will be an in-depth exploration of the design space offered by such complex architectures, to actually experience firsthand the main issues and tradeoffs designers must face and to be prepared to offer a significant contribution to the state of the art of processor design. Moreover, the common hope is for this project to serve as the basis for future in-house development of a complete RISC-V-based platform here at Politecnico di Torino. As mentioned above, the entire work will be open source and available on a GitHub repository.

Acknowledgements

Thanks everybody!

Contents

List of Tables	3
List of Figures	4
List of Acronyms	5
1 LEN5 frontend	6
1.1 General block diagram	6
1.1.1 Handshake signals	7
1.2 PC gen stage	8
1.3 Instruction cache interface	10
1.3.1 Control FSM and timing	11
1.4 Instruction Fetch Unit (IFU)	12
1.4.1 Presence checker	16
1.4.2 Instruction selector	17
1.4.3 Fetch controller	18
1.5 Branch Prediction Unit (BPU)	18
1.6 Branch unit	18
2 Results	19
2.1 Simulation	19
2.1.1 Fetch unit	19
2.1.2 BPU	19
2.2 BPU benchmarking	19
2.3 Synthesis results	19
3 Concluding remarks	20
3.1 Future work	20
Bibliography	21

List of Tables

List of Figures

1.1	LEN5 frontend	6
1.2	AXI handshake protocol	8
1.3	Possible handshake timings	8
1.4	PC gen stage diagram	9
1.5	Instruction cache interface module ports	11
1.6	Instruction cache interface FSM	12
1.7	Normal cache read	13
1.8	Cache not ready on address	13
1.9	Cache miss	14
1.10	IFU diagram	15
1.11	Presence checker module ports	16
1.12	Presence checker combinational network	16
1.13	Instruction selector module ports	17
1.14	Selection network	18

List of Acronyms

BPU	Branch Prediction Unit
FIFO	First-In-First-Out
FSM	Finite State Machine
IFU	Instruction Fetch Unit
LSB	Least Significant Bit
PC	Program Counter

Chapter 1

LEN5 frontend

1.1 General block diagram




Figure 1.1: LEN5 frontend

Figure 1.1 shows a top-level block diagram of the LEN5 frontend, with the modules that were developed and that will be described in the following sections shown in solid black color. Gray blocks are instead the ones the frontend interfaces

with.

The frontend is composed of two pipeline stages, name the *Program Counter (PC) generation* and the *fetch* stages. Figure 1.1 also shows the *decode* stage, where the issue queue is found. This is basically a FIFO that serves as a buffer interface between the frontend and the backend of the processor by storing a queue of instructions to be issue to the later stages of the pipeline.

In the PC generation (PC gen) stage the next PC is selected among a number of different options by the PC sel block, using a predefined priority and is then written on the output register of the stage. This register also serves as the pipeline register between the two stages, and that is why figure 1.1 shows a dashed gray line crossing the PC sel block. The selection of the new PC is carried out by a network of combinational logic, so that this stage always takes exactly one clock cycle.

In the fetch stage, the PC is used by the IFU to select and possibly read from memory the next instruction to be pushed to the issue queue. At the same time, the BPU uses the current address to predict the next direction in case of branch and passes such information back to the PC gen stage. Memory accesses are performed through the instruction cache interface which manages the control signals to the instruction cache. The latency of this stage is at least two clock cycles (see section 1.4), and in a normal steady state the IFU can provide a throughput of one instruction each clock cycle to the issue queue, but in case of cache miss the number of cycles to resolve the stall can grow significantly, so the latency cannot be determined in advance. The issue queue is there exactly to provide some elasticity to the pipeline, by buffering already fetched instructions.

1.1.1 Handshake signals

The communication between each stage is always bidirectional, because in case of a stall caused for instance by a cache miss, by a full issue queue or by some other exceptional behavior down the pipeline, the PC generation process must be interrupted along with the fetch. In order to do so, a handshake process handles the communication between each stage as well as between the instruction cache interface and the actual cache. This handshake mechanism is based on the AXI valid/ready protocol described below, even if it is not compliant with all the AXI specifications.

In each communication the source of data generates a *valid* signal to indicate that the information is available, while the destination generates a *ready* signal to indicate that it can accept such information [12, p. A3-41]. The handshake takes place and the information is successfully exchanged only at the rising clock edge when both valid and ready are asserted. For example, in figure 1.2, the handshake happens at the third rising edge of the clock.

When a source has information available (figure 1.3a), it must assert valid and then wait until the corresponding ready is produced. It cannot wait for the ready




Figure 1.2: AXI handshake protocol

before asserting valid. On the other hand (figure 1.3b), a destination is allowed




Figure 1.3: Possible handshake timings

to wait for its valid before asserting ready and it can also deassert ready before a corresponding valid arrives.

1.2 PC gen stage

The selection of the next PC is based on the following list of priorities, from highest to lowest:

1. **Exception:** if an exception occurs, the PC gen stage will receive the next starting address as the base address present in the vector table provided by the CSR unit.
2. **Misprediction:** if a resolved branch is discovered to have been mispredicted,

then the PC gen stage resumes execution from the correct target if the branch was actually taken, or from the next sequential address from the branch PC if it was actually not taken.

3. **Branch prediction:** if the BPU predicts a taken branch for the current PC then it provides this stage with the predicted target address (see ??), which will be fetched at the next cycle, thus allowing for zero penalty branches when predicted correctly.
4. **Default assignment:** if none of the conditions before occur, then the next PC is selected as usual as the next sequential address, which corresponds to the current PC+4 for word-aligned 32-bit instructions.




Figure 1.4: PC gen stage diagram

Figure 1.4 shows the diagram of this stage. This and all the following diagrams in this document are color coded so that input signals are in blue, output signals are in red, internal signals in black and bit widths are in gray.

The heart of the PC gen stage is the `pc_priority_enc` block, which is an encoder that takes as inputs all the status signals indicating a behavior different from the default and all the corresponding potential next PCs. In behavioral SystemVerilog (listing 1.1) it is described as a if-then-else chain, which gets synthesized as a list of cascading multiplexers implementing the desired priority¹.

```
always_comb begin: pc_priority_enc
    if (except_i) begin
        next_pc = except_pc_i;
    end else if (res_valid_i && res_mispredict_i) begin
        if (res_taken_i) begin
            next_pc = res_target_i;
        end else begin
            next_pc = adder_out;
        end
    end else if (pred_taken_i) begin
        next_pc = pred_target_i;
    end else begin
        next_pc = adder_out;
    end
end: pc_priority_enc
```

Listing 1.1: `pc_priority_enc` description

In order to save resources, a single adder is used to generate both the next sequential address and the next PC after a mispredicted not taken branch. A multiplexer driven by the misprediction signals is used to select the right operand.

The final selected next PC is fed into the `pc_reg` output register for the later stages. The enable of this register is controlled by the signal `fetch_ready_i` which comes from the fetch stage and disables the PC generation if a stall occurs. This is part of the handshake mechanism described in section 1.1.1, even if there is no *valid* signal from the PC gen stage, as it is redundant due to the fact that a valid new PC is always present at the output register.

1.3 Instruction cache interface

The instruction cache interface (figure 1.5) is responsible for translating the fetch requests coming from the IFU into compliant valid/ready handshake signals for both address and data to the instruction cache. This unit basically provides two main benefits. First, it simplifies the control of the IFU, by delegating the handshake process. Second, and more important, it provides an additional separation layer

¹As opposed to the description using a case statement, which leads to a single parallel mux, with no priority encoded.




Figure 1.5: Instruction cache interface module ports

between the core frontend and the instruction cache with modularity in mind so that, should the cache block be modified, only this interface block needs to be updated, while the signals coming from the IFU module would remain unchanged.

1.3.1 Control FSM and timing

While the data signals are as straightforward as simple wires connecting `pc_i` and `cache_out_o` with `addr_o` and `data_i` respectively, the core of this interface lies in its control unit. It is a simple Mealy FSM (figure 1.6) that after reset waits for a read request from the IFU, then checks if the instruction cache is ready to receive an address and finally waits for a valid cache line to be read.

Figure 1.7 shows a normal cache read, where the instruction cache is immediately ready to receive an address which hits and produces the requested data at the next clock cycle. From this timing diagram it is also clear why a Mealy FSM is needed: the signal `addr_valid` needs to be asserted combinationally in the same clock cycle in which `read_req` arrives, so that the address handshake can take place immediately. Otherwise, with a Moore machine, one clock cycle would be wasted at each request, rendering impossible to sustain one instruction per clock cycle fetch. Another possibility would have been not to include such signal as a Mealy output of the machine and instead connect it with a wire outside the FSM, which would lead to the same exact result, but was deemed as less readable.

Figure 1.8 shows another possibility when the instruction cache is not ready to receive an address at the time when a request arrives. In this case the FSM waits until the cache become ready in the `ADDR_BUSY` state. The state machine could just as well wait in the `WAIT_ADDR` state, but the additional state was introduced




Figure 1.6: Instruction cache interface FSM

for robustness with `addr_valid` as a Moore output, so that this way there is no need for the `read_req` signal to stay active until the cache is ready. This is another point in favor of a Mealy FSM instead of the connection of combinational outputs externally.

Finally, figure 1.9 shows the case of a cache miss, in which the FSM waits while keeping `data_ready` asserted. This state could potentially last for many clock cycles.

1.4 Instruction Fetch Unit (IFU)

Figure 1.10 shows the top level diagram of the IFU, which has the ability to fetch instructions from three different locations. The first is the direct output of the instruction cache, from which instructions are taken in case of a memory access. Then, when a cache line containing multiple instructions is read, it is saved into a *line register* along with a valid bit that indicates that such line is valid. Consecutive instructions belonging to the same cache line are then fetched from this register,




Figure 1.7: Normal cache read




Figure 1.8: Cache not ready on address

thus reducing the total number of cache requests. Finally, this line register is in turn saved into a *line backup register* every time that a fetch takes place (i.e. the register is not updated during a stall). This additional location is used every time that the current PC requires a cache access, but the next address refers to an instruction that was present in the previously saved cache line. Without a backup, the line register would be overwritten by the line fetched at the current PC and so the next address would require a new cache read. Using an additional register, on the other hand, allows the IFU to read the next instruction from the previous




Figure 1.9: Cache miss

line. Evidently, this reasoning could be iterated to account for the second-oldest, third-oldest, etc. saved cache line, leading to a longer First-In-First-Out (FIFO) of line registers among which to select the current instruction. This is definitely a possible improvement, but including more than two registers was judged out of the scope of the frontend. An actual improvement should on the other hand come from the memory system, that could for instance include a *trace cache*, to account for subsequent instructions frequently fetched from different cache lines. Should such a feature be included, no other modifications would be needed on the IFU end.

Two blocks, namely the *presence checker* and the *instruction selector* are responsible of informing the fetch controller if the current PC points to an instruction already present in a saved line and of choosing the right source and the right instruction in the cache line respectively. The fetch controller itself is responsible of the orchestration of all the operations carried out inside the IFU and of interfacing with the instruction cache interface as well as the PC gen stage before and the issue queue after.

At the startup of the processor, the first fetch address will be the defined boot address which it is safe to assume that is going to need a cache access, as no line has been read and saved yet in the line register. This means that, even in the best case scenario (i.e. cache hit), the first instruction will be pushed to the issue queue one clock cycle after the corresponding PC has entered the fetch stage, leading to a latency of a total of two clock cycles. In order to maintain the throughput of one instruction per clock, however, the PC generation process must go on before knowing if the cache will hit or miss and that means that the first PC must be saved in a *previous PC register* in order to push the correct instruction to the queue




Figure 1.10: IFU diagram

at the next cycle. In other words, at each clock cycle, the IFU is simultaneously checking whether the current PC refers to a saved instruction or if a cache access is needed and pushing the previous instruction to the issue queue. Actually, if the current instruction is already present in a line register, then it could be potentially moved to the queue in the same cycle as no cache latency must be accounted for. This, however, would complicate significantly the timing of this unit, as the latency would be variable according to the need of a cache read or not. For this reason, it has been chosen to maintain a one-cycle latency for every instruction, meaning that each instruction pushed to the queue corresponds to the PC of the previous cycle. This is effectively an additional pipeline stage, introduced to account for the minimum cache latency and not to reduce the critical path.




Figure 1.11: Presence checker module ports




Figure 1.12: Presence checker combinational network

1.4.1 Presence checker

The instruction selector block (see figures 1.11 and 1.12) features a simple combinational network that performs two checks in parallel to determine the need of a new cache access by the IFU, in particular:

- If the current address refers to an instruction present in the line register and the saved line is valid, then the **here** signal indicates that no new cache read is needed and that the instruction is to be selected inside the line register.
- If the current address refers to an instruction in the same cache line as the previous address, then either that line is already present in the line register, or it will be the next line read from the cache and so it will be saved as soon as the read completes. In this case a **will_be_here** signal tells the IFU not to request the same line twice to the memory.

If none of these signals are asserted, then a new cache request is sent to the interface.

All the instructions belonging to the same cache line have the same most significant parts of the addresses, namely only the N LSBs differ, where:

$$N = \lceil \log_2(\text{Instructions/cache line}) \rceil + \lceil \log_2(\text{Bytes/instruction}) \rceil$$

So, to check whether two instructions belong to the same line, a comparison between the $64 - N$ (or more generally $\text{XLEN} - N$, where XLEN is the parallelism of the processor) most significant bits of their addresses is sufficient. That is what the presence checker does as shown in figure 1.12.

1.4.2 Instruction selector




Figure 1.13: Instruction selector module ports

The instruction selector takes as input all the three sources an instruction can be fetched from, three program counter sources² and the respective selection signals (see figure 1.13). It outputs a single selected instruction to be pushed to the issue queue.

Figure 1.14 shows the combinational selection network of the instruction selector, which basically consists of two multiplexers selecting the desired cache line and the address pointing to that line and another multiplexer choosing the selected instruction inside such line.

²The only actually used source, as stated above, is the previous PC, but the others were included in the initial version of the design and are kept for should a future need arise. Given that the synthesizer can optimize unused wires, this choice incurs in no overhead.




Figure 1.14: Selection network

According to the number of instructions stored in a single cache line, the output multiplexed can become quite large, nonetheless it should not be an issue in terms of total area.

1.4.3 Fetch controller

1.5 Branch Prediction Unit (BPU)

1.6 Branch unit

Chapter 2

Results

2.1 Simulation

2.1.1 Fetch unit

2.1.2 BPU

2.2 BPU benchmarking

2.3 Synthesis results

Chapter 3

Concluding remarks

3.1 Future work

Bibliography

- [1] Waterman A., *Design of the RISC-V Instruction Set Architecture*, PhD diss., Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California at Berkeley, 2016, UCB/EECS-2016-1.
- [2] Patterson D., Waterman A., *The RISC-V Reader: An Open Architecture Atlas*, First edition, Strawberry Canyon, 2017.
- [3] Hennessy J., Patterson D., *Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach*, Sixth edition, Morgan Kaufmann, 2017.
- [4] Thornton J., “Parallel operation in the control data 6600”, *Proceedings of the fall joint computer conference, part II: very high speed computer systems*, vol. 26, pp. 33–40, 1965.
- [5] Mittal S., “A Survey of Techniques for Dynamic Branch Prediction”, *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, vol. 31, no. 1, 2019.
- [6] Smith J., “A study of branch prediction strategies”, *25 Years of the International Symposia on Computer Architecture*, pp. 202–215, 1998.
- [7] Gross T., Hennessy J., “Optimizing delayed branches”, *ACM SIGMICRO Newsletter*, vol. 13, pp. 114–120, 1982.
- [8] Yeh T., Patt Y., “Two-level adaptive training branch prediction”, *Proceedings of the 24th Annual International Symposium on Microarchitecture*, pp. 51–61, 1991.
- [9] Yeh T., Patt Y., “A comparison of dynamic branch predictors that use two levels of branch history”, *Proceedings of the 20th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture*, pp. 257–266, 1993.
- [10] Seznec A., Michaud P., “A case for (partially)-tagged geometric history length predictors”, *Journal of Instruction Level Parallelism*, vol. 8, pp. 1–23, 2006.
- [11] Jimenez D., Lin C., “Dynamic branch prediction with perceptrons”, *Proceedings HPCA Seventh International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture*, pp. 197–206, 2001.

- [12] ARM, *AMBA AXI and ACE Protocol Specification*, 2017.