POLITECNICO
DI TORINO

Master's Degree in Electronic Engineering

Master Thesis

Design of the frontend for LEN5, a RISC-V Out-of-Order processor

Supervisor
Prof. Maurizio Martina

Candidate
Marco Andorno

Academic year 2018-2019

Abstract

RISC-V is a free and open source Instruction Set Architecture, which has sparked interest all over the community of computer architects, as it paves the way for a previously unseen era of extensible software and hardware design freedom. One of its main strength points is the vast modularity implemented in terms of different ISA extensions, which aim to cover a very broad range of applications. This allows designers to tailor the architecture according to their specific needs, without constraining them to support unnecessary instructions.

Being RISC-V a relatively new ISA, a limited number of cores is available at the moment, and in particular very few of them are open sourced. So the main motivation for this work is the contribution to this open source hardware community, by means of the design of an Out-of-Order RISC-V core as general purpose as possible.

The core is a 64-bit processor, supporting the G extension, which is a shorthand for the base integer (I), multiply and divide (M), floating point (F) and atomic (A) extensions. One goal of this project, which will be carried out alongside two colleagues, is to eventually include support also for the operating system, by implementing the yet unstandardized Privileged ISA, for the experimental vector extension (V) and possibly for a matrix extension to be defined from scratch. These last design choices are motivated by the lack of open source cores supporting them, and the great advantage that such vectorized computation can provide in a world where the popularity and the performance needs of artificial intelligence and machine learning are ever-growing.

Moreover, the choice of designing an out-of-order core arises mainly as all modern processors are of such kind, as it has been the best compromise to efficiently exploit instruction level parallelism for decades. The goal is to implement both instruction issue and execution to be performed Out-of-Order, because this allows the highest performance gain. This design choice, of course, comes with a series of implications that will need accurate analysis and bench- marking, possibly by keeping everything as parametric and modular as possible: branch prediction, instruction queue management, memory hierarchy and cache organization are just some examples.

The final outcome of this work will be an in-depth exploration of the design space offered by such complex architectures, to actually experience firsthand the main issues and tradeoffs designers must face and to be prepared to offer a significant contribution to the state of the art of processor design. Moreover, the common hope is for this project to serve as the basis for future in-house development of a complete RISC-V-based platform here at Politecnico di Torino. As mentioned above, the entire work will be open source and available on a GitHub repository.

Acknowledgements

Thanks everybody!

Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Acronyms

BPU Branch Prediction Unit

FIFO First-In-First-Out

FSM Finite State Machine

IFU Instruction Fetch Unit

PC Program Counter

Chapter 1

LEN5 frontend

1.1 General block diagram



Figure 1.1: LEN5 frontend

Figure 1.1 shows a top-level block diagram of the LEN5 frontend, with the modules that were developed and that will be described in the following sections shown in solid black color. Gray blocks are instead the ones the frontend interfaces with.

The frontend is composed of two pipeline stages, name the *Program Counter (PC) generation* and the *fetch* stages. Figure 1.1 also shows the *decode* stage, where the issue queue is found. This is basically a FIFO that serves as a buffer interface between the frontend and the backend of the processor by storing a queue of instructions to be issue to the later stages of the pipeline.

In the PC generation (PC gen) stage the next PC is selected among a number of different options by the PC sel block, using a predefined priority and is then written on the output register of the stage. This register also serves as the pipeline register between the two stages, and that is why figure 1.1 shows a dashed gray line crossing the PC sel block. The selection of the new PC is carried out by a network of combinational logic, so that this stage always takes exactly one clock cycle.

In the fetch stage, the PC is used by the IFU to select and possibly read from memory the next instruction to be pushed to the issue queue. At the same time, the BPU uses the current address to predict the next direction in case of branch and passes such information back to the PC gen stage. Memory accesses are performed through the instruction cache interface which manages the control signals to the instruction cache. Regarding latency of the fetch stage, in a normal steady state the IFU can provide one instruction each clock cycle to the issue queue, but in case of cache miss the number of cycles to resolve the stall can grow significantly, so the latency cannot be determined in advance. The issue queue is there exactly to provide some elasticity to the pipeline, by buffering already fetched instructions.

1.1.1 Handshake signals

The communication between each stage is always bidirectional, because in case of a stall caused for instance by a cache miss, by a full issue queue or by some other exceptional behavior down the pipeline, the PC generation process must be interrupted along with the fetch. In order to do so, a handshake process handles the communication between each stage as well as between the instruction cache interface and the actual cache. This handshake mechanism is based on the AXI valid/ready protocol described below, even if it is not compliant with all the AXI specifications.

In each communication the source of data generates a *valid* signal to indicate that the information is available, while the destination generates a *ready* signal to indicate that it can accept such information [12, p. A3-41]. The handshake takes place and the information is successfully exchanged only at the rising clock edge when both valid and ready are asserted. For example, in figure 1.2, the handshake happens at the third rising edge of the clock.



Figure 1.2: AXI handshake protocol

When a source has information available (figure 1.3a), it must assert valid and then wait until the corresponding ready is produced. It cannot wait for the ready before asserting valid. On the other hand (figure 1.3b), a destination is allowed



(a) Valid before ready



(b) Ready before valid

Figure 1.3: Possible handshake timings

to wait for its valid before asserting ready and it can also deassert ready before a corresponding valid arrives.

1.2 PC gen stage

The selection of the next PC is based on the following list of priorities, from highest to lowest:

1. **Exception**: if an exception occurs, the PC gen stage will receive the next starting address as the base address present in the vector table provided by the CSR unit.

2. **Misprediction**: if a resolved branch is discovered to have been mispredicted, then the PC gen stage resumes execution from the correct target if the branch was actually taken, or from the next sequential address from the branch PC if it was actually not taken.

3. **Branch prediction**: if the BPU predicts a taken branch for the current PC then it provides this stage with the predicted target address (see section **??**), which will be fetched at the next cycle, thus allowing for zero penalty branches when predicted correctly.

4. **Default assignment**: if none of the conditions before occur, then the next PC is selected as usual as the next sequential address, which corresponds to the current PC+4 for word-aligned 32-bit instructions.

Figure 1.4 shows the diagram of this stage. This and all the following diagrams in this document are color coded so that input signals are in blue, output signals are in red, internal signals in black and bit widths are in gray.

The heart of the PC gen stage is the `pc_priority_enc` block, which is an encoder that takes as inputs all the status signals indicating a behavior different from the default and all the corresponding potential next PCs. In behavioral SystemVerilog (listing 1.1) it is described as a if-then-else chain, which gets synthesized as a list of cascading multiplexers implementing the desired priority[1].

```
always_comb begin: pc_priority_enc
  if (except_i) begin
    next_pc = except_pc_i;
  end else if (res_valid_i && res_mispredict_i) begin
    if (res_taken_i) begin
      next_pc = res_target_i;
    end else begin
      next_pc = adder_out;
    end
  end else if (pred_taken_i) begin
    next_pc = pred_target_i;
  end else begin
    next_pc = adder_out;
  end
end: pc_priority_enc
```

Listing 1.1: `pc_priority_enc` description

In order to save resources, a single adder is used to generate both the next sequential address and the next PC after a mispredicted not taken branch. A multiplexer driven by the misprediction signals is used to select the right operand.

[1] As opposed to the description using a case statement, which leads to a single parallel mux, with no priority encoded.



Figure 1.4: PC gen stage diagram

The final selected next PC is fed into the `pc_reg` output register for the later stages. The enable of this register is controlled by the signal `fetch_ready_i` which comes from the fetch stage and disables the PC generation if a stall occurs. This is part of the handshake mechanism described in section 1.1.1, even if there is no *valid* signal from the PC gen stage, as it is redundant due to the fact that a valid new PC is always present at the output register.

1.3 Instruction cache interface

The instruction cache interface (figure 1.5) is responsible for translating the fetch requests coming from the IFU into compliant valid/ready handshake signals for both



Figure 1.5: Instruction cache interface

address and data to the instruction cache. This unit basically provides two main benefits. First, it simplifies the control of the IFU, by delegating the handshake process. Second, and more important, it provides an additional separation layer between the core frontend and the instruction cache with modularity in mind so that, should the cache block be modified, only this interface block needs to be updated, while the signals coming from the IFU module would remain unchanged.

1.3.1 Control FSM and timing

While the data signals are as straightforward as simple wires connecting `pc_i` and `cache_out_o` with `addr_o` and `data_i` respectively, the core of this interface lies in its control unit. It is a simple Mealy FSM (figure 1.6) that after reset waits for a read request from the IFU, then checks if the instruction cache is ready to receive an address and finally waits for a valid cache line to be read.

Figure 1.7 shows a normal cache read, where the instruction cache is immediately ready to receive an address which hits and produces the requested data at the next clock cycle. From this timing diagram it is also clear why a Mealy FSM is needed: the signal `addr_valid` needs to be asserted combinationally in the same clock cycle in which `read_req` arrives, so that the address handshake can take place immediately. Otherwise, with a Moore machine, one clock cycle would be wasted at each request, rendering impossible to sustain one instruction per clock cycle fetch. Another possibility would have been not to include such signal as a Mealy output of the machine and instead connect it with a wire outside the FSM, which would lead to the same exact result, but was deemed as less readable.

Figure 1.8 shows another possibility when the instruction cache is not ready to



Figure 1.6: Instruction cache interface FSM

receive an address at the time when a request arrives. In this case the FSM waits until the cache become ready in the `ADDR_BUSY` state. The state machine could just as well wait in the `WAIT_ADDR` state, but the additional state was introduced for robustness with `addr_valid` as a Moore output, so that this way there is no need for the `read_req` signal to stay active until the cache is ready. This is another point in favor of a Mealy FSM instead of the connection of combinational outputs externally.

Finally, figure 1.9 shows the case of a cache miss, in which the Finite State Machine (FSM) waits while keeping `data_ready` asserted. This state could potentially last for many clock cycles.



Figure 1.7: Normal cache read



Figure 1.8: Cache not ready on address

1.4 Instruction Fetch Unit (IFU)

1.5 Branch Prediction Unit (BPU)

1.6 Branch unit



Figure 1.9: Cache miss



Figure 1.10: Fetch stage diagram

Chapter 2

Results

2.1 Simulation

2.1.1 Fetch unit

2.1.2 BPU

2.2 BPU benchmarking

2.3 Synthesis results

Chapter 3

Concluding remarks

3.1 Future work

Bibliography

[1] Waterman A., *Design of the RISC-V Instruction Set Architecture*, PhD diss., Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California at Berkeley, 2016, UCB/EECS-2016-1.

[2] Patterson D., Waterman A., *The RISC-V Reader: An Open Architecture Atlas*, First edition, Strawberry Canyon, 2017.

[3] Hennessy J., Patterson D., *Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach*, Sixth edition, Morgan Kaufmann, 2017.

[4] Thornton J., "Parallel operation in the control data 6600", *Proceedings of the fall joint computer conference, part II: very high speed computer systems*, vol. 26, pp. 33–40, 1965.

[5] Mittal S., "A Survey of Techniques for Dynamic Branch Prediction", *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, vol. 31, no. 1, 2019.

[6] Smith J., "A study of branch prediction strategies", *25 Years of the International Symposia on Computer Architecture*, pp. 202–215, 1998.

[7] Gross T., Hennessy J., "Optimizing delayed branches", *ACM SIGMICRO Newsletter*, vol. 13, pp. 114–120, 1982.

[8] Yeh T., Patt Y., "Two-level adaptive training branch prediction", *Proceedings of the 24th Annual International Symposium on Microarchitecture*, pp. 51–61, 1991.

[9] Yeh T., Patt Y., "A comparison of dynamic branch predictors that use two levels of branch history", *Proceedings of the 20th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture*, pp. 257–266, 1993.

[10] Seznec A., Michaud P., "A case for (partially)-tagged geometric history length predictors", *Journal of Instruction Level Parallelism*, vol. 8, pp. 1–23, 2006.

[11] Jimenez D., Lin C., "Dynamic branch prediction with perceptrons", *Proceedings HPCA Seventh International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture*, pp. 197–206, 2001.

[12] ARM, *AMBA AXI and ACE Protocol Specification*, 2017.