

Fingers Crossed

Projection Problem Formulation

The projection problem for the fairness case study is given by:

$$\underset{\hat{y}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|y - z\|_{2}$$

$$\hat{y}$$

$$\operatorname{subject to:} \bar{y} = \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{1}^{T} z$$

$$\bar{y}_{v} = \frac{1}{\|X_{v}\|_{1}} X_{v} z \qquad \forall v \in D$$

$$d_{v} \geq \bar{y} - \bar{y}_{v} \qquad \forall v \in D$$

$$d_{v} \geq -(\bar{y} - \bar{y}_{v}) \qquad \forall v \in D$$

$$\mathbf{1}^{T} d_{v} \leq \varepsilon$$

- We want to tackle that via the OSQP solver
- ...Which means we need to define its parameters P, q, A, l, u

We start by factoring out the \bar{y} and \bar{y}_{v} variables

- We no longer have equality constraints
- Which means our problem is in the correct form

Now, we only need to work out its matrix notation

Our problem features two types of variables

- The projected targets z
- lacktriangle The individual deviation terms d

Hence, in matrix form the problem is defined as:

$$\underset{z}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} z & d \end{pmatrix}^{T} P \begin{pmatrix} z & d \end{pmatrix} - q^{T} \begin{pmatrix} z & d \end{pmatrix}$$
subject to: $A \begin{pmatrix} z & d \end{pmatrix} \leq u$

- By comparison with the detailed formulation
- ...We can derive the matrix structure

For the objective we have:

$$(z d)^T P(z d) - q^T(z d)$$

Which maps in the detailed formulation to:

$$\frac{1}{2}z^T I z - y^T z$$

Hence, we have:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Following the same process for the constraints leads to:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{\|X\|_{1}} \odot X & -I \\ -\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{\|X\|_{1}} \odot X & -I \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

- Where $\|X\|_1$ refers to the column vector $\{\|X_v\|_1\}_{v\in D}$
- ...And ⊙ to the (broadcasted) element wise product

Following the same process for the constraints leads to:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{\|X\|_1} \odot X & -I \\ -\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{\|X\|_1} \odot X & -I \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

- Where $\|X\|_1$ refers to the column vector $\{\|X_v\|_1\}_{v\in D}$
- ...And ⊙ to the (broadcasted) element wise product

Deriving these matrices is a convoluted process

- Having a modeling library would greatly simplify it
- ...But sadly no good candidates appear to be available for OSQP

Solving the Projection Problem

We can now solve the projection problem

```
In [6]: tr_prj = util.project_fairness(tr['race'], tr[target], thr=0.13)
                  OSQP v0.6.3 - Operator Splitting QP Solver
                      (c) Bartolomeo Stellato, Goran Banjac
                University of Oxford - Stanford University 2021
        problem: variables n = 1596, constraints m = 5
                 nnz(P) + nnz(A) = 7976
        settings: linear system solver = gdldl,
                  eps abs = 1.0e-03, eps rel = 1.0e-03,
                  eps_prim_inf = 1.0e-04, eps_dual_inf = 1.0e-04,
                  rho = 1.00e-01 (adaptive).
                  sigma = 1.00e-06, alpha = 1.60, max iter = 4000
                  check termination: on (interval 25).
                  scaling: on, scaled termination: off
                  warm start: on, polish: off, time limit: off
        iter objective pri res dua res rho
                                                           time
           1 -1.4944e+01 4.05e-01 5.99e-01 1.00e-01 7.47e-04s
                          1.34e-06 4.41e-05
                                               2.99e+01 3.11e-03s
         125 -2.2899e+01
                             solved
        status:
        number of iterations: 125
        optimal objective: -22.8992
        run time:
                             3.14e-03s
        ontimal rho estimate: 1.04e+01
```

Checking the Results

We should evaluate two qualities in our projected targets

- Their accuracy w.r.t. the original targets
- Their DIDI value (to make sure that everything went right)

```
In [4]: print(f'Projection R2: {r2_score(tr[target], tr_prj):.2f} (train)')
print(f'Projection DIDI: {util.DIDI_r(tr, tr_prj, protected):.2f} (train)')

Projection R2: 0.96 (train)
Projection DIDI: 0.13 (train)
```

- We using the ${\it R}^2$ coefficient to measure accuracy
- The DIDI value is equal to the threshold
- ...Which makes sense considering that lower value come with reduced accuracy

Training a Model

We can now train a (simple) model on the projected targets

```
In [7]: nn_f = util.build_ml_model(input_size=len(attributes), output_size=1, hidden=[])
         history = util.train_ml_model(nn_f, tr[attributes], tr_prj, validation_split=0., epochs=200
         util.plot_training_history(history, figsize=figsize)
          0.06
          0.05
          0.04
          0.03 -
          0.02
          0.01
                           25
                                                            100
                                                                       125
                                                                                  150
                                                                                             175
                                                                                                        200
                                                           epochs
         Model loss: 0.0052 (training)
```

Evaluating the Results

Finally, we can evaluate the results

```
In [8]: tr_pred_f = nn_f.predict(tr[attributes], verbose=0)
    r2_tr_f = r2_score(tr[target], tr_pred_f)
    ts_pred_f = nn_f.predict(ts[attributes], verbose=0)
    r2_ts_f = r2_score(ts[target], ts_pred_f)

print(f'R2 score: {r2_tr_f:.2f} (training), {r2_ts_f:.2f} (test)')
    tr_DIDI_f = util.DIDI_r(tr, tr_pred_f, protected)
    ts_DIDI_f = util.DIDI_r(ts, ts_pred_f, protected)
    print(f'DIDI: {tr_DIDI_f:.2f} (training), {ts_DIDI_f:.2f} (test)')

R2 score: 0.63 (training), 0.57 (test)
    DIDI: 0.13 (training), 0.14 (test)
```

The results should be pretty good!

- There a bit overfitting
- ...Which can lead to a modest constraint violation on the test data