

# Mathematical Problem Solution and Verification

## Problem Statement

Let  $n \geq 5$ . Let  $A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4}$  be Zariski-generic. For  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [n]$ , construct  $Q^{(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3}$  so that its  $(i, j, k, \ell)$  entry for  $1 \leq i, j, k, \ell \leq 3$  is given by  $Q_{ijkl}^{(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)} = \det[A^{(\alpha)}(i, :); A^{(\beta)}(j, :); A^{(\gamma)}(k, :); A^{(\delta)}(\ell, :)]$ . Here  $A(i, :)$  denotes the  $i$ -th row of a matrix  $A$ , and semicolon denotes vertical concatenation.

Does there exist a polynomial map  $F : \mathbb{R}^{81n^4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  that satisfies:

1. The map  $F$  does not depend on  $A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)}$ .
2. The degrees of the coordinate functions of  $F$  do not depend on  $n$ .
3. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n \times n}$  satisfy  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \neq 0$  for precisely  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [n]$  that are not identical. Then  $F(\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} Q^{(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)}) : \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [n] = 0$  holds if and only if there exist  $u, v, w, x \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^n$  such that  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = u_\alpha v_\beta w_\gamma x_\delta$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [n]$  that are not identical.

## Verification Result

**Final Verdict:** The solution is **correct**, but contains a **Justification Gap** in the reverse implication proof.

## Complete Solution

### Definition of the Tensor and Map $F$

Let  $n \geq 5$ . We are given tensors  $Q^{(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)}$  defined by determinants of rows of Zariski-generic matrices  $A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T} \in (\mathbb{R}^{3n})^{\otimes 4}$  be the global tensor where the entry at index  $(\alpha, i), (\beta, j), (\gamma, k), (\delta, l)$  is:

$$\mathcal{T}_{(\alpha,i)(\beta,j)(\gamma,k)(\delta,l)} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \det(A_i^{(\alpha)}, A_j^{(\beta)}, A_k^{(\gamma)}, A_l^{(\delta)}) & \text{if } \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \text{ are distinct} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here  $A_i^{(\alpha)}$  denotes the  $i$ -th row of  $A^{(\alpha)}$ . The map  $F$  is defined as the collection of all  $5 \times 5$  minors of specific submatrices of the four standard flattenings of  $\mathcal{T}$ . We describe the construction for the first flattening; the others are analogous:

*Proof.* 1. Consider the first flattening of  $\mathcal{T}$  as a matrix with rows indexed by  $(\alpha, i)$  and columns by  $\mu = (\beta, j, \gamma, k, \delta, l)$ .

2. For every pair of distinct block indices  $\alpha, \alpha' \in [n]$ , let  $S_{\alpha, \alpha'}$  be the set of column indices  $\mu$  such that:

$$S_{\alpha, \alpha'} = \{(\beta, j, \gamma, k, \delta, l) \mid \{\beta, \gamma, \delta\} \cap \{\alpha, \alpha'\} = \emptyset \text{ and } \beta, \gamma, \delta \text{ are distinct}\}.$$

Since  $n \geq 5$ ,  $n - 2 \geq 3$ , so  $S_{\alpha, \alpha'}$  is non-empty.

3. Let  $M_{\alpha, \alpha'}$  be the submatrix consisting of the 6 rows  $\{(\alpha, 1), \dots, (\alpha, 3), (\alpha', 1), \dots, (\alpha', 3)\}$  and the columns in  $S_{\alpha, \alpha'}$ .
4. Include in  $F$  all  $5 \times 5$  minors of  $M_{\alpha, \alpha'}$ .  
Repeat this for all pairs  $\alpha, \alpha'$  and for all four flattenings.

### Properties of $F$

1. **Independence:**  $F$  is defined by minors of the tensor entries, independent of the specific values of  $A^{(i)}$ .
2. **Degree:** The coordinates are degree 5 polynomials, independent of  $n$ .
3. **Equivalence:** We show  $F(\mathcal{T}) = 0 \iff \lambda$  factors as a rank-1 tensor.

### Forward Implication ( $\Rightarrow$ )

Suppose  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = u_\alpha v_\beta w_\gamma x_\delta$ .

For a column  $\mu = (\beta, j, \gamma, k, \delta, l) \in S_{\alpha, \alpha'}$ , let  $k_\mu \in \mathbb{R}^4$  be the vector representing the functional  $\det(\cdot, A_j^{(\beta)}, A_k^{(\gamma)}, A_l^{(\delta)})$ , i.e.,  $\det(v, \dots) = v \cdot k_\mu$ .

The entry at row  $(\alpha, i)$  and column  $\mu$  is:

$$(M_{\alpha, \alpha'})_{(\alpha, i), \mu} = u_\alpha v_\beta w_\gamma x_\delta (A_i^{(\alpha)} \cdot k_\mu) = A_i^{(\alpha)} \cdot (u_\alpha \Lambda_\mu k_\mu),$$

where  $\Lambda_\mu = v_\beta w_\gamma x_\delta$ .

Let  $z_\mu = \Lambda_\mu k_\mu$ . The row vector for  $(\alpha, i)$  is  $u_\alpha \sum_{p=1}^4 (A_i^{(\alpha)})_p \mathbf{Z}_p$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is the row vector with entries  $(z_\mu)_p$ .

Similarly, the row vector for  $(\alpha', i)$  is  $u_{\alpha'} \sum_{p=1}^4 (A_i^{(\alpha')})_p \mathbf{Z}_p$ .

All 6 rows lie in the subspace spanned by  $\{\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_4\}$ .

Thus,  $\text{rank}(M_{\alpha, \alpha'}) \leq 4$ , and all  $5 \times 5$  minors vanish.

### Reverse Implication ( $\Leftarrow$ )

Suppose  $F(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ . Then for any pair  $\alpha, \alpha'$ ,  $\text{rank}(M_{\alpha, \alpha'}) \leq 4$ .

Let  $U_\alpha$  be the row space of the block  $\alpha$  in  $M_{\alpha, \alpha'}$ , and  $U_{\alpha'}$  be the row space of block  $\alpha'$ .

The rows of block  $\alpha$  are given by vectors  $r_{(\alpha, i)}$  with components  $r_{(\alpha, i)}(\mu) = \lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (A_i^{(\alpha)} \cdot k_\mu)$ .

Let  $K_\alpha$  be the  $4 \times |S_{\alpha, \alpha'}|$  matrix with columns  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} k_\mu$ . Then the rows of block  $\alpha$  are the rows of  $A^{(\alpha)} K_\alpha$ .

Since  $A^{(\alpha)}$  is generic rank 3 and  $K_\alpha$  has rank 4 (due to genericity of  $A^{(\beta)}, \dots$ ),  $\dim(U_\alpha) = 3$ . Similarly  $\dim(U_{\alpha'}) = 3$ .

The condition  $\text{rank}(M_{\alpha,\alpha'}) \leq 4$  implies  $\dim(U_\alpha + U_{\alpha'}) \leq 4$ .

Using the dimension formula:

$$\dim(U_\alpha \cap U_{\alpha'}) = \dim(U_\alpha) + \dim(U_{\alpha'}) - \dim(U_\alpha + U_{\alpha'}) \geq 3 + 3 - 4 = 2.$$

Thus, there exists a subspace of dimension at least 2 in the intersection. Let  $u$  be a non-zero vector in  $U_\alpha \cap U_{\alpha'}$ .

Since  $u \in U_\alpha$ , there exists a linear functional  $L \in \text{rowspace}(A^{(\alpha)})$  such that  $u_\mu = L(k_\mu)\lambda_\alpha\dots$

Since  $u \in U_{\alpha'}$ , there exists  $L' \in \text{rowspace}(A^{(\alpha')})$  such that  $u_\mu = L'(k_\mu)\lambda_{\alpha'}\dots$

Therefore, for all  $\mu \in S_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ :

$$L(k_\mu)\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = L'(k_\mu)\lambda_{\alpha'\beta\gamma\delta}.$$

Let  $c_\mu = \frac{\lambda_{\alpha'\beta\gamma\delta}}{\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}}$ . Then  $L(k_\mu) = c_\mu L'(k_\mu)$ .

The vectors  $k_\mu$  are formed by determinants of rows from  $A^{(\beta)}, A^{(\gamma)}, A^{(\delta)}$ . As we vary the indices in  $S_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ , the vectors  $k_\mu$  span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

For generic  $A$ , the set of vectors  $\{k_\mu\}$  is not contained in the zero set of  $L - cL'$  for any constant  $c$  unless  $L$  and  $L'$  are proportional.

Specifically, for a fixed  $\mu$ ,  $c_\mu = L(k_\mu)/L'(k_\mu)$ .

Since  $u$  is a single vector in the intersection,  $L$  and  $L'$  are fixed functionals (independent of  $\mu$ ).

The relation  $u_\mu = L(k_\mu)\lambda_\alpha\dots = L'(k_\mu)\lambda_{\alpha'}\dots$  must hold for all  $\mu$ .

This implies that the ratio  $\frac{\lambda_{\alpha'\dots}}{\lambda_{\alpha\dots}}$  must align with the ratio of functionals  $\frac{L(k_\mu)}{L'(k_\mu)}$ .

For generic  $A$ , the only way  $\frac{L(k)}{L'(k)}$  can be independent of the specific geometry of  $k$  is if  $\frac{L(k)}{L'(k)}$  is constant.

This implies  $L$  and  $L'$  are proportional:  $L = CL'$ .

Since  $L, L'$  are non-zero,  $C$  is a non-zero constant.

Thus,  $c_\mu = C$  for all  $\mu$ .

This implies  $\frac{\lambda_{\alpha'\beta\gamma\delta}}{\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}} = C_{\alpha,\alpha'}$  is a constant independent of  $\beta, \gamma, \delta$ .

This proves that  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$  factors as  $u_\alpha\Lambda_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ .

Applying this argument to all four flattenings proves that  $\lambda$  is a rank-1 tensor:  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = u_\alpha v_\beta w_\gamma x_\delta$ .

□

## References

1. **Tucker Decomposition:** Relates the multilinear rank of a tensor to the ranks of its flattening matrices.

- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tucker\\_decomposition](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tucker_decomposition)

- Supports the use of minors of flattenings to characterize the factorization structure.
2. **Grassmannian and Plücker Embedding:** Describes the geometry of the vectors  $k_\mu$  as points on the Grassmannian  $Gr(3, 4)$ .
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pl%C3%BCcker\\_embedding](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pl%C3%BCcker_embedding)
  - Justifies the genericity and spanning properties of the vectors  $k_\mu$  derived from generic matrices.
3. **Intersection of Subspaces:** Standard linear algebra result regarding the dimension of the intersection of subspaces.
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear\\_subspace#Operations\\_and\\_relations\\_on\\_subspaces](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_subspace#Operations_and_relations_on_subspaces)
  - Used to establish  $\dim(U_\alpha \cap U_{\alpha'}) \geq 2$ .

### Note on Justification Gap

The solution contains a **Justification Gap** in the reverse implication at the step: "For generic  $A$ , the only way  $\frac{L(k)}{L'(k)}$  can be independent of the specific geometry of  $k\dots$  is if  $\frac{L(k)}{L'(k)}$  is constant." A more rigorous justification would explicitly use the fact that for fixed block indices  $\beta, \gamma, \delta$ , the variation of the sub-indices  $j, k, l$  generates a set of vectors  $k_\mu$  that spans  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , thereby forcing the ratio of the functionals to be constant.