

# COMP6714 ASSIGNMENT 1

MINGLANG XIE z5228006

Q1

---

**Algorithm 1:**  $Q1(p_1, p_2, p_s)$

---

```
1  $answer \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2 while  $p_1 \neq nil \wedge p_2 \neq nil$  do
3   if  $docID(p_1) = docID(p_2)$  then
4      $l \leftarrow []$ 
5      $DID \leftarrow docID(p_1)$ 
6      $pp_1 \leftarrow positions(p_1)$ ;  $pp_2 \leftarrow positions(p_2)$ ;  $pp_s \leftarrow p_s.DID$ 
7     while  $pp_1 \neq nil$  do
8        $skipTo(pp_s, docid, pos(pp_1))$ 
9       while  $pp_2 \neq nil$  do
10        if  $pos(pp_1) < pos(pp_2) \wedge pos(pp_2) < pos(pp_s)$  then
11           $add(l, pos(pp_2))$ 
12        else
13          if  $pos(pp_2) > pos(pp_1)$  then
14             $break$ ;
15         $pp_2 \leftarrow next(pp_2)$ 
16      while  $l \neq [] \wedge l[1] < pos(pp_s) \wedge l[1] > pos(pp_1)$  do
17         $delete(l[1])$ 
18      foreach  $ps \in l$  do
19         $answer \leftarrow answer \cup [docID(p_1), pos(pp_1), ps]$ 
20       $pp_1 \leftarrow next(pp_1)$ 
21     $p_1 \leftarrow next(p_1)$ ;  $p_2 \leftarrow next(p_2)$ 
22  else
23    if  $docID(p_1) < docID(p_2)$  then
24       $p_1 \leftarrow next(p_1)$ 
25    else
26       $p_2 \leftarrow next(p_2)$ 
27 return  $answer$ 
```

---

Q2

(1)

Assume that  $t$  sub-indexes (each of  $M$  pages) will be created if one chooses the no-merge strategy. Thus, there are  $tM$  pages in total, if the logarithmic merge strategy is used, we are following two rules:

1. only create  $I_k$  and  $I_k$  has size  $2^k M$
2. whenever we have  $2^k I_k$ , we need to merge it to form a  $I_{k+1}$

Therefore, when the generation grow to  $g$ , we will get  $[M, 2M, 4M, \dots, 2^k M]$

$$M, 2M, 4M, \dots, 2^k M = tM$$

$$M(1 + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^k) = tM$$

$$\frac{(2^{k+1}) - 1}{2 - 1} = t$$

$$(2^{k+1}) = t + 1$$

$$k = \log_2(t + 1) - 1$$

when  $t$  is sufficient large,  $k = \lceil \log_2(t) \rceil$ . Therefore, if the logarithmic merge strategy is used, it will result in at most  $\lceil \log_2(t) \rceil$  sub-indexes.

(2)

First, there are  $tM$  pages in total.

Second, we know the logarithmic merge strategy will result in at most  $k = \lceil \log_2(t) \rceil$ , which means after  $k$  generations, only the last generation in disk. Simply progress is:

Merge  $I_0$   $2^{k-1}$  times

Merge  $I_1$   $2^{k-2}$  times

...

Merge  $I_k$  one time

Hence, the total cost of the logarithmic merge is:  $(2^0 * 2^{k-1} + 2^1 * 2^{k-2} + \dots + 2^{k-1} * 2^0)M = k * 2^{k-1} * M \approx \log_2 t * t * M$

The total I/O cost of the logarithmic merge is  $O(\log_2 t * t * M)$

### Q3

After the  $\delta$  encoding, the compressed non-positional inverted list is

01000101 11110001 01110000 00110000 11110110 11011

Since this compressed list started with a 0, and 1 is the only number to get a 0 after the  $\delta$  encoding. So, we can divide the compressed list as:

0 1000101 11110001 01110000 00110000 11110110 11011

Then, we get the first document ID which is 1, and remain:

1000101 11110001 01110000 00110000 11110110 11011

The next gap will be  $\text{decode}(1000)$ , which is 2, because the remain compressed list started with 10, and so  $k_{dd} = 1$ , and 0 after 10, which give  $k_{dr} = 0$ , use  $k_{dr} = (k_d + 1) - 2^{\lceil \log_2(k_d + 1) \rceil}$ , we have  $0 = (k_d + 1) - 2^1$ , given  $k_d = 1$ , next, 0 after 100 given  $k_r = 0$ . Therefore,  $k_r = k - 2^{k_d} \Rightarrow 0 = k - 2 \Rightarrow k = 2$ . Then remain:

101 11110001 01110000 00110000 11110110 11011

Continue this progress, we can divide the compressed list as below:

(10 1 11) (110 00 101) (110 00 000) (110 00 011) (110 11 011011)

$$\text{decode}(10 1 11) = 7$$

$$\text{decode}(110 00 101) = 13$$

$\text{decode}(110\ 00\ 000) = 8$   
 $\text{decode}(110\ 00\ 011) = 11$   
 $\text{decode}(110\ 11\ 011011) = 91$

Therefore, the gap in the document list is  $[1, 2, 7, 13, 8, 11, 91]$ , the document ID is  $[1, 3, 10, 23, 31, 42, 133]$ .

#### Q4

The line 21 in Figure 2 that causes the bug, loop happens when pivot is unchanged, because *pickTerm* selects the term with the maximal idf, if we have terms in order A, B, C. C is pTerm return by *findPivotTerm*(terms,  $\theta$ ), B have the same DID as pivot with the largest idf, and A have the smallest DID. Thus, *pickTerm*(terms[0..*pTerm*-1]) will always return term B. Since B have the same DID as pivot, it will not change the posting. Therefore, the posting remains the same, resulted in the pivot is unchanged.

For example:

Threshold = 8

	A	B	C
UB	3	4	4
List	$\langle 1, 3 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 4 \rangle$	$\langle 2, 4 \rangle$
	$\langle 2, 3 \rangle$	$\langle 4, 2 \rangle$	$\langle 4, 3 \rangle$

Assume A have the smallest idf

First time:

pivot is C.DID, and pickTerm return B. Thus, B skip to  $\langle 4, 2 \rangle$ , the order after sort is A, C, B.

Second time:

pivot is B.DID, and pickTerm return C. Thus, C skip to  $\langle 4, 3 \rangle$ , the order after sorting is A, C, B.

Infinity loop start:

the pivot is always B.DID, and pickTerm always return C, and try to skip to B.DID, which is this case is 4. Since C.DID is also 4, the posting have nothing change.