

# Line-parallelisms of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ from Preparata-like codes

**Vladislav Taranchuk**

**(joint work with Philipp Heering)**

September 2, 2025

7th Finite Geometry Conference

Irsee, Germany



**GHENT  
UNIVERSITY**

**Definition.**

A **line-spread** of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the points of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ .

**Definition.**

A **line-spread** of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the points of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ .

- ▶ Equivalently, a line-spread of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  can be thought of as partition of the non-zero vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into 2-dimensional subspaces.

**Definition.**

A **line-spread** of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the points of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ .

- ▶ Equivalently, a line-spread of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  can be thought of as partition of the non-zero vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into 2-dimensional subspaces.
- ▶ Simple counting argument implies line-spreads only exist in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  if  $n$  is odd.

**Definition.**

A **line-spread** of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the points of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ .

- ▶ Equivalently, a line-spread of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  can be thought of as partition of the non-zero vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into 2-dimensional subspaces.
- ▶ Simple counting argument implies line-spreads only exist in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  if  $n$  is odd.
- ▶ On the other hand, when  $n$  is odd, many line-spreads are known to exist in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  for any prime power  $q$ .

**Definition.**

A **line-parallelism** (or **line-packing**) of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into line-spreads.

**Definition.**

A **line-parallelism** (or **line-packing**) of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into line-spreads.

- ▶ Equivalently, this is a partition of all 2-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into line-spreads.

**Definition.**

A **line-parallelism** (or **line-packing**) of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into line-spreads.

- ▶ Equivalently, this is a partition of all 2-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into line-spreads.
- ▶ Line-parallelisms of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  consist of  $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$  line-spreads.



**Definition.**

A **line-parallelism** (or **line-packing**) of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into line-spreads.

- ▶ Equivalently, this is a partition of all 2-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into line-spreads.
- ▶ Line-parallelisms of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  consist of  $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$  line-spreads.
- ▶ Again, we have a necessary condition that  $n$  is odd.

**Definition.**

A **line-parallelism** (or **line-packing**) of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into line-spreads.

- ▶ Equivalently, this is a partition of all 2-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into line-spreads.
- ▶ Line-parallelisms of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  consist of  $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$  line-spreads.
- ▶ Again, we have a necessary condition that  $n$  is odd. *But is it sufficient?*

**Definition.**

A **line-parallelism** (or **line-packing**) of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into line-spreads.

- ▶ Equivalently, this is a partition of all 2-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into line-spreads.
- ▶ Line-parallelisms of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  consist of  $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$  line-spreads.
- ▶ Again, we have a necessary condition that  $n$  is odd. *But is it sufficient?*
- ▶ Sufficient for  $q = 2$  (Zaitsev, Zinoviev, and Semakov 1973 and Baker 1976)

**Definition.**

A **line-parallelism** (or **line-packing**) of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into line-spreads.

- ▶ Equivalently, this is a partition of all 2-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into line-spreads.
- ▶ Line-parallelisms of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  consist of  $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$  line-spreads.
- ▶ Again, we have a necessary condition that  $n$  is odd. *But is it sufficient?*
- ▶ Sufficient for  $q = 2$  (Zaitsev, Zinoviev, and Semakov 1973 and Baker 1976)
- ▶ Sufficient for any  $q > 2$  when  $n = 2^k - 1$  (Beutelspacher 1974)

**Definition.**

A **line-parallelism** (or **line-packing**) of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a partition of the lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  into line-spreads.

- ▶ Equivalently, this is a partition of all 2-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  into line-spreads.
- ▶ Line-parallelisms of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  consist of  $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$  line-spreads.
- ▶ Again, we have a necessary condition that  $n$  is odd. *But is it sufficient?*
- ▶ Sufficient for  $q = 2$  (Zaitsev, Zinoviev, and Semakov 1973 and Baker 1976)
- ▶ Sufficient for any  $q > 2$  when  $n = 2^k - 1$  (Beutelspacher 1974)
- ▶ Sufficient for  $q = 3, 4, 8, 16$  (Xu and Feng 2023)

**Definition.**

A **linear code** with parameters  $[n, k, d]_q$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that the Hamming distance between any two vectors in  $\mathcal{C}$  is at least  $d$ .

**Definition.**

A **linear code** with parameters  $[n, k, d]_q$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that the Hamming distance between any two vectors in  $\mathcal{C}$  is at least  $d$ .

**Example:** Let  $H$  be the  $t \times (2^t - 1)$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  whose columns are formed precisely by all non-zero vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_2^t$ .

**Definition.**

A **linear code** with parameters  $[n, k, d]_q$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that the Hamming distance between any two vectors in  $\mathcal{C}$  is at least  $d$ .

**Example:** Let  $H$  be the  $t \times (2^t - 1)$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  whose columns are formed precisely by all non-zero vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_2^t$ .

The null space of  $H$  is called the **binary linear Hamming code**  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  which has parameters

- ▶ Length  $n = 2^t - 1$ .
- ▶ Dimension  $k = 2^t - t - 1$ .
- ▶ Minimum distance  $d = 3$ .



The minimum weight codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  correspond to lines in  $PG(t-1, 2)$ .

The minimum weight codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  correspond to lines in  $PG(t-1, 2)$ .

- ▶ Minimum weight codewords have three non-zero entries, say in positions  $i, j, k$ .

The minimum weight codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  correspond to lines in  $PG(t-1, 2)$ .

- ▶ Minimum weight codewords have three non-zero entries, say in positions  $i, j, k$ .
- ▶ Therefore, the columns  $H_i, H_j, H_k$  of  $H$  must sum to zero, thus  $\{0, H_i, H_j, H_k\}$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^t$ .

The minimum weight codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  correspond to lines in  $PG(t-1, 2)$ .

- ▶ Minimum weight codewords have three non-zero entries, say in positions  $i, j, k$ .
- ▶ Therefore, the columns  $H_i, H_j, H_k$  of  $H$  must sum to zero, thus  $\{0, H_i, H_j, H_k\}$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^t$ .
- ▶ It follows that the codeword associated with any 2-dimensional subspace in this way belongs to  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$ .

## 2

## Partitioning the linear Hamming code

Let  $P_t$  is a binary code with length  $n = 2^t - 1$ , minimum distance  $d = 5$ , and size such that  $|\text{Ham}(t, 2)|/|P_t| = 2^{t-1}$ .

## 2

## Partitioning the linear Hamming code

Let  $P_t$  is a binary code with length  $n = 2^t - 1$ , minimum distance  $d = 5$ , and size such that  $|\text{Ham}(t, 2)|/|P_t| = 2^{t-1}$ .  
**If  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  can be partitioned into  $2^{t-1}$  copies of  $P_t$ , then:**

## Partitioning the linear Hamming code

Let  $P_t$  is a binary code with length  $n = 2^t - 1$ , minimum distance  $d = 5$ , and size such that  $|\text{Ham}(t, 2)|/|P_t| = 2^{t-1}$ .  
**If  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  can be partitioned into  $2^{t-1}$  copies of  $P_t$ , then:**

- ▶ The copy of  $P_t$  containing the zero codeword does not contain any weight three codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$ .

## Partitioning the linear Hamming code

Let  $P_t$  is a binary code with length  $n = 2^t - 1$ , minimum distance  $d = 5$ , and size such that  $|\text{Ham}(t, 2)|/|P_t| = 2^{t-1}$ .

**If  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  can be partitioned into  $2^{t-1}$  copies of  $P_t$ , then:**

- ▶ The copy of  $P_t$  containing the zero codeword does not contain any weight three codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$ .
- ▶ Thus the minimum weight codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  are split amongst the remaining  $2^{t-1} - 1$  copies of  $P_t$ .



## Partitioning the linear Hamming code

Let  $P_t$  is a binary code with length  $n = 2^t - 1$ , minimum distance  $d = 5$ , and size such that  $|\text{Ham}(t, 2)|/|P_t| = 2^{t-1}$ .

**If  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  can be partitioned into  $2^{t-1}$  copies of  $P_t$ , then:**

- ▶ The copy of  $P_t$  containing the zero codeword does not contain any weight three codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$ .
- ▶ Thus the minimum weight codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  are split amongst the remaining  $2^{t-1} - 1$  copies of  $P_t$ .
- ▶ Any two codewords of weight 3 in the same copy of  $P_t$  must have disjoint supports since  $P_t$  has minimum distance 5.

## Partitioning the linear Hamming code

Let  $P_t$  is a binary code with length  $n = 2^t - 1$ , minimum distance  $d = 5$ , and size such that  $|\text{Ham}(t, 2)|/|P_t| = 2^{t-1}$ .

**If  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  can be partitioned into  $2^{t-1}$  copies of  $P_t$ , then:**

- ▶ The copy of  $P_t$  containing the zero codeword does not contain any weight three codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$ .
- ▶ Thus the minimum weight codewords of  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  are split amongst the remaining  $2^{t-1} - 1$  copies of  $P_t$ .
- ▶ Any two codewords of weight 3 in the same copy of  $P_t$  must have disjoint supports since  $P_t$  has minimum distance 5.
- ▶ Consequently, each copy of  $P_t$  contains codewords corresponding to a line-spread of  $PG(t-1, 2)$  and all the line-spreads together yield a parallelism.

**Definition.**

We call a binary code  $P_t$  **Preparata-like** if it has length  $2^t - 1$ , contains  $2^{2^t - 2^t}$  codewords and has minimum distance 5.

**Definition.**

We call a binary code  $P_t$  **Preparata-like** if it has length  $2^t - 1$ , contains  $2^{2^t-2t}$  codewords and has minimum distance 5.

The following is a list of all known Preparata-like codes of length  $2^t - 1$  which are known to be contained in  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$ .

**Definition.**

We call a binary code  $P_t$  **Preparata-like** if it has length  $2^t - 1$ , contains  $2^{2^t - 2t}$  codewords and has minimum distance 5.

The following is a list of all known Preparata-like codes of length  $2^t - 1$  which are known to be contained in  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$ .

- ▶ 1968: Preparata constructs the first class of such codes.

**Definition.**

We call a binary code  $P_t$  **Preparata-like** if it has length  $2^t - 1$ , contains  $2^{2^t - 2t}$  codewords and has minimum distance 5.

The following is a list of all known Preparata-like codes of length  $2^t - 1$  which are known to be contained in  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$ .

- ▶ 1968: Preparata constructs the first class of such codes.
- ▶ 1983: Baker, van Lint, and Wilson simplify and generalize Preparata's construction. This leads to the class of **generalized Preparata codes**.

**Definition.**

We call a binary code  $P_t$  **Preparata-like** if it has length  $2^t - 1$ , contains  $2^{2^t - 2t}$  codewords and has minimum distance 5.

The following is a list of all known Preparata-like codes of length  $2^t - 1$  which are known to be contained in  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$ .

- ▶ 1968: Preparata constructs the first class of such codes.
- ▶ 1983: Baker, van Lint, and Wilson simplify and generalize Preparata's construction. This leads to the class of **generalized Preparata codes**.
- ▶ 2000: van Dam and Fon-Der-Flaass construct crooked Preparata-like codes from crooked functions.

## Partitioning the Hamming code

It is known that any generalized Preparata code gives rise to a partition of the linear Hamming code of the same length.



## Partitioning the Hamming code

It is known that any generalized Preparata code gives rise to a partition of the linear Hamming code of the same length.

- ▶ 1973: Zaitsev, Zinoviev, and Semakov prove this fact for the classical Preparata code. Also independently noted by Baker in 1976.

## Partitioning the Hamming code

It is known that any generalized Preparata code gives rise to a partition of the linear Hamming code of the same length.

- ▶ 1973: Zaitsev, Zinoviev, and Semakov prove this fact for the classical Preparata code. Also independently noted by Baker in 1976.
- ▶ 1983: Baker, van Lint, and Wilson prove this fact for the generalized Preparata codes.

## Partitioning the Hamming code

It is known that any generalized Preparata code gives rise to a partition of the linear Hamming code of the same length.

- ▶ 1973: Zaitsev, Zinoviev, and Semakov prove this fact for the classical Preparata code. Also independently noted by Baker in 1976.
- ▶ 1983: Baker, van Lint, and Wilson prove this fact for the generalized Preparata codes.
- ▶ 2016: V. A. Zinoviev and D. V. Zinoviev gave a new and inequivalent partitioning using generalized Preparata codes via a group theoretic approach.

## Partitioning the Hamming code

It is known that any generalized Preparata code gives rise to a partition of the linear Hamming code of the same length.

- ▶ 1973: Zaitsev, Zinoviev, and Semakov prove this fact for the classical Preparata code. Also independently noted by Baker in 1976.
- ▶ 1983: Baker, van Lint, and Wilson prove this fact for the generalized Preparata codes.
- ▶ 2016: V. A. Zinoviev and D. V. Zinoviev gave a new and inequivalent partitioning using generalized Preparata codes via a group theoretic approach.

### **Theorem (Heering and T. 2025+).**

Let  $P_t$  be any Preparata-like code contained inside the Hamming code  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  of the same length. Then  $\text{Ham}(t, 2)$  can be partitioned into additive translates of  $P_t$ .

**Definition (Bending and Fon-Der-Flaass (1998)).**

A function  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is called crooked if  $f(0) = 0$  and for  $a \neq 0$ , the sets

$$H_a = \{f(x + a) + f(x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$$

are all distinct and are the complement of a hyperplane.

**Definition (Bending and Fon-Der-Flaass (1998)).**

A function  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is called crooked if  $f(0) = 0$  and for  $a \neq 0$ , the sets

$$H_a = \{f(x + a) + f(x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$$

are all distinct and are the complement of a hyperplane.

**Definition.**

Let  $f$  and  $f'$  be two crooked functions over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . We say

1.  $f'$  is **linearly equivalent** to  $f$  if there exists linear permutations  $L_1, L_2$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  such that  $f' = L_1 f L_2$ .
2.  $f'$  is **affine equivalent** to  $f$  if there exists affine permutations  $A_1, A_2$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  such that  $f' = A_1 f A_2$ .

**Definition.**

Let  $f$  be a crooked function over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $V = \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_2$ . The coloring function  $c_f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is defined by

$$c_f((x, x_1), (y, y_1)) = f(x) + f(y) + f(x + y) + f(x_1y + y_1x).$$

**Definition.**

Let  $f$  be a crooked function over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $V = \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_2$ . The coloring function  $c_f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is defined by

$$c_f((x, x_1), (y, y_1)) = f(x) + f(y) + f(x + y) + f(x_1y + y_1x).$$

- $c_f$  is constant and non-zero on lines of  $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ .



**Definition.**

Let  $f$  be a crooked function over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $V = \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_2$ . The coloring function  $c_f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is defined by

$$c_f((x, x_1), (y, y_1)) = f(x) + f(y) + f(x + y) + f(x_1y + y_1x).$$

- ▶  $c_f$  is constant and non-zero on lines of  $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ .
- ▶ Any two lines given the same color  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  do not intersect.

**Definition.**

Let  $f$  be a crooked function over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $V = \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_2$ . The coloring function  $c_f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is defined by

$$c_f((x, x_1), (y, y_1)) = f(x) + f(y) + f(x + y) + f(x_1y + y_1x).$$

- ▶  $c_f$  is constant and non-zero on lines of  $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ .
- ▶ Any two lines given the same color  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  do not intersect.
- ▶ It follows that each color class of lines is a line-spread, and all together form a line-parallelism.



## The equivalence problem

### Definition.

Two line parallelisms  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  of  $\text{PG}(n, 2)$  are **equivalent** if there exists a collineation of  $\text{PG}(n, 2)$  which maps the line-spreads of  $\Pi_1$  to the line-spreads of  $\Pi_2$ .

**Definition.**

Two line parallelisms  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  of  $\text{PG}(n, 2)$  are **equivalent** if there exists a collineation of  $\text{PG}(n, 2)$  which maps the line-spreads of  $\Pi_1$  to the line-spreads of  $\Pi_2$ .

**Theorem (Heering and T. 2025+).**

Let  $f(x)$  and  $f'(x)$  be crooked over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  with  $n > 1$  odd and let  $\Pi_f$  and  $\Pi_{f'}$  be the parallelisms induced by  $c_f$  and  $c_{f'}$ .

1. If  $f(x)$  and  $f'(x)$  are linearly equivalent, then  $\Pi_f$  and  $\Pi_{f'}$  are equivalent.
2. Suppose further that  $f(x)$  and  $f'(x)$  are quadratic and that  $n > 3$ . It holds that  $\Pi_f$  and  $\Pi_{f'}$  are equivalent if and only if  $f(x)$  and  $f'(x)$  are affine equivalent.

The following list describes all known line-parallelisms of  $PG(n, 2)$  (which also includes our contribution).

### 3

## Survey of known line-parallelisms of $PG(n, 2)$

The following list describes all known line-parallelisms of  $PG(n, 2)$  (which also includes our contribution).

1. Crooked line-parallelisms arising from the partition of the linear Hamming code into additive translates of any crooked Preparata-like code.

The following list describes all known line-parallelisms of  $PG(n, 2)$  (which also includes our contribution).

1. Crooked line-parallelisms arising from the partition of the linear Hamming code into additive translates of any crooked Preparata-like code.
2. Line-parallelisms arising from the partitioning of the linear Hamming code into cosets of the generalized Preparata codes via a group theoretical approach.

The following list describes all known line-parallelisms of  $PG(n, 2)$  (which also includes our contribution).

1. Crooked line-parallelisms arising from the partition of the linear Hamming code into additive translates of any crooked Preparata-like code.
2. Line-parallelisms arising from the partitioning of the linear Hamming code into cosets of the generalized Preparata codes via a group theoretical approach.
3. A construction of line-parallelisms of  $PG(n, 2)$  by Wettl in 1994, inequivalent to those coming from the generalized Preparata codes.



The following list describes all known line-parallelisms of  $PG(n, 2)$  (which also includes our contribution).

1. Crooked line-parallelisms arising from the partition of the linear Hamming code into additive translates of any crooked Preparata-like code.
2. Line-parallelisms arising from the partitioning of the linear Hamming code into cosets of the generalized Preparata codes via a group theoretical approach.
3. A construction of line-parallelisms of  $PG(n, 2)$  by Wettl in 1994, inequivalent to those coming from the generalized Preparata codes.
4. Specific examples in  $PG(3, 2)$ ,  $PG(5, 2)$ ,  $PG(7, 2)$ ,  $PG(9, 2)$  mostly obtained by computer.



## Conclusion

Can the coloring function method be used to resolve more cases for the existence of parallelisms when  $q > 2$ ?



## Conclusion

Can the coloring function method be used to resolve more cases for the existence of parallelisms when  $q > 2$ ?

We have some ideas, come talk to us if you're interested!  
Thank you!

