

Graphs from hyperbolic quadrics

2025 Finite Geometries Seventh Irsee Conference

Jan De Beule

1 September 2025

Introduction

- ▶ Hyperbolic quadric,
 $Q^+(2n+1, q) : X_0X_1 + X_2X_3 + \dots + X_{2n}X_{2n+1} = 0,$

Introduction

- ▶ Hyperbolic quadric,
 $Q^+(2n+1, q) : X_0X_1 + X_2X_3 + \dots + X_{2n}X_{2n+1} = 0,$
- ▶ contains points, lines, planes, \dots , n -dimensional projective subspaces (called *generators*),

Introduction

- ▶ Hyperbolic quadric,
 $Q^+(2n + 1, q) : X_0X_1 + X_2X_3 + \dots + X_{2n}X_{2n+1} = 0,$
- ▶ contains points, lines, planes, \dots , n -dimensional projective subspaces (called *generators*),
- ▶ a finite classical polar space embedded in $PG(2n + 1, q)$.

The $\text{NO}^+(2n+2, 2)$ -graph

Consider the hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(2n+1, 2)$. Define

- ▶ vertices as the points of $\text{PG}(2n+1, 2) \setminus Q^+(2n+1, 2)$;
- ▶ $x \sim y \iff \langle x, y \rangle$ is a tangent line to $Q^+(2n+1, q)$.
- ▶ $v = 2^{2n+1} - 2^n, k = 2^{2n} - 1, \lambda = 2^{2n-1} - 2, \mu = 2^{2n-1} + 2^{n-1}$.

- ▶ For $n = 3$, this is an $\text{srg}(120, 63, 30, 36)$, with automorphism group $\text{P}\Gamma\text{O}^+(8, 2)$.
- ▶ One example of a large class of graphs, called *Fischer graphs*¹

¹Brouwer-Van Maldeghem, *Strongly Regular Graphs*, chapter 5

Orbital graphs

- ▶ Let G be a group acting transitively on a set Ω .
- ▶ The *orbitals* of G are its orbits on $\Omega \times \Omega$.
- ▶ Each orbital defines a directed graph on Ω .
- ▶ An $\text{srg}(120, 63, 30, 36)$ arises from a rank 7 action of $\text{Sym}(7)$, listed in Brouwer/Van Maldeghem, same parameters as the $\text{NO}^+(8, 2)$ -graph.

Question: can we construct this S_7 -graph on the same vertex set as the $\text{NO}^+(2n + 2, 2)$ -graph?

A geometric description?

Joint work with: Sam Adriaensen, Robert Bailey, Morgan Rodgers.

- ▶ Set up $\text{NO}^+(8, 2)$ in a geometric way.
- ▶ Find copies of S_7 in $\text{Aut}(\text{NO}^+(8, 2))$.
- ▶ Look at orbitals of S_7 on the vertices.
- ▶ Try out combinations of orbitals to see if we find an srg with the same parameters.
- ▶ Look for a geometrical description by exploring its adjacency relation and looking at how the group acts on the other objects.

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$

Key observation

One orbit of S_7 on the generators consists of 7 mutually skew generators, another orbit a pair of mutually skew generators, together making a *spread* of $Q^+(7, q)$.

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$

Let \mathcal{P} be a finite classical polar space.

- ▶ An ovoid of \mathcal{P} is a set \mathcal{O} of points such that every generator meets \mathcal{O} in exactly one point.
- ▶ A spread of \mathcal{P} is a set \mathcal{S} of generators of \mathcal{P} such that every point is contained in exactly one element of \mathcal{S} .

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$

Note

The generators of $Q^+(7, q)$ come in two systems (*greek* and *latin* generators). Two generators belonging to one system meet in projective dimension $-1, 1$, or 3 . Hence a spread consists of generators all belonging to one of the systems.

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$

The quadric $Q^+(7, q)$ allows a *triality*, i.e. a map of order 3, preserving incidence, and mapping

- ▶ lines on lines,
- ▶ points on greeks,
- ▶ greeks on latins,
- ▶ latins on points.

Hence a triality maps a spread of latins on an ovoid, and an ovoid on a spread of latins.

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$

The quadric $Q^+(7, q)$ allows a *triality*, i.e. a map of order 3, preserving incidence, and mapping

- ▶ lines on lines,
- ▶ points on greys,
- ▶ greys on latins,
- ▶ latins on points.

Hence a triality maps a spread of latins on an ovoid, and an ovoid on a spread of latins.

Corollary

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$ are equivalent objects.

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$

The quadric $Q^+(7, q)$ allows a *triality*, i.e. a map of order 3, preserving incidence, and mapping

- ▶ lines on lines,
- ▶ points on greys,
- ▶ greys on latins,
- ▶ latins on points.

Hence a triality maps a spread of latins on an ovoid, and an ovoid on a spread of latins.

Corollary

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$ are equivalent objects.

Existence or non-existence of ovoids (and hence spreads) is not settled for $Q^+(7, q)$.

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$

12.05

p.j. cameron – c.e. praeger

PARTITIONING INTO STEINER SYSTEMS

An *overlarge set* of Steiner systems $S(k-1, k, n)$ is a partition of the set of all k -subsets of an $(n+1)$ -set into such systems (each omitting one point). Brown and Fries showed that there are just two such sets up to isomorphism for $k=4$, $n=8$ both admitting 3-transitive groups. Our purpose here is twofold:

- (i) to give a short proof of this result, using the geometry of the $Q^+(8, 2)$ quadric (including triality);
- (ii) to show the non-existence of overlarge sets of $S(3, 6, 11)$.

1 Preliminaries

Let $P(k, n)$ denote an overlarge set of $S(k-1, k, n)$ systems, that is, a partition of the set of k -subsets of an $(n+1)$ -set into Steiner systems $S(k-1, k, n)$.

PROPOSITION (1) –

(i) $P(k, n)$ consists of $n+1$ Steiner systems, and each point is omitted by one of them.

(ii) If $P(k, n)$ exists, then $P(k-1, n-1)$ exists.

(iii) If $S(k, k+1, n+1)$ exists, then $P(k, n)$ exists.

PROOF – The number of Steiner systems is

$$\binom{n+1}{k} / |S(k-1, k, n)| = n+1.$$

We form the derived system of a $P(k, n)$ with respect to a point p , by taking the derived systems with respect to p of all the Steiner systems involving p . It is easily checked that this is a $P(k-1, n-1)$. Hence by the first sentence (with $n-1$ replacing n), p lies in n of the Steiner systems, and is omitted

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$

An *overlarge set of Steiner systems* $S(k-1, k, n)$ is a partition of the set of all k -subsets of an $(n+1)$ -set into such systems (each omitting one point). Breach and Street showed that there are just two such sets up to isomorphism for $k=4$, $n=8$ both admitting 2-transitive groups. Our purpose here is twofold:

- (i) to give a short proof of this result, using the geometry of the $O^+(8, 2)$ quadric (including triality);
- (ii) to show the non-existence of overlarge sets of $S(5, 6, 12)_s$.

Ovoids and spreads of $Q^+(7, q)$

which maps lines to lines and preserves incidence. If p and q are points, then $p\tau \cap q\tau = \emptyset$ if and only if p and q are not perpendicular. So $Q\tau$ is a set of 9 pairwise disjoint solids, that is, a spread of solids. Every spread arises as the image of an ovoid under τ or τ^2 . Thus the stabiliser of a spread is A_9 .

More fun with spreads and ovoids

From Cameron-Praeger (1991), we know

- ▶ There is a unique spread, with automorphism group A_9 .
- ▶ There are 960 copies of the unique ovoid.
- ▶ There are 2 orbits of ovoids under A_9 :
 - ▶ one orbit O_1 of length 120, each ovoid having stabilizer $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 8)$
 - ▶ one orbit O_2 of length 840, each ovoid having stabilizer $\text{ASL}(2, 3)$

The construction

- ▶ Fix the spread \mathcal{S} . Choose two solids π_1, π_2 . The setwise stabilizer of $\{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S})$ is S_7 .
 - ▶ Any point $p \in \text{PG}(7, 2) \setminus Q^+(7, 2)$ determines a unique point $p_1 \in \pi_1$ and $p_2 \in \pi_2$ and vice versa.
 - ▶ Given two points $p_1 \in \pi_1$ and $p_2 \in \pi_2$, there is a unique ovoid $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}_1$ meeting π_1 in p_1 and π_2 in p_2 .
 - ▶ S_7 acts transitively on the points of $\text{PG}(7, 2) \setminus Q^+(7, 2)$.

The construction

- ▶ A vertex v determines a unique ovoid $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}_1$.
- ▶ The hyperplane v^\perp meets $Q^+(7, 2)$ in a parabolic quadric $Q(6, 2)$, meeting \mathcal{O} in a *maximal partial ovoid* \mathcal{O}' of size 7.
- ▶ Each set $\mathcal{O}'' \subset \mathcal{O}'$ with $|\mathcal{O}''| = 6$ will span a 5-dimensional space Π_5 , and will be a *maximal partial ovoid* of the elliptic quadric $Q^-(5, 2) = \Pi_5 \cap Q^+(7, 2)$.
- ▶ The line Π_5^\perp will contain no points of $Q^+(7, 2)$.

The construction

Let v, w be two different vertices. (Recall: v determines \mathcal{O}' uniquely).
Then $v \sim w$ if

- (a) $\langle v, w \rangle$ is tangent to $Q^+(7, 2)$ and meets $Q^+(7, 2)$ in a point of $\pi_1 \cup \pi_2$; or
- (b) $\langle v, w \rangle$ is tangent to $Q^+(7, 2)$ and meets $Q^+(7, 2)$ in a point of \mathcal{O}' ;
or
- (c) $\langle v, w \rangle$ is a line skew to $Q^+(7, 2)$ and $\langle v, w \rangle^\perp$ does not meet \mathcal{O}' in 6 points.

The construction

Let v, w be two different vertices. (Recall: v determines \mathcal{O}' uniquely).
Then $v \sim w$ if

- (a) $\langle v, w \rangle$ is tangent to $Q^+(7, 2)$ and meets $Q^+(7, 2)$ in a point of $\pi_1 \cup \pi_2$;
This gives 14 adjacencies;
- (b) $\langle v, w \rangle$ is tangent to $Q^+(7, 2)$ and meets $Q^+(7, 2)$ in a point of \mathcal{O}' ;
This gives 7 adjacencies;
- (c) $\langle v, w \rangle$ is a line skew to $Q^+(7, 2)$ and $\langle v, w \rangle^\perp$ does **not** meet \mathcal{O}' in 6 points.

There are 28 lines on v skew to $Q^+(7, 2)$. Because there are exactly 7 sets \mathcal{O}'' of size 6, there are exactly 7 lines l_i on v skew to $Q^+(7, 2)$ such that l_i^\perp meets \mathcal{O}' in such a set \mathcal{O}'' . So there are exactly 21 skew lines satisfying the condition, each line contains 2 vertices adjacent to v , hence 42 adjacencies.

The graph $\mathcal{G}_n(q)$

Consider the hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(2n+1, q)$. Fix a generator Π . Define

- ▶ vertices as the points of $Q^+(2n+1, q) \setminus \Pi$;
- ▶ $x \sim_1 y \iff \langle x, y \rangle$ is a secant line to $Q^+(2n+1, q)$;
- ▶ $x \sim_2 y \iff \langle x, y \rangle \subset q^+(2n+1, q)$ and $\langle x, y \rangle$ meet Π in a point.
- ▶ $\sim = \sim_1 \cup \sim_2$.

The graph $\mathcal{G}_n(q)$

The graph $\mathcal{G}_n(q)$ is a strongly regular graph with parameters

$$v = \frac{(q^n+1)(q^{n+1}-1)}{q-1} - \frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1} = \frac{q^n(q^{n+1}-1)}{q-1}, k = q^{2n} - 1,$$
$$\lambda = q^{2n-1}(q-1) - 2 \text{ and } \mu = (q^{2n-1} + q^{n-1})(q-1).$$

The graph $\mathcal{G}_n(q)$

The graphs $\mathcal{G}_n(2)$ and $\text{NO}^+(2n+2, 2)$ have the same parameters.

The graph $\mathcal{G}_n(q)$

The graphs $\mathcal{G}_n(2)$ and $\text{NO}^+(2n+2, 2)$ have the same parameters.

Romaniello and Smaldore

The graphs $\mathcal{G}_3(2)$ and $\text{NO}^+(6, 2)$ are isomorphic

Cliques of $NO^+(8, 2)$

Delsarte clique bound

Let Γ be a strongly regular graph with regularity k and smallest eigenvalue λ . Then the size of a clique in Γ is at most $1 - \frac{k}{\lambda}$.

The size of a clique in $NO^+(8, 2)$ and $\mathcal{G}_3(2)$ is at most 8.

Brouwer-Van Maldeghem

All maximal cliques of $NO^+(2n + 2, 2)$ appear as follows. Let π be an n -space of $PG(2n + 1, q)$ meeting $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ in an $(n - 1)$ -space. The 2^n points of $\pi \setminus Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ are a clique of size 2^n , necessarily maximal, and no other maximal cliques exist in $NO^+(2n + 2, 2)$.

Cliques of $\mathcal{G}_3(2)$

Joint work with Antonio Cossidente, Giuseppe Marino, Francesco Pavese, Valentino Smaldore

# of cliques	Size	Adjacencies	Geometric description
10752	5	1	$Q^-(3, 2) \subseteq Q^+(7, 2)$ not meeting Π_∞
960	8	1	Cameron-Praeger ovoid [5]
15	8	2	Generators of $Q^+(7, 2)$ meeting Π_∞ in a plane
840	8	mixed	Cones $PQ^-(3, 2)$, $P \in \Pi_\infty$, meeting Π_∞ in a line
210	8	mixed	Cones $\ell Q^+(1, 2)$, $\ell \in \Pi_\infty$, meeting Π_∞ in ℓ