

*The probability of two subspaces
spanning a classical space*

Geertrui Van de Voorde

University of Canterbury

Joint work with Maarten De Boeck

*Finite Geometries (7th Irsee conference)
1–5 September 2025, Irsee, Germany.*

LET'S DO SOME COUNTING

- ▶ Given a point P in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from P ?

LET'S DO SOME COUNTING

- ▶ Given a point P in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from P ?

There are $q^2 + q + 1 - (q + 1) = q^2$ lines disjoint from a given point

LET'S DO SOME COUNTING

- ▶ Given a point P in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from P ?

There are $q^2 + q + 1 - (q + 1) = q^2$ lines disjoint from a given point (and this is **almost all** lines of $\text{PG}(2, q)$).

LET'S DO SOME COUNTING

- ▶ Given a point P in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from P ?

There are $q^2 + q + 1 - (q + 1) = q^2$ lines disjoint from a given point (and this is **almost all** lines of $\text{PG}(2, q)$).

- ▶ Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

SOLUTION 1: DIRECT COUNT

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

SOLUTION 1: DIRECT COUNT

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

- ▶ There are $(q^2 + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)$ lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$

SOLUTION 1: DIRECT COUNT

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

- ▶ There are $(q^2 + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)$ lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$
- ▶ $1 + (q + 1)(q^2 + q)$ of those meet L .

SOLUTION 1: DIRECT COUNT

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

- ▶ There are $(q^2 + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)$ lines in $\text{PG}(3, q)$
- ▶ $1 + (q + 1)(q^2 + q)$ of those meet L .
- ▶ So, there are $(q^2 + 1)(q^2 + q + 1) - (q + 1)(q^2 + q) - 1 = q^4$ lines disjoint from L (and this is almost all lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$.)

SOLUTION 2: LOOK IT UP

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

SOLUTION 2: LOOK IT UP

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

LEMMA (B. SEGRE 1961 – LECTURES ON MODERN GEOMETRY)

The number of $(j - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of $\text{PG}(n - 1, q)$ disjoint from a given $(k - 1)$ -space equals

$$q^{kj} \begin{bmatrix} n - k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

$$\text{where } \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \dots (q^{n-k+1} - 1)}{(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1} - 1) \dots (q - 1)}.$$

SOLUTION 2: LOOK IT UP

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

LEMMA (B. SEGRE 1961 – LECTURES ON MODERN GEOMETRY)

The number of $(j - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of $\text{PG}(n - 1, q)$ disjoint from a given $(k - 1)$ -space equals

$$q^{kj} \begin{bmatrix} n - k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

$$\text{where } \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \dots (q^{n-k+1} - 1)}{(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1} - 1) \dots (q - 1)}.$$

Here, $j = k = 2$, $n = 4$, so there are $q^{2 \cdot 2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q = q^4$ lines disjoint from a given line in $\text{PG}(3, q)$.

SOLUTION 3: DOUBLE COUNT

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

SOLUTION 3: DOUBLE COUNT

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

- ▶ Count pairs (M, π) where M is any line disjoint from L , and π is any plane through M .

SOLUTION 3: DOUBLE COUNT

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

- ▶ Count pairs (M, π) where M is any line disjoint from L , and π is any plane through M .
- ▶ We find $X \cdot (q + 1) =$

SOLUTION 3: DOUBLE COUNT

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

- ▶ Count pairs (M, π) where M is any line disjoint from L , and π is any plane through M .

- ▶ We find $X \cdot (q + 1) =$

(# planes π through L) $\cdot 0$

+ (# planes π not through L) \cdot (# lines in π disjoint from $\pi \cap L$)

SOLUTION 3: DOUBLE COUNT

Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many lines are disjoint from L ?

- ▶ Count pairs (M, π) where M is any line disjoint from L , and π is any plane through M .

- ▶ We find $X \cdot (q + 1) =$

$(\# \text{ planes } \pi \text{ through } L) \cdot 0$

$+ (\# \text{ planes } \pi \text{ not through } L) \cdot (\# \text{ lines in } \pi \text{ disjoint from } \pi \cap L)$

- ▶ Recursion

MOTIVATION



The probability of spanning a classical space by two non-degenerate subspaces of complementary dimensions

S.P. Glasby^a  , Alice C. Niemeyer^{a b} , Cheryl E. Praeger^a 

The problem that we address in this paper arises from algorithmic considerations connected with computations in finite classical groups.



The probability of spanning a classical space by two non-degenerate subspaces of complementary dimensions

S.P. Glasby^a  , Alice C. Niemeyer^{a b} , Cheryl E. Praeger^a 

*The problem that we address in this paper arises from **algorithmic considerations connected with computations in finite classical groups**. In order to show that two isometries, each leaving invariant a non-degenerate proper subspace, generate a classical group with high probability **a fundamental problem arises**:*

MOTIVATION

*... show that, with **high probability**, for a vector space V endowed with a classical form,*

MOTIVATION

*... show that, with **high probability**, for a vector space V endowed with a classical form, **two random non-degenerate subspaces whose dimensions sum to $\dim(V)$, are complements of each other.***

RECENT RESULTS

SAMPLE THEOREM FROM GLASBY, IHRINGER, MATTHEUS (2023):

Theorem 5.2 *Suppose $V = (\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{e_1+e_2}$ is an $(e_1 + e_2)$ -dimensional hermitian space where $e_1, e_2 \geq 1$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let Y_i denote the set of all non-degenerate e_i -spaces of V . The proportion of pairs $(S_1, S_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$ for which $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$ is at least $1 - \frac{c}{q^2}$ where $c = 2$ when $(e_1, e_2, q) = (1, 1, 2)$, $c = \frac{3}{2}$ when $\min\{e_1, e_2\} = 1$ and $(e_1, e_2, q) \neq (1, 1, 2)$, and $c = 1.26$ otherwise.*

RECENT RESULTS

SAMPLE THEOREM FROM GLASBY, IHRINGER, MATTHEUS (2023):

Theorem 5.2 *Suppose $V = (\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{e_1+e_2}$ is an $(e_1 + e_2)$ -dimensional hermitian space where $e_1, e_2 \geq 1$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let Y_i denote the set of all non-degenerate e_i -spaces of V . The proportion of pairs $(S_1, S_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$ for which $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$ is at least $1 - \frac{c}{q^2}$ where $c = 2$ when $(e_1, e_2, q) = (1, 1, 2)$, $c = \frac{3}{2}$ when $\min\{e_1, e_2\} = 1$ and $(e_1, e_2, q) \neq (1, 1, 2)$, and $c = 1.26$ otherwise.*

Similar results in

- ▶ Glasby S.P., Niemeyer A.C., Praeger C.E.: The probability of spanning a classical space by two non-degenerate subspaces of complementary dimensions. *Finite Fields Their Appl.* 82, 102055 (2022).
- ▶ Glasby S.P., Niemeyer A.C., Praeger C.E.: Random generation of direct sums of finite non-degenerate subspaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* *Linear Algebra Appl.* 649, 408–432 (2022).

MOTIVATION

Naive approach:

MOTIVATION

Naive approach: the probability that a pair of two random subspaces is 'good' is

$$\frac{\text{number of 'good' pairs of subspaces}}{\text{total number of pairs of subspaces}}.$$

So...we should 'simply' count these quantities.

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- ▶ A **formed space** is a vector space together with a sesquilinear form.
- ▶ Comes in symmetric, symplectic, hermitian type (\rightsquigarrow orthogonal, symplectic, unitary groups)

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- ▶ A **formed space** is a vector space together with a sesquilinear form.
- ▶ Comes in symmetric, symplectic, hermitian type (\rightsquigarrow orthogonal, symplectic, unitary groups)
- ▶ Totally isotropic points define the **classical polar spaces**: quadrics, symplectic spaces, Hermitian varieties.

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- ▶ Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many secant (resp. passant) lines of a given $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$ are disjoint from L ?

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- ▶ Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many secant (resp. passant) lines of a given $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$ are disjoint from L ?
- ▶ Depends on L being secant, passant, tangent to $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- ▶ Given a line L in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, how many secant (resp. passant) lines of a given $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$ are disjoint from L ?
- ▶ Depends on L being secant, passant, tangent to $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$
- ▶ We can double count as before.
- ▶ But is there a known formula (for general dimension)?

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- ▶ What is the number of points on a quadric or Hermitian variety?

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- What is the number of points on a quadric or Hermitian variety?

WIKIPEDIA HAS THE ANSWER (NON-SINGULAR FORMS)

Form	$n + 1$	Name	Notation	Number of points	Collineation group
Alternating	$2r$	Symplectic	$W(2r - 1, q)$	$(q^r + 1)\theta_{r-1}(q)$	$\mathrm{PGSp}(2r, q)$
Hermitian	$2r$	Hermitian	$H(2r - 1, q)$	$(q^{r-1/2} + 1)\theta_{r-1}(q)$	$\mathrm{PGU}(2r, q)$
Hermitian	$2r + 1$	Hermitian	$H(2r, q)$	$(q^{r+1/2} + 1)\theta_{r-1}(q)$	$\mathrm{PGU}(2r + 1, q)$
Quadratic	$2r$	Hyperbolic	$Q^+(2r - 1, q)$	$(q^{r-1} + 1)\theta_{r-1}(q)$	$\mathrm{PGO}^+(2r, q)$
Quadratic	$2r + 1$	Parabolic	$Q(2r, q)$	$(q^r + 1)\theta_{r-1}(q)$	$\mathrm{PGO}(2r + 1, q)$
Quadratic	$2r + 2$	Elliptic	$Q^-(2r + 1, q)$	$(q^{r+1} + 1)\theta_{r-1}(q)$	$\mathrm{PGO}^-(2r + 2, q)$

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

For subspaces of higher dimensions?

- ▶ An *i -singular j -space* corresponds to a cone with $(i - 1)$ -dimensional vertex and base a non-singular $(j - i - 1)$ quadric/Hermitian variety/symplectic space.

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

For subspaces of higher dimensions?

- ▶ An *i*-singular *j*-space corresponds to a cone with $(i - 1)$ -dimensional vertex and base a non-singular $(j - i - 1)$ quadric/Hermitian variety/symplectic space.

EXAMPLE: $n = 4, j = 3$, QUADRATIC FORM OF HYPERBOLIC TYPE

j-spaces correspond to planes meeting $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

For subspaces of higher dimensions?

- ▶ An i -singular j -space corresponds to a cone with $(i - 1)$ -dimensional vertex and base a non-singular $(j - i - 1)$ quadric/Hermitian variety/symplectic space.

EXAMPLE: $n = 4, j = 3$, QUADRATIC FORM OF HYPERBOLIC TYPE

j -spaces correspond to planes meeting $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$

- ▶ either 0-singular (plane meets in conic) or
- ▶ 1-singular (plane meets in 2 intersecting lines).

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- ▶ What is the number of *i-singular* j -spaces w.r.t. a form over a finite field?

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- ▶ What is the number of *i-singular* j -spaces w.r.t. a form over a finite field?

This is a classical result (see books of Hirschfeld or Wan).

ANZAHL THEOREMS FOR FORMED SPACES

- What is the number of *i*-singular *j*-spaces w.r.t. a form over a finite field?

This is a classical result (see books of Hirschfeld or Wan).

EXAMPLE THEOREM

Let $\alpha_{i,j,n}$ be the number of *i*-singular *j*-spaces w.r.t. a hermitian form on \mathbb{F}_q^n (q square). For $0 \leq i \leq \min\{j, n-j\}$ and $j \leq n$ we have that

$$\alpha_{i,j,n} = q^{(j-i)(n-j-i)} \frac{\varphi_{j-i+1,n}^-(q)}{\varphi_{1,n-j-i}^-(q) \psi_{1,i}^-(q^2)} .$$

Here

$$\varphi_{a,b}^-(q) = \prod_{k=a}^b (q^k - (-1)^k) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{a,b}^-(q) = \prod_{k=a}^b (q^k - 1)$$

BACK TO THE BEGINNING

THE GOAL

Given a non-degenerate polar space in $\text{PG}(n, q)$

BACK TO THE BEGINNING

THE GOAL

Given a non-degenerate polar space in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ find the proportion of **pairs** (U, V) where U, V are **disjoint non-singular subspaces** of dimensions j and $n - j$

BACK TO THE BEGINNING

THE GOAL

Given a non-degenerate polar space in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ find the proportion of **pairs** (U, V) where U, V are **disjoint non-singular subspaces** of dimensions j and $n - j$ **among all pairs** of non-singular subspaces of dimensions j and $n - j$.

BACK TO THE BEGINNING

THE GOAL

Given a non-degenerate polar space in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ find the proportion of **pairs** (U, V) where U, V are **disjoint non-singular subspaces** of dimensions j and $n - j$ **among all pairs** of non-singular subspaces of dimensions j and $n - j$.

BY TRANSITIVITY

We can fix U and **look for the number of V 's disjoint from U .**

THE FORMULA

Given π , a non-singular $(j - 1)$ -space, count pairs (τ, σ) with τ a non-singular $(n - j)$ -space disjoint from π σ a non-singular hyperplane through τ .

THE FORMULA

Given π , a non-singular $(j - 1)$ -space, count pairs (τ, σ) with τ a non-singular $(n - j)$ -space disjoint from π and σ a non-singular hyperplane through τ .

DEFINITION

Consider a non-degenerate hermitian form f on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$.

- ▶ $\alpha_{i,j,n}$ is the number of i -singular j -spaces π .

THE FORMULA

Given π , a non-singular $(j - 1)$ -space, count pairs (τ, σ) with τ a non-singular $(n - j)$ -space disjoint from π and σ a non-singular hyperplane through τ .

DEFINITION

Consider a non-degenerate hermitian form f on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$.

- ▶ $\alpha_{i,j,n}$ is the number of i -singular j -spaces π .
- ▶ $\beta_{i,j,n}$ is the number of non-singular hyperplanes $\sigma \supseteq \pi$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$.

THE FORMULA

Given π , a non-singular $(j - 1)$ -space, count pairs (τ, σ) with τ a non-singular $(n - j)$ -space disjoint from π σ a non-singular hyperplane through τ .

DEFINITION

Consider a non-degenerate hermitian form f on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$.

- ▶ $\alpha_{i,j,n}$ is the number of i -singular j -spaces π .
- ▶ $\beta_{i,j,n}$ is the number of non-singular hyperplanes $\sigma \supseteq \pi$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$.
- ▶ $\gamma_{i,j,n}$ is the number of non-singular $n - j$ -spaces σ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ such that $\sigma \cap \pi$ is trivial.

THE FORMULA

Given π , a non-singular $(j - 1)$ -space, count pairs (τ, σ) with τ a non-singular $(n - j)$ -space disjoint from π and σ a non-singular hyperplane through τ .

DEFINITION

Consider a non-degenerate hermitian form f on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$.

- ▶ $\alpha_{i,j,n}$ is the number of i -singular j -spaces π .
- ▶ $\beta_{i,j,n}$ is the number of non-singular hyperplanes $\sigma \supseteq \pi$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$.
- ▶ $\gamma_{i,j,n}$ is the number of non-singular $n - j$ -spaces σ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ such that $\sigma \cap \pi$ is trivial.

We have a formula for α . β follows from elementary double counting. The goal is a formula for $\gamma_{0,j,n}$.

THE FORMULA

NOT SO ELEMENTARY DOUBLE COUNTING

We count the tuples (σ, τ) with σ a non-singular hyperplane,
and $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ a non-singular $(n - j)$ -space disjoint from π .

THE FORMULA

NOT SO ELEMENTARY DOUBLE COUNTING

We count the tuples (σ, τ) with σ a non-singular hyperplane, and $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ a non-singular $(n-j)$ -space disjoint from π .

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{i,j,n}\beta_{0,n-j,n} &= \alpha_{1,j-i-1,j-i}(\beta_{i+1,j-1,n} - \beta_{i,j,n})\gamma_{i+1,j-1,n-1} \\ &\quad + \alpha_{0,j-i-1,j-i}(\beta_{i,j-1,n} - \beta_{i,j,n})\gamma_{i,j-1,n-1} \\ &\quad + \left(\begin{bmatrix} j \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} - \begin{bmatrix} j-i \\ j-i-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \right) (\beta_{i-1,j-1,n} - \beta_{i,j,n})\gamma_{i-1,j-1,n-1}\end{aligned}$$

THE METHOD SUMMARISED

- ▶ Derive a recursion formula for γ
- ▶ 'Guess' the closed formula
- ▶ Prove the formula by induction
- ▶ Main work was for complementary case, the general formula follows by another double counting argument.

THE METHOD SUMMARISED

- ▶ Derive a recursion formula for γ
- ▶ 'Guess' the closed formula
- ▶ Prove the formula by induction
- ▶ Main work was for complementary case, the general formula follows by another double counting argument.

The motivation was to find a formula for the 0-singular case but this method requires us to derive a formula for all i -singular cases at once.

THE RESULTS (UNITARY CASE)

Theorem 3.7. *For $0 \leq i \leq \min\{j, n-j\}$, $j \leq n-1$ and $k \leq n-j$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{i,j,n,k} &= \beta_{i,j,n,k+j} \gamma_{i,j,k+j} \\ &= q^{(n-k-j)(k+i)+2jk-\binom{j+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-j-i \\ n-k-j \end{bmatrix}_q \varphi_{1,i}^+(q) \sum_{m=0}^{j-i} (-1)^{mk} \varphi_{i+1,j-m}^+(q) \begin{bmatrix} j-i \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{m}{2}-m(k-i)} \end{aligned}$$

THE RESULTS (UNITARY CASE)

Theorem 3.7. For $0 \leq i \leq \min\{j, n-j\}$, $j \leq n-1$ and $k \leq n-j$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{i,j,n,k} &= \beta_{i,j,n,k+j} \gamma_{i,j,k+j} \\ &= q^{(n-k-j)(k+i)+2jk-\binom{j+1}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} n-j-i \\ n-k-j \end{matrix} \right]_q^{-} \varphi_{1,i}^+(q) \sum_{m=0}^{j-i} (-1)^{mk} \varphi_{i+1,j-m}^+(q) \left[\begin{matrix} j-i \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_q^{-} q^{\binom{m}{2}-m(k-i)} \end{aligned}$$

- *The formula for the exact proportion of good subspaces now follows directly.*

Theorem 3.9. For integers j, k, n with $0 \leq j, k \leq n-1$ and $j+k \leq n$, we have

$$\rho_{j,k,n} = q^{jk-\binom{j+1}{2}} \frac{\varphi_{n-j-k+1,n-k}^-(q)}{\varphi_{n-j+1,n}^-(q)} \sum_{m=0}^j (-1)^{mk} \varphi_{1,j-m}^+(q) \left[\begin{matrix} j \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_q^{-} q^{\binom{m}{2}-mk}$$

THE RESULTS (UNITARY CASE)

Theorem 3.7. For $0 \leq i \leq \min\{j, n-j\}$, $j \leq n-1$ and $k \leq n-j$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{i,j,n,k} &= \beta_{i,j,n,k+j} \gamma_{i,j,k+j} \\ &= q^{(n-k-j)(k+i)+2jk-\binom{j+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-j-i \\ n-k-j \end{bmatrix}_q^{-} \varphi_{1,i}^+(q) \sum_{m=0}^{j-i} (-1)^{mk} \varphi_{i+1,j-m}^+(q) \begin{bmatrix} j-i \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q^{-} q^{\binom{m}{2}-m(k-i)} \end{aligned}$$

- *The formula for the exact proportion of good subspaces now follows directly.*

Theorem 3.9. For integers j, k, n with $0 \leq j, k \leq n-1$ and $j+k \leq n$, we have

$$\rho_{j,k,n} = q^{jk-\binom{j+1}{2}} \frac{\varphi_{n-j-k+1,n-k}^-(q)}{\varphi_{n-j+1,n}^-(q)} \sum_{m=0}^j (-1)^{mk} \varphi_{1,j-m}^+(q) \begin{bmatrix} j \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q^{-} q^{\binom{m}{2}-mk}$$

REMARK

Getting from the exact proportion to a bound of the form $1 - c \frac{1}{q^2}$ is still a lot of work.

THE OTHER CASES

- ▶ **Unitary** case forms the blueprint of the main idea

THE OTHER CASES

- ▶ **Unitary** case forms the blueprint of the main idea
- ▶ **Symplectic** case: a bit more complicated because the need to count with subspaces of codimension 2

THE OTHER CASES

- ▶ **Unitary** case forms the blueprint of the main idea
- ▶ **Symplectic** case: a bit more complicated because the need to count with subspaces of codimension 2
- ▶ **Orthogonal** case, odd characteristic: *much* more complicated because of elliptic, parabolic, and hyperbolic types, and the fact that the group can have more than one orbit on i -singular spaces.

THE OTHER CASES

- ▶ **Unitary** case forms the blueprint of the main idea
- ▶ **Symplectic** case: a bit more complicated because the need to count with subspaces of codimension 2
- ▶ **Orthogonal** case, odd characteristic: *much* more complicated because of elliptic, parabolic, and hyperbolic types, and the fact that the group can have more than one orbit on i -singular spaces. There are **four recursion formulae** depending on the parity of i, j, n so four different formula for $\gamma_{(i,j,\delta,\lambda),(n,\epsilon),(n-j,\zeta)}$.

THE OTHER CASES

SAMPLE THEOREM IN ORTHOGONAL CASE (DE BOECK-VdV)

Theorem 4.19. *Let n, j, i be integers with $0 \leq i \leq j$ and $i + j \leq n$, such that n is even and j is odd. Let $\delta \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $\varepsilon, \lambda \in \{\pm 1\}$ with $j - i - \delta \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. If i is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{(i,j,\delta),(n,\varepsilon),(n-j,0),\varepsilon} &= q^{\frac{3}{2}jn - \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{5}{4}j^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}j - \frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\frac{n-j-i}{2}} \chi_{1, \frac{n-j+1}{2}-m}(q) \left[\frac{\frac{n-j-i}{2} - 1}{m} \right]_{q^2} q^{m(m-j+i+1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\delta q^{\frac{1}{2}i - \frac{1}{2}j} - \varepsilon q^{\frac{1}{2}n-j} + \delta \varepsilon q^{\frac{1}{2}n - \frac{3}{2}j + \frac{1}{2}i} \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{n-j-i}{2}-1} \chi_{1, \frac{n-j-1}{2}-m}(q) \left[\frac{\frac{n-j-i}{2} - 1}{m} \right]_{q^2} q^{m(m-j+i+1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

If i is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{(i,j,0),(n,\varepsilon),(n-j,0),\varepsilon} &= q^{\frac{3}{2}jn - \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{5}{4}j^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}j - \frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\frac{n-j-i-1}{2}} \chi_{1, \frac{n-j+1}{2}-m}(q) \left[\frac{\frac{n-j-i-1}{2}}{m} \right]_{q^2} q^{m(m-j+i)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \varepsilon q^{\frac{1}{2}n-j} \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{n-j-i-1}{2}} \chi_{1, \frac{n-j-1}{2}-m}(q) \left[\frac{\frac{n-j-i-1}{2}}{m} \right]_{q^2} q^{m(m-j+i)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

SOME CONCLUDING REMARKS

- ▶ GAP was used to verify small cases (for fixed q)

SOME CONCLUDING REMARKS

- ▶ GAP was used to verify small cases (for fixed q)
- ▶ Maple came in handy to help guess the closed formula (for general q)

SOME CONCLUDING REMARKS

- ▶ GAP was used to verify small cases (for fixed q)
- ▶ Maple came in handy to help guess the closed formula (for general q)
- ▶ We prove that our solution satisfies the recursion by induction.

SOME CONCLUDING REMARKS

- ▶ GAP was used to verify small cases (for fixed q)
- ▶ Maple came in handy to help guess the closed formula (for general q)
- ▶ We prove that our solution satisfies the recursion by induction.
- ▶ Unfortunately, we didn't manage to get Maple/Sage to actually do the induction proof, partially because of 'tricks' like this:

Lemma 2.10. *For integers $b \geq a \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q = q^a \begin{bmatrix} b-1 \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} b-1 \\ a-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} b-1 \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q + q^{b-a} \begin{bmatrix} b-1 \\ a-1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

SOME CONCLUDING REMARKS

- ▶ GAP was used to verify small cases (for fixed q)
- ▶ Maple came in handy to help guess the closed formula (for general q)
- ▶ We prove that our solution satisfies the recursion by induction.
- ▶ Unfortunately, we didn't manage to get Maple/Sage to actually do the induction proof, partially because of 'tricks' like this:

Lemma 2.10. *For integers $b \geq a \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q = q^a \begin{bmatrix} b-1 \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} b-1 \\ a-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} b-1 \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q + q^{b-a} \begin{bmatrix} b-1 \\ a-1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

- ▶ Orthogonal case, **even characteristic**: six different recursion formulae...

Thank you!



M. De Boeck and G. Van de Voorde. Anzahl theorems for trivially intersecting subspaces generating a non-singular subspace I: symplectic and hermitian forms. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **699** (2024), 367–402.



M. De Boeck and G. Van de Voorde. Anzahl theorems for disjoint subspaces generating a non-degenerate subspace: quadratic forms. *Combinatorial Theory* (2025), **5 (2)**, # 12.

EXAMPLE: $i = 0, j = 3, k = 2, \zeta = \lambda = 1$ AND $n = 5$ (SO $\delta = \epsilon = \eta = 0$)

Then we are looking for the proportion of pairs of **conic planes** of perp type 1 and **secant lines** to a parabolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}(4, q)$ which span the entire space, among all such pairs. This proportion is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{(3,0,1),(2,1),(5,0),0} &= \frac{\gamma_{(0,3,0,1),(5,0),(2,1),0}}{\alpha_{(0,2,1),(5,0)}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{q} \frac{q^3 + 2q^2 + q - 2}{(q+1)(q^2+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\rho_{(3,0,1),(2,1),(5,0),0} > 1 - \frac{23}{20} \frac{1}{q}$ for $q \geq 3$.