## STAT 563 - Midterm

Maggie Buffum May 14, 2019

Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{10}$  is a random sample from a distribution having pdf of the form

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda x^{\lambda - 1}, & 0 < x < 1\\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

1. Find the best critical region of level 0.05 for testing  $H_0: \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  against  $H_1: \lambda = 1$ .

Since both the null and alternative hypotheses are simple hypotheses, we can use the Neyman-Pearson Lemma to determine the best critical region of size  $\alpha = 0.05$ . The likelihood function for  $\lambda$  is

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} \lambda x_i^{\lambda - 1} = \lambda^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^{10} x_i \right)^{\lambda - 1}$$

and the ratio of likelihoods under the null and alternative is

$$\frac{L(\lambda_0)}{L(\lambda_1)} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^{10} x_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-1}}{(1)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^{10} x_i\right)^{1-1}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^{10} x_i\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} < k$$

But this doesn't have a known distribution. Instead, consider that the inequality is equivalent to

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(x_i) < k'$$

Note that the  $X_i$ 's follow a Beta $(\lambda, 1)$  distribution:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(1)}x^{\lambda-1}(1-x)^{1-1} = \frac{\lambda\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}x^{\lambda-1} = \lambda x^{\lambda-1}$$

for 0 < x < 1, assuming  $\lambda > 0$ . We already know that if  $X \sim \text{Beta}(\lambda, 1)$ , then  $-\ln(X) = Y \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ . Furthermore,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \frac{1}{\lambda})$ . Under the null hypothesis,  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  such that the test statistic is the special case of the gamma random variable where  $\alpha = p/2 \implies n = p/2 \implies p = 2n$  and  $\beta = 2$ , that is, a chi-squared random variable with degrees of freedom p. Now, substituting n = 10 as given, we have that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i) \sim \chi_{20}^2 < k'$$

If the test statistic is less than k' then there is sufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis. At the 5% significance level, k'=10.8508114.

2. Find the power of the test in (1)

The power of a test is  $1 - P(\text{Fail to Reject } H_0|H_1 \text{ is true}) = P(\text{Reject } H_0|H_1 \text{ is true})$ . From part (1) we will reject  $H_0$  when  $-\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i < 10.8508114$ . Let  $t = -\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i)$ . We are looking for

$$P(\text{Reject } H_0|H_1 \text{ is true}) = P(t < 10.85081|\lambda = 1)$$

Under the alternative hypothesis,  $-\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i) \sim \text{Gamma}(10,1)$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Power} = P(t < 10.85081 | \lambda = 1) = \int_0^{10.85081} \frac{1}{\Gamma(10)1^{10}} t^{10-1} e^{-t/1} dt = \frac{1}{(10-1)!} \int_0^{10.85081} t^9 e^{-t} dt$$

```
integrand <- function(t) 1/(factorial(9)) * t^9 * exp(-t)
power <- integrate(integrand,lower = 0,upper = qchisq(0.05,20))</pre>
```

which integrates numerically as 0.6430816.

3. Is your answer from (1) uniformly most powerful for testing  $H_0: \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  against  $H_1: \lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ ?

Note that the ratio of likelihoods under the null and under the alternative is a monotone-increasing function of x under the range of x given (0 < x < 1). Therefore, by the Karlin-Rubin theorem,  $T = -\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i)$  is a UMP level  $\alpha$  test.

4. Find the Cramer-Rao lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $\lambda$ .

The Cramer-Rao lower bound is defined as

$$CRLB = \frac{1}{nI(\lambda)}$$
, where  $I(\lambda) = -E\left[\frac{d^2 \ln(f(x|\lambda))}{d\lambda^2}\right]$ 

First let's find the information criterion:

$$\begin{split} &\ln(f(x|\lambda)) = \ln(\lambda) + (\lambda - 1)\ln(x) \\ &\frac{d\ln(f(x|\lambda))}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \ln(x) \\ &\frac{d^2\ln(f(x|\lambda))}{d\lambda^2} = \frac{-1}{\lambda^2} \\ &I(\lambda) = -E\left[\frac{-1}{\lambda^2}\right] = E[\lambda^{-2}] = \lambda^{-2} \end{split}$$

Now, the Cramer-Rao Lower Bound is:

$$CRLB = \frac{1}{nI(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{n\lambda^{-2}} = \frac{\lambda^2}{n}$$

5. Find the MVUE of  $\lambda$ .

Consider that the likelihood function can be written as

$$\lambda^n e^{(\lambda - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i)} = \lambda^n e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i)} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i)}$$

so that clearly,  $T(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(X_i)$  is a complete and sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ . If we can find some function of T(X) that is also an unbiased estimator for  $\lambda$ , then we know it is MVUE. Let's take the expected value of T(X). We've already shown that  $T(X) \sim \text{Gamma}(10, \frac{1}{\lambda})$ , so consider the following function of T(X):

$$E[T(X)^{-1}] = \frac{\lambda \Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n)} = \frac{\lambda \Gamma(n-1)}{(n-1)\Gamma(n-1)} = \frac{\lambda}{n-1}$$

which is biased. But  $(n-1)[T(X)^{-1}] = (9)[T(X)^{-1}]$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\lambda$ , so it is MVUE.

## 6. Show that the MVUE of $\lambda$ is asymptotically efficient.

From part (5) we know that  $\hat{\lambda}_{MVUE} = (n-1)T(X)^{-1}$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\lambda$ :

$$E[(n-1)T(X)^{-1}] = (n-1)E[T(X)^{-1}] = (n-1)\frac{\lambda\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n-1)} = (n-1)\frac{\lambda\Gamma(n-1)}{(n-1)\Gamma(n-1)} = \lambda$$

We need to find its variance in order to test for asymptotic efficiency.

$$Var(\hat{\lambda}_{MVUE}) = Var((n-1)T(X)^{-1}) = (n-1)^2 Var(T(X)^{-1})$$

where  $Var(T(X)^{-1}) = E[T(X)^{-2}] - (E[T(X)^{-1}])^2$ :

$$E[T(X)^{-2}] = \frac{\lambda^2 \Gamma(n-2)}{\Gamma(n)} = \frac{\lambda^2 \Gamma(n-2)}{(n-1)(n-2)\Gamma(n-2)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$$

and

$$(E[T(X)^{-1}])^2 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{n-1}\right)^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{(n-1)^2}$$

such that

$$Var(T(X)^{-1}) = \frac{\lambda^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} - \frac{\lambda^2}{(n-1)^2}$$
$$= \frac{(n-1)\lambda^2 - (n-2)\lambda^2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)}$$
$$= \frac{n\lambda^2 - \lambda^2 - n\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)}$$
$$= \frac{\lambda^2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)}$$

and finally,

$$Var(\hat{\lambda}_{MVUE}) = (n-1)^2 Var(T(X)^{-1}) = (n-1)^2 \frac{\lambda^2}{(n-1)^2 (n-2)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{n-2}$$

Now we can find the asymptotic efficiency of  $\hat{\lambda}_{MVUE}$ :

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{CRLB}{Var(\hat{\lambda}_{MVUE})}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\frac{\lambda^2}{n}}{\frac{\lambda^2}{n-2}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n-2}{n}=1$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\lambda}_{MVUE}$  is asymptotically efficient.