#### This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.

| Name o   | f Certifying Engineer(s): Romain TRILLING       |
|----------|---|
| Email of | Certifying Engineer(s): romain.trilling@st.com  |
| Name(s   | ) of System Under Test:                         |
| 1        | NUCLEO-L4R5ZI, NUCLEO-H7A3ZI-Q, NUCLEO-U575ZI-Q |
| Division | (check one):                                    |
|          | Open  |

### Category (check one):

- **☑** Available
- □ Preview

☑ Closed

☐ Research, Development, and Internal (RDI)

### Benchmark(s) (check all that apply):

- **☑** Visual Wake Words
- **☑** Keyword Spotting
- **☑** Anomaly Detection
- ☑ Image Classification

Please fill in the following table adding lines as necessary:

| System Under Test Name | Benchmark                 | Accuracy/AUC |
|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| NUCLEO_L4R5ZI          | Anomaly Detection (AD)    | 77.8% / 0.86 |
| NUCLEO_L4R5ZI          | Image classification (IC) | 87.0% / 0.98 |
| NUCLEO_L4R5ZI          | Keyword Spotting (KWS)    | 90.2% / 0.99 |
| NUCLEO_L4R5ZI          | Visual Wake Words (VWW)   | 85.2% / 0.94 |
| NUCLEO-H7A3ZI-Q        | Anomaly Detection (AD)    | 77.8% / 0.86 |
| NUCLEO-H7A3ZI-Q        | Image classification (IC) | 87.0% / 0.98 |
| NUCLEO-H7A3ZI-Q        | Keyword Spotting (KWS)    | 90.2% / 0.99 |
| NUCLEO-H7A3ZI-Q        | Visual Wake Words (VWW)   | 85.2% / 0.94 |
| NUCLEO-U575ZI-Q        | Anomaly Detection (AD)    | 77.8% / 0.86 |
| NUCLEO-U575ZI-Q        | Image classification (IC) | 87.0% / 0.98 |
| NUCLEO-U575ZI-Q        | Keyword Spotting (KWS)    | 90.2% / 0.99 |
| NUCLEO-U575ZI-Q        | Visual Wake Words (VWW)   | 85.2% / 0.94 |

| division             | ch SOT, is the benchmark Accuracy/AOC target met? (Not a requirement for the Open n) (check all that apply):  Yes (Visual Wake Words 80% Accuracy)  Yes (Keyword Spotting 90% Accuracy)  Yes (Anomaly Detection 0.85 AUC)  Yes (Image Classification 85% Accuracy)  No, for some combination of benchmark, scenario and SUT |
|----------------------|---|
| For ea<br>mode?<br>☑ | ch SUT and benchmark, did the submission run on the whole validation set in accuracy (check one):  Yes  No  |
|                      | ch SUT and benchmark, does the submission use the EEMBC Runner? (check one)  Yes  No  |
| (check<br>☑          | ch SUT and benchmark, is the same code run in accuracy and performance modes? one)  Yes  No   |
|                      | e weights calibrated using data outside of the official calibration set? (check one) Yes No   |
|                      | numerics does the submission use? (check all that apply) INT4 INT8 INT16 UINT8 UINT16 FP11 FP16   |
|                      | BF16 FP32 Other, please specify:  |

# This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.

| _<br>_<br>_ | Vendor backend, please name: TF-Lite Micro Micro TVM Other, please specify: X-CUBE-Al v9.0.0   |
|-------------|--|
| ideally     | of the following caching techniques does the submission use? (check all that apply, none):  Caching Inputs between iterations  Caching responses between iterations  Caching intermediate computations between iterations  |
| submit      | of the following techniques does the submission use? (check all that apply, ideally none if ting to the closed division.)  Quantization aware training  Wholesale weight replacement  Weight supplements  Discarding non-zero weight elements  Pruning  Modifying weights during the timed portion of an inference run  Hard coding the total number of queries  None of the above |
|             | submission congruent with all relevant MLPerf rules?  Yes  No  |
| If the a    | answer to the above question is no, please explain:  |
|             | ch SUT, have you filled out the JSON system description file?  Yes  No   |
|             | ch SUT, does the submission accurately reflect the real-world performance of the SUT?  Yes  No   |

# This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.

| Does y       | our submission include the following: (check all that apply)          |
|--------------|---|
|              | System description file   |
| $\checkmark$ | Code that implements the benchmarks                                   |
|              | Code/scripts that train the model(s) (Open Division)                  |
| $\checkmark$ | Metadata that describes each system-implementation combination tested |
| $\checkmark$ | Scripts that set up and execute each system implementation tested     |
| $\checkmark$ | Result logs for each system implementation tested                     |
|              | This Checklist  |