

---

# MATH 640: Bayesian Statistics

## Homework 3, due Sunday, February 17

---

Please submit a PDF or .doc version of your homework to Canvas by 11:59pm on the due date. Please type *all* responses. You are encouraged to use R for all calculations.

### Theoretical Exercises

1. Multi-parameter distributions often lack convenient conjugate priors (if they have one at all). One such case is when  $Y_i$  are iid  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  where *both*  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are unknown. The conjugate prior, while proper, is not a named density. Show that the joint prior

$$p(\alpha, \beta) \propto \frac{\beta^{\alpha s}}{\Gamma(\alpha)^r} p^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta q}$$

is actually a conjugate prior for the Gamma distribution with unknown  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . That is, show that when this joint prior is used, the resulting posterior has the same parametric form. Be sure to determine the parameters. (Hint: this prior is parameterized by  $p, q, r$ , and  $s$  thus the posterior should have four parameters as well.)

2. Let  $\mathbf{y} = [y_1 \ y_2 \ \cdots \ y_n]'$  be an  $n \times 1$  vector of regression outcomes. Further let  $X$  denote an  $n \times p$  matrix of covariates and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  be a  $p \times 1$  vector of coefficients. Assume  $\mathbf{y}$  is normally distributed of the form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \text{MVN}(X\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda^{-1}I_{n \times n}).$$

That is, the standard regression assumption where we've parametrized the model in terms of the precision,  $\lambda$ . Using the joint prior  $\pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda) \propto \lambda^{-1}$ , find the marginal distribution posterior of  $\lambda | \mathbf{y}, X$  and the conditional posterior distribution of  $\boldsymbol{\beta} | \lambda, \mathbf{y}, X$ .

3. Let  $W_i \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  where both  $\mu$  and  $\tau^2$  are unknown. Determine the form of normal approximation to the joint posterior of  $\mu$  and  $\tau^2$  when using the non-informative joint prior, i.e.  $\pi(\mu, \tau^2) \propto (\tau^2)^{-1}$ . (Hint: this will require find the posterior modes for both  $\mu$  and  $\tau^2$  as well as the information matrix, i.e. the negative of the Hessian matrix.)

### Analysis Exercises

1. The age distribution of the incidence of cancer can be modeled using the Erlang distribution which has as PDF

$$f_X(x; k, \lambda) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \lambda^k x^{k-1} e^{-\lambda x}$$

where  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ . Here the parameter  $k$  can be interpreted as the number of carcinogenic events needed for a cancer to develop while  $1/\lambda$  is the average time to developing cancer. The data file `incidenceUK.txt` contains age specific incidence of all cancers in both males and females in the United Kingdom for the years 2013 to 2015. Using an Erlang distribution with  $k = 22^1$ , fixed, find the posterior distribution of the average time to developing cancer in males and females, separately, using the normal approximation to the posterior density. Use Jeffreys' prior for  $\lambda$ . Generate posterior summaries and compare between males and females. Draw a conclusion in context. Use  $B = 10000$  samples for each model and set the seed to 2020.

2. The dataset `coup1980.txt` contains the coup risk in the month of June from 1980 for 166 different countries. Using your result from Theoretical Exercise 2, build a linear regression model to predict `logCoup` risk using the covariates `democracy` (1 = yes, 0 = no), `age` (the leader's age in years), and `tenure` (the leader's tenure in months). Conduct relevant inference to determine significant predictors and describe how each variable impacted coup risk during June of 1980. Use  $B = 10000$  samples and set the seed to 1980. (Hint: your description of the impact can be an interpretation, in context, of the coefficients.)

---

<sup>1</sup>Note: 22 is roughly the average number of carcinogenic events needed from the 20 most common cancers.