1.4. Mathematical Induction

Many properties of positive integers can be proved by mathematical induction.

1.4.1. Principle of Mathematical Induction. Let P be a property of positive integers such that:

- 1. Basis Step: P(1) is true, and
- 2. Inductive Step: if P(n) is true, then P(n+1) is true.

Then P(n) is true for all positive integers.

Remark: The premise P(n) in the inductive step is called Induction Hypothesis.

The validity of the Principle of Mathematical Induction is obvious. The basis step states that P(1) is true. Then the inductive step implies that P(2) is also true. By the inductive step again we see that P(3) is true, and so on. Consequently the property is true for all positive integers.

Remark: In the basis step we may replace 1 with some other integer m. Then the conclusion is that the property is true for every integer n greater than or equal to m.

Example: Prove that the sum of the n first odd positive integers is n^2 , i.e., $1+3+5+\cdots+(2n-1)=n^2$.

Answer: Let $S(n) = 1 + 3 + 5 + \cdots + (2n - 1)$. We want to prove by induction that for every positive integer n, $S(n) = n^2$.

- 1. Basis Step: If n = 1 we have $S(1) = 1 = 1^2$, so the property is true for 1.
- 2. Inductive Step: Assume (Induction Hypothesis) that the property is true for some positive integer n, i.e.: $S(n) = n^2$. We must prove that it is also true for n + 1, i.e., $S(n + 1) = (n + 1)^2$. In fact:

$$S(n+1) = 1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n+1) = S(n) + 2n + 1$$
.

But by induction hypothesis, $S(n) = n^2$, hence:

$$S(n+1) = n^2 + 2n + 1 = (n+1)^2$$
.

This completes the induction, and shows that the property is true for all positive integers.

Example: Prove that $2n + 1 \le 2^n$ for $n \ge 3$.

Answer: This is an example in which the property is not true for all positive integers but only for integers greater than or equal to 3.

- 1. Basis Step: If n=3 we have $2n+1=2\cdot 3+1=7$ and $2^n=2^3=8$, so the property is true in this case.
- 2. Inductive Step: Assume (Induction Hypothesis) that the property is true for some positive integer n, i.e.: $2n+1 \le 2^n$. We must prove that it is also true for n+1, i.e., $2(n+1)+1 \le 2^{n+1}$. By the induction hypothesis we know that $2n \le 2^n$, and we also have that $3 \le 2^n$ if $n \ge 3$, hence

$$2(n+1) + 1 = 2n + 3 \le 2^n + 2^n = 2^{n+1}$$
.

This completes the induction, and shows that the property is true for all n > 3.

Exercise: Prove the following identities by induction:

- $1+2+3+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.
- $1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$.
- $1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + n^3 = (1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n)^2$.
- 1.4.2. Strong Form of Mathematical Induction. Let P be a property of positive integers such that:
 - 1. Basis Step: P(1) is true, and
 - 2. Inductive Step: if P(k) is true for all $1 \le k \le n$ then P(n+1) is true.

Then P(n) is true for all positive integers.

Example: Prove that every integer $n \geq 2$ is prime or a product of primes. *Answer*:

- 1. Basis Step: 2 is a prime number, so the property holds for n=2.
- 2. Inductive Step: Assume that if $2 \le k \le n$, then k is a prime number or a product of primes. Now, either n+1 is a prime number or it is not. If it is a prime number then it verifies the property. If it is not a prime number, then it can be written as the product of two positive integers, $n+1=k_1k_2$, such that $1 < k_1, k_2 < n+1$. By induction hypothesis each of k_1 and k_2 must be a prime or a product of primes, hence n+1 is a product of primes.

This completes the proof.

1.4.3. The Well-Ordering Principle. Every nonempty set of positive integers has a smallest element.

Example: Prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational (i.e., $\sqrt{2}$ cannot be written as a quotient of two positive integers) using the well-ordering principle. Answer: Assume that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational, i.e., $\sqrt{2} = a/b$, where a and b are integers. Note that since $\sqrt{2} > 1$ then a > b. Now we have $2 = a^2/b^2$, hence $2b^2 = a^2$. Since the left hand side is even, then a^2 is even, but this implies that a itself is even, so a = 2a'. Hence: $2b^2 = 4a'^2$, and simplifying: $b^2 = 2a'^2$. From here we see that $\sqrt{2} = b/a'$. Hence starting with a fractional representation of $\sqrt{2} = a/b$ we end up with another fractional representation $\sqrt{2} = b/a'$ with a smaller numerator b < a. Repeating the same argument with the fraction b/a' we get another fraction with an even smaller numerator, and so on. So the set of possible numerators of a fraction representing $\sqrt{2}$ cannot have a smallest element, contradicting the well-ordering principle. Consequently, our assumption that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational has to be false.