

1. Let  $V$  be an arbitrary vector space over a field  $F$ . For  $\alpha \in F$  and  $\vec{v} \in V$ , show that  $\alpha F = \vec{0}$  if and only if  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ . Be explicit about which vector space axioms you are using.

**Answer:** Recall the cancellation property for vector spaces proven in class: For any  $\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c} \in V$ , if  $\vec{a} + \vec{b} = \vec{a} + \vec{c}$  then  $\vec{b} = \vec{c}$ .

Using this, we first show that  $0\vec{v} = \vec{0}$  for all  $\vec{v} \in V$ . We have

$$0\vec{v} = (0 + 0)\vec{v} = 0\vec{v} + 0\vec{v}.$$

Note also that  $0\vec{v} = 0\vec{v} + \vec{0}$  by (A4). Thus we have  $0\vec{v} + \vec{0} = 0\vec{v} + 0\vec{v}$ . Now we apply the cancellation law to this equation, with  $\vec{a} = 0\vec{v}$ ,  $\vec{b} = \vec{0}$ ,  $c = 0\vec{v}$ , which gives  $\vec{0} = 0\vec{v}$  as desired.

Next we show that  $\alpha\vec{0} = \vec{0}$  for all  $\alpha \in 0$ . The proof is similar to the above:

$$\alpha\vec{0} + \vec{0} = \alpha\vec{0} = \alpha(\vec{0} + \vec{0}) = \alpha\vec{0} + \alpha\vec{0}$$

by (A4) and (M4). Now apply the cancellation law as above.

We have shown that if  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ , then  $\alpha\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ . It remains to show the converse. Suppose that  $\alpha\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ , and also that  $\alpha \neq 0$ . We check that then  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ . Multiplying both sides of the equation  $\alpha\vec{v} = \vec{0}$  on the left by  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  gives  $\frac{1}{\alpha}(\alpha\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\vec{0} = \vec{0}$ , where the last equality follows from what we showed above. But note that by (M2) and (M5),

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}(\alpha\vec{v}) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\alpha\right)\vec{v} = 1\vec{v} = \vec{v}.$$

Thus we have  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

Now assume that  $\alpha\vec{v} = \vec{0}$  and  $\vec{v} \neq 0$ . We just showed that if  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ , so we must have that  $\alpha = 0$ . We have now shown that if  $\alpha F = \vec{0}$  then either  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

2. Let  $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be a non-zero vector and suppose we have  $v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $v_2 \notin \text{Span}\{v_1\}$ . Let  $w = v_1 \times v_2$  (the cross product of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ). Show that  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\} = P$ , where

$$P = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid w \cdot v = 0\},$$

the plane perpendicular to  $w$  and passing through  $\vec{0}$ . [Hint: Show  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq P$  and  $P \subseteq \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$ .]

**Answer:** For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $w \cdot (av_1 + bv_2) = aw \cdot v_1 + bw \cdot v_2 = 0 + 0 = 0$ , because a cross product of two vectors is perpendicular to both vectors. Thus any linear combination of  $v_1, v_2$  is in  $P$ . Hence  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\} \in P$ .

With a little more vector space theory, it would be very quick to check that, in fact,  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\} = P$ . An informal argument would be:  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$  is a subspace of  $P$  which strictly contains a line, so it is a plane. Therefore it must be equal to the whole plane  $P$ . For now, this is good enough for our purposes.

Here is a more rigorous (but laborious) proof in the “Meyer style,” using the machinery we have. First, we observe that  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2, w\} = \mathbb{R}^3$ . To show this, we argue by contradiction: If  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2, w\} \neq \mathbb{R}^3$ , then there is some vector  $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$  that is not a linear combination of  $\{v_1, v_2, w\}$ . Let  $A = (v_1 | v_2 | w | z)$ .  $v_2$  is not a multiple of  $v_1$  by assumption. Also since  $w$  is perpendicular to both  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ,  $w$  is not a linear combination of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Hence, no column of  $A$  is a linear combination of previous columns. Thus it must be that every column in  $A$  is basic. But since  $A$  has only 3 rows, this is impossible. Hence  $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2, w\} = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Thus for any  $v \in P$  we may write  $P = av_1 + bv_2 + cw$  for  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . But then

$$0 = w \cdot v = aw \cdot v_1 + bw \cdot v_2 + cw \cdot w = cw \cdot w.$$

$w \cdot w \neq 0$ , so we must have  $c = 0$ . Thus  $v \in \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$ . This shows that  $P \subset \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$ . We conclude that  $P = \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$  as claimed.