

AMAT/TMAT 118

THE PRECISE DEFINITION OF A LIMIT

Stewart gives the precise definition of a limit in Section 2.4, using a traditional ϵ - δ formulation. This is a good way of giving the definition, and has its advantages. In class, I gave an alternative (but equivalent) version of the definition. My version requires a bit of an investment in language to state. But I feel that once the language is established, this definition expresses the geometric intuition behind limits more transparently. In these notes, I present both definitions.

1 Preliminary Definitions

Images of Sets Under Functions Given $f : S \rightarrow T$ any function and $U \subset S$, define $f(U) \subset T$ by

$$f(U) = \{t \in T \mid t = f(s) \text{ for some } s \in U\}.$$

Intuitively, $f(U)$ is the subset of T consisting of all elements that are hit by elements of U . Note that $\text{range } f = f(S)$, so this definition generalizes the definition of range to arbitrary subset of U .

Exercise 1. Let $S = \{A, B, C\}$, $T = \{X, Y, Z\}$, and $f : S \rightarrow T$ be given by $f(A) = X$, $f(B) = Y$, $f(C) = X$. Let $U = \{A, B\}$. What is $f(U)$?

Answer: $f(U) = \{X, Y\}$.

Exercise 2. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = x^2$. Let I be the interval $[-1, 1]$, J be the interval $(-1, 1)$, and K be the interval $(0, \infty)$. Express each of $f(I)$, $f(J)$, $f(K)$, and $f(\mathbb{R})$ in interval form.

Answer: $f(I) = [0, 1]$, $f(J) = [0, 1)$, $f(K) = (0, \infty)$, $f(\mathbb{R}) = (0, \infty)$.

Balls and Punctured Balls For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, a *ball centered around a point p* is simply an interval of the form $(p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, a *punctured ball centered around p* is a set of the form

$$(p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \setminus \{p\}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Note that we can write this set in a few different ways:

$$\begin{aligned} & (p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \setminus \{p\} \\ &= (p - \epsilon, p) \cup (p, p + \epsilon) \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < |x - p| < \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3. Is $(0, 1)$ a ball centered around any point? If so, what point?

Exercise 4. Is $[0, 1]$ a ball centered around any point? If so, what point?

2 Practice with “for every . . . there exists . . .” Expressions

As we will see below, the precise definition of a limit uses language of the form “for every . . . there exists . . .”. Coupled with the other new ideas appearing in the definition, this kind of language might be confusing for some. So before giving the precise definition of a limit, we’ll practice a bit with this language, via some examples and exercises.

Note: We covered most but not all of these in class.

Example 2.1. Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For every $a \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $b > a$. For example, we can take $b = a + 1$. Or we could take $b = a + 2$; for each a , are many choices for b .

Exercise 5. Is it true that for every $a \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $b < a$?

Example 2.2. Is it true that for every $a \in [0, 1]$, there exists $b \in [0, 1]$ with $b > a$? No, because for $a = 1$, there is no $b \in [0, 1]$ with $b > a$.

Exercise 6. Is it true that for every $a \in (0, 1)$, there exists $b \in (0, 1)$ with $b > a$?

Exercise 7. Is it true that for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $z = -y$?

Exercise 8. Is it true that for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $z = \frac{1}{y}$?

Example 2.3. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = x^2$. Is it true that for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists x with $f(x) > y$? Yes. For example, if $y < 1$, we can take $x = 1$. If $y > 1$, we can take $x = y$. (To give a more intuitive but less precise explanation, the answer is yes because we can make x^2 arbitrarily large by taking x to be large.)

Exercise 9. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = -x^2$. Is it true that for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists x with $f(x) > y$?

Exercise 10. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = x$. Is it true that for every set $T \subset \mathbb{R}$, there exists a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $T \subset f(S)$ and $S \neq \mathbb{R}$?

Exercise 11. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = x^2$. Is it true that for every set $T \subset \mathbb{R}$, there exists a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $T \subset f(S)$?

3 Limits

Definition 1 (Limit). Suppose we are given:

- $S \subset \mathbb{R}$,
- $p, L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that S contains a punctured ball centered at p ,
- a function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = L$$

if for every ball C centered at L , there exists a punctured ball $B \subset S$ centered at p with $f(B) \subset C$.

Like many things in math, this definition is best understood with a picture, like the one I showed in class.

Remark 3.1. Note that in the above definition, we do not require $p \in S$. But we *do* require that S contains a punctured neighborhood of p .

Remark 3.2. It can be proven that if there exists $L \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = L$, then such L is unique.

Traditional definition of a limit The traditional $\epsilon - \delta$ definition of a limit is as follows:

Definition 2 (ϵ - δ definition of a limit). Suppose we are given:

- $S \subset \mathbb{R}$,

- $p, L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that S contains a punctured ball centered at p ,
- a function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

we write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = L$$

if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{if } 0 < |x - p| < \delta \text{ then } |f(x) - L| \leq \epsilon.$$

Remark 3.3. You should take some time to think about why this definition is equivalent to the one above. Hint: The statement

$$\text{if } 0 < |x - p| < \delta \text{ then } |f(x) - L| \leq \epsilon.$$

is equivalent to the statement

for B the punctured ball $(p - \delta, p + \delta) \setminus \{p\}$
and C the ball $(L - \epsilon, L + \epsilon)$, we have $f(B) \subset C$.

Example 3.4. Consider $f : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $f(x) = x$. We explain why

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = 1,$$

using the precise definition of a limit. For every ball C centered at $L = 1$, C is of the form $C = (1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. We take $B = C \setminus \{1\}$. This is a punctured ball centered at $p = 1$. Given how we defined f , it is clear that $f(B) = B \subset C$. This shows that that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = 1.$$

Example 3.5. Consider $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \leq 1 \\ x + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We explain why

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)$$

does not exist, using the precise definition of a limit. For B any punctured ball centered around 1, we can write $B = (1-\delta, 1) \cup (1, 1+\delta)$, for some $\delta > 0$. Then $f(B) = (1-\delta, 1) \cup (2, 2+\delta)$. For any L in \mathbb{R} and $C = (L-1/2, L+1/2)$, we cannot have $f(B) \subset C$, since $f(B)$ contains a pair of points more than distance 1 apart. This shows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) \neq L.$$

Since this is true for any $L \in \mathbb{R}$, the limit in question does not exist, as claimed.