Visual knowledge in the congenitally blind: Inference or language?

Molly Lewis, Martin Zettersten, and Gary Lupyan

In a recent report, Kim, Elli, and Bedny (1) detailed congenitally blind individuals extensive knowledge of the visual appearance of animals. This is exciting and important work speaking directly to longstanding questions about the role of direct perceptual experience in semantic knowledge. Despite lacking visual input, blind people show substantial alignment with one another and with sighted people in judging animal shape, skin-texture, size, and, to a much lesser extent, color. Where does this knowledge come from? One possibility, advanced by the authors, is inferential reasoning. Knowing that birds have feathers and that ostriches are birds allows blind people to infer that ostriches have feathers despite never having seen an ostrich (or feathers). Another possibility is language. The authors reject the obvious idea that blind individuals learn from sighted people's verbal descriptions on the grounds that the lowest correspondence between the sighted and blind groups was found for color, a domain with high name agreement. The two groups showed higher alignment for shape and skin-texture, domains that are harder to verbally describe.

We show that associative learning algorithms lacking inferential machinery can partially reproduce behaviors of sighted and blind people after being exposed to natural language. The algorithms learn word meanings by attempting to predict what words surround other words (2, 3). The resulting semantic representations show reasonably close correspondence to human judgments (4, 5). By measuring vector distances between representations of animal words and target words used in (1; e.g., shark-skin vs. shark-feathers), we are able to subject these models to analogous tests performed by the human subjects. We find that semantic representations learned wholly from language correlate significantly with animal shape and skin-texture (see Fig. 1a-b). Despite high name agreement, distributional semantics encode animal color much less than other information. Consistent with blind people relying on statistical patterns learned from language, color information conveyed by language statistics significantly predicts the responses of blind, but not of sighted participants. Even if participants performance were partially based on explicit inference, the question remains: how do blind participants learn the taxonomic relationships in the first place? We find that these too can be partially learned from language (Fig. 1c).

The idea that distributional semantics is a rich source of visual knowledge also helps to understand a related report by the authors (6) showing that blind people's semantic judgments of words like "twinkle," "flare," and "sparkle" were closely aligned with sighted peoples judgments ($\rho = .90$). The authors again rely on explicit inference to word meanings as an explanation, but here too we find that word meanings learned through distributional semantics (using models lacking inferential machinery) show correspondences with both sighted ($\rho = .59$) and blind ($\rho = .63$) people's semantic judgments.

People, regardless of sight, doubtlessly use inferential reasoning to generate new knowledge. However, our results show embedded in the statistical structure of language is a surprisingly rich repository of visual knowledge.

Supporting results are available at xxx.

References

1. Kim JS, Elli GV, Bedny M (2019) Knowledge of animal appearance among sighted and blind adults. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 116(23):1121311222.

- 2. Mikolov T, Chen K, Corrado G, Dean J (2013) Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. *ArXiv Prepr ArXiv13013781*. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.3781 [Accessed March 23, 2017].
- 3. Bojanowski P, Grave E, Joulin A, Mikolov T (2016) Enriching Word Vectors with Subword Information. ArXiv160704606 Cs. Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.04606 [Accessed April 5, 2017].
- 4. Hill F, Reichart R, Korhonen A (2016) Simlex-999: Evaluating semantic models with (genuine) similarity estimation. *Comput Linguist*. Available at: http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/COLI_a_00237 [Accessed April 4, 2017].
- 5. Gerz D, Vuli I, Hill F, Reichart R, Korhonen A (2016) Simverb-3500: A large-scale evaluation set of verb similarity. *ArXiv Prepr ArXiv160800869*. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.00869 [Accessed April 4, 2017].
- 6. Bedny M, Koster-Hale J, Elli G, Yazzolino L, Saxe R (2019) Theres more to sparkle than meets the eye: Knowledge of vision and light verbs among congenitally blind and sighted individuals. *Cognition* 189:105115.