

Chapter 1

1. (a)

1	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	6	3	5	4
1	2	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	3	5	4
1	2	6	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	5	4
1	2	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	7	5	4
1	2	3	6	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	4
1	2	3	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	7	4
1	2	3	5	6	<u>7</u>	4
1	2	3	5	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	7
1	2	3	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	6	7
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

(b)

1	2	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	3	4	6	5
1	2	7	<u>8</u>	<u>3</u>	4	6	5
1	2	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	8	4	6	5
1	2	3	7	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	6	5
1	2	3	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	8	6	5
1	2	3	4	7	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	5
1	2	3	4	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	8	5
1	2	3	4	6	7	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>
1	2	3	4	6	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	8
1	2	3	4	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	7	8
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

3. (a)

1	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	6	3	5	4
1	2	<u>7</u>	6	<u>3</u>	5	4
1	2	3	<u>6</u>	7	5	<u>4</u>
1	2	3	4	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	6
1	2	3	4	5	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

(b)

1	2	<u>8</u>	7	<u>3</u>	4	6	5
1	2	3	<u>7</u>	8	<u>4</u>	6	5
1	2	3	4	<u>8</u>	7	6	<u>5</u>
1	2	3	4	5	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	8
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

5. Take $N = 1$ and $c = 13$. Then

$$f(n) = 4n^2 + 9n^3 \leq 4n^3 + 9n^3 = 13n^3 = cn^3$$

for all $n \geq N$. Therefore, by the definition of O , we have $f(n) = O(n^3)$.

Now take $N = 1$ and $c = 1$. Then

$$f(n) = 4n^2 + 9n^3 \geq n^3 = cn^3$$

for all $n \geq N$. Thus, by the definition of Ω , we have $f(n) = \Omega(n^3)$.

By the definition of Θ , since we have $f(n) = O(n^3)$ and $f(n) = \Omega(n^3)$, then $f(n) = \Theta(n^3)$.

7. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2017n^4 + 4n^{2017}}{n^{2017}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2017n^4}{n^{2017}} + \frac{4n^{2017}}{n^{2017}} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2017}{n^{2013}} + 4 \right) = 4.$$

Therefore, by the limit criterion, we have $2017n^4 + 4n^{2017} = \Theta(n^{2017})$.

9. The following algorithm finds the largest number in a list of n numbers by scanning the list and keeping track of the largest number found so far.

Input: a non-empty list a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} of numbers

```

 $x \leftarrow a_0$ 
for  $i = 1$  to  $n - 1$  do
  if  $a_i > x$  then
     $x \leftarrow a_i$ 
  end if
end for
return  $x$ 

```

Independently of the input, the for-loop runs $n - 1$ times, each time performing exactly one comparison. Therefore this algorithm takes $\Theta(n)$ time in the worst case.

11. Here is the trick. To simplify the discussion, suppose that n is even. Let $A[1..n]$ be the array. Split the array into $\frac{n}{2}$ pairs

$$A[1], A[2] \quad | \quad A[3], A[4] \quad | \quad \dots \quad | \quad A[n-1], A[n].$$

find the minimum and the maximum of each pair by doing one comparison within each pair. Therefore, we have made $\frac{n}{2}$ comparisons so far.

You end up with $\frac{n}{2}$ *small numbers* (one for each pair). The minimum of these $\frac{n}{2}$ small numbers is the minimum you are looking for. Since you have $\frac{n}{2}$ numbers, you can find this minimum with $\frac{n}{2}$ comparisons. Hence, in total, we have made $\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} = n$ comparisons so far.

You do the same thing for the maximum. That is, you find the maximum of the $\frac{n}{2}$ *large numbers* and you are done. This adds an extra $\frac{n}{2}$ comparisons for a total of $\frac{3}{2}n$ comparisons.

Input: An array $A[1..n]$ of n numbers.

Initialize an array $A_{small}[1..n/2]$

Initialize an array $A_{big}[1..n/2]$

for $i = 1$ **to** $n/2$ **do**

if $A[2i - 1] < A[2i]$ **then**

$A_{small}[i] \leftarrow A[2i - 1]$

$A_{big}[i] \leftarrow A[2i]$

else

$A_{small}[i] \leftarrow A[2i]$

$A_{big}[i] \leftarrow A[2i - 1]$

end if

end for

$x_{small} \leftarrow A_{small}[1]$

for $i = 2$ **to** $n/2$ **do**

if $A_{small}[i] < x_{small}$ **then**

$x_{small} \leftarrow A_{small}[i]$

end if

end for

$x_{big} \leftarrow A_{big}[1]$

for $i = 2$ **to** $n/2$ **do**

if $A_{big}[i] > x_{big}$ **then**

$x_{big} \leftarrow A_{big}[i]$

end if

end for

return x_{small}, x_{big}

13. (a) **Input:** An array $A[1..n]$ of n distinct integers in the interval $[1, 2019n]$.

 Initialize an array $B[1..(2019n)]$ with 0's everywhere.

for $i = 1$ **to** n **do**

$B[A[i]] \leftarrow 1$

end for

$i \leftarrow 1$

for $j = 1$ **to** $2019n$ **do**

if $B[j] = 1$ **then**

$A[i] \leftarrow j$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

end if

end for

This is called *bucket sort*.

It takes $\Theta(2019n) = \Theta(n)$ time to initialize B . Then we scan A once (and modify B). This takes $\Theta(n)$ time. Then we scan B once (and fill A) this takes $\Theta(2019n) = \Theta(n)$ time. In total, it takes $\Theta(n)$ time.

- (b) **Input:** An array $A[1..n]$ of n distinct integers in the interval $[1, kn]$.

Initialize an array $B[1..(kn)]$ with 0's everywhere.

for $i = 1$ **to** n **do**

$B[A[i]] \leftarrow 1$

end for

$i \leftarrow 1$

for $j = 1$ **to** kn **do**

if $B[j] = 1$ **then**

$A[i] \leftarrow j$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

end if

end for

The number k is a **fixed** integer. Whatever is the input, k stays the same. Therefore, it takes $\Theta(kn) = \Theta(n)$ time to initialize B . Then we scan A once (and modify B). This takes $\Theta(n)$ time. Then we scan B once (and fill A) this takes $\Theta(kn) = \Theta(n)$ time. In total, it takes $\Theta(n)$ time.

- (c) The same technique works with a tiny modification. Do you see how?
- (d) If we do not have any upper on the numbers to be sorted, we do not know what should be the size of B . So this technique does not work. Is there another technique we could use to sort A in $O(n)$ time? By the end of the semester, we will see that the answer is no.

15.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} \sum_{i=1}^j 1 \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} j \\
 &= \frac{n/2(n/2 + 1)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{n^2}{8} + \frac{n}{4} \\
 &= \Theta(n^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

17. How many times do we execute the inner loop? How many times can we do " $\lfloor j/2 \rfloor$ " starting from n ?

$$1 + \log_2(n)$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + \log_2(n)) \\
&= n(1 + \log_2(n)) \\
&= n + n \log_2(n) \\
&= \Theta(n \log_2(n))
\end{aligned}$$

19. We proceed by induction.

The definition of F_n is recursive and there are *two* base cases in that definition. Therefore, there are *two* base cases to consider in our proof by induction: we have $F_6 = 8 \geq 8 = 2^{6/2}$ and $F_7 = 13 \geq 8\sqrt{2} = 2^{7/2}$.

For the inductive step, let any natural number $n \geq 8$ be given and assume that $F_{n-2} \geq 2^{(n-2)/2}$ and $F_{n-1} \geq 2^{(n-1)/2}$. We then have

$$F_n = F_{n-2} + F_{n-1} \geq 2^{(n-2)/2} + 2^{(n-1)/2} \geq 2 \times 2^{(n-2)/2} = 2^{(n-2)/2+1} = 2^{n/2},$$

which completes the proof.

The inequality is false for $n < 6$ since

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0 &= 0 < 1 = 2^{0/2}, \\
F_1 &= 1 < \sqrt{2} = 2^{1/2}, \\
F_2 &= 1 < 2 = 2^{2/2}, \\
F_3 &= 2 < 2\sqrt{2} = 2^{3/2}, \\
F_4 &= 3 < 4 = 2^{4/2}, \\
F_5 &= 5 < 4\sqrt{2} = 2^{5/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

21. We proceed by induction. For the base cases, we have $0 \leq 0 = 2F_0$ and $1 \leq 2 = 2F_0$.

For the inductive step, let any natural number $n \geq 2$ be given and assume that $n - 2 \leq 2F_{n-2}$ and $n - 1 \leq 2F_{n-1}$. We then have

$$2F_n = 2(F_{n-2} + F_{n-1}) = 2F_{n-2} + 2F_{n-1} \geq (n - 2) + (n - 1) = 2n - 3 \geq n.$$

23. Let $T(n)$ be the number of bit-operations performed by $\text{fib}(n)$. We will show that there is a constant $c \geq 1$ such that $T(n) \leq 2cnF_n$ by induction.

For the base cases, since $\text{fib}(0)$ and $\text{fib}(1)$ only return a number, they do not execute any bit-operation. So $T(0) = 0 \leq 0 = 2c \cdot 0 \cdot F_0$ and $T(1) = 0 \leq 2c = 2c \cdot 1 \cdot F_1$ for any constant $c \geq 1$.

For the inductive step, let any natural number $n \geq 2$ be given and assume that $T(n-2) \leq 2c(n-2)F_{n-2}$ and $T(n-1) \leq 2c(n-1)F_{n-1}$. Note that $F_n < 2^n$ (refer to Exercise #22) implies that F_n has at most $O(\log_2(F_n)) = O(\log_2(2^n)) = O(n)$ bits. Hence the sum in the *fib* algorithm makes $O(n)$ bit-operations.

Therefore, from the algorithm, there is a constant $c \geq 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &\leq T(n-2) + T(n-1) + cn \\
&\leq 2c(n-2)F_{n-2} + 2c(n-1)F_{n-1} + cn \\
&= 2cn(F_{n-2} + F_{n-1}) - 4cF_{n-2} - 2cF_{n-1} + cn \\
&\leq 2cnF_n - 2cF_{n-2} - 2cF_{n-1} + cn \\
&= 2cnF_n - 2c(F_{n-2} + F_{n-1}) + cn \\
&= 2cnF_n - 2cF_n + cn \\
&= 2cnF_n - c(2F_n - n), \\
&\leq 2cnF_n
\end{aligned}$$

by Exercise #21.

25. We prove that $2^n \neq \Omega(3^n)$, which proves that $2^n \neq \Theta(3^n)$. The proof is by contradiction using the definition of Ω . Suppose there are positive constants N and c such that

$$2^n \geq c3^n$$

for all $n \geq N$. Then we get

$$(3/2)^n \leq 1/c \tag{1}$$

for all $n \geq N$. As n increases, $(3/2)^n$ gets arbitrarily large. Therefore, since c is a constant, (1) cannot be true for all $n \geq N$. This is a contradiction.