

# SpendSense

From Plaid to Personalized Learning

## Background

Banks generate massive transaction data through Plaid integrations but struggle to turn it into actionable customer insights without crossing into regulated financial advice.

**Your challenge:** Build an explainable, consent-aware system that detects behavioral patterns from transaction data, assigns personas, and delivers personalized financial education with clear guardrails around eligibility and tone.

## Project Overview

Individual or small team project with no strict deadline.

Deliverables:

- Synthetic Plaid-style data generator (50-100 users)
- Feature pipeline detecting subscriptions, savings, credit, income patterns
- Persona assignment system (5 personas)
- Recommendation engine with plain-language rationales
- Consent and eligibility guardrails
- Operator view for oversight
- Evaluation harness with metrics

## Core Requirements

### 1. Data Ingestion (Plaid-Style)

Create synthetic data matching Plaid's structure:

**Accounts:**

- account\_id
- type/subtype (checking, savings, credit card, money market, HSA)
- balances: available, current, limit
- iso\_currency\_code
- holder\_category (exclude business accounts)

**Transactions:**

- account\_id
- date
- amount
- merchant\_name or merchant\_entity\_id
- payment\_channel
- personal\_finance\_category (primary/detailed)
- pending status

**Liabilities:**

- Credit cards: APRs (type/percentage), minimum\_payment\_amount, last\_payment\_amount, is\_overdue, next\_payment\_due\_date, last\_statement\_balance
- Mortgages/Student Loans: interest\_rate, next\_payment\_due\_date

Requirements:

- Generate 50-100 synthetic users
- No real PII—use fake names, masked account numbers
- Diverse financial situations (various income levels, credit behaviors, saving patterns)
- Ingest from CSV/JSON (no live Plaid connection required)

## 2. Behavioral Signal Detection

Compute these signals per time window (30-day and 180-day):

### Subscriptions:

- Recurring merchants ( $\geq 3$  in 90 days with monthly/weekly cadence)
- Monthly recurring spend
- Subscription share of total spend

### Savings:

- Net inflow to savings-like accounts (savings, money market, cash management, HSA)
- Growth rate
- Emergency fund coverage = savings balance / average monthly expenses

### Credit:

- Utilization = balance / limit
- Flags for  $\geq 30\%$ ,  $\geq 50\%$ ,  $\geq 80\%$  utilization
- Minimum-payment-only detection
- Interest charges present
- Overdue status

### Income Stability:

- Payroll ACH detection
- Payment frequency and variability
- Cash-flow buffer in months

## 3. Persona Assignment (Maximum 5)

Assign each user to a persona based on detected behaviors:

### Persona 1: High Utilization

#### Criteria:

- Any card utilization  $\geq 50\%$  OR interest charges  $> 0$  OR minimum-payment-only OR is\_overdue = true

#### Primary Focus:

- Reduce utilization and interest; payment planning and autopay education

### Persona 2: Variable Income Budgeter

#### Criteria:

- Median pay gap  $> 45$  days AND cash-flow buffer  $< 1$  month

**Primary Focus:**

- Percent-based budgets, emergency fund basics, smoothing strategies

**Persona 3: Subscription-Heavy**

**Criteria:**

- Recurring merchants  $\geq 3$  AND (monthly recurring spend  $\geq \$50$  in 30d OR subscription spend share  $\geq 10\%$ )

**Primary Focus:**

- Subscription audit, cancellation/negotiation tips, bill alerts

**Persona 4: Savings Builder**

**Criteria:**

- Savings growth rate  $\geq 2\%$  over window OR net savings inflow  $\geq \$200$ /month, AND all card utilizations  $< 30\%$

**Primary Focus:**

- Goal setting, automation, APY optimization (HYSA/CD basics)

**Persona 5: [Your Custom Persona]**

Create one additional persona and document:

- Clear criteria based on behavioral signals
- Rationale for why this persona matters
- Primary educational focus
- Prioritization logic if multiple personas match

**4. Personalization & Recommendations**

Output per user per window:

- 3-5 education items mapped to persona/signals
- 1-3 partner offers with eligibility checks
- Every item includes a "because" rationale citing concrete data
- Plain-language explanations (no jargon)

Example rationale format:

"We noticed your Visa ending in 4523 is at 68% utilization (\$3,400 of \$5,000 limit). Bringing this below 30% could improve your credit score and reduce interest charges of \$87/month."

Education Content Examples:

- Articles on debt paydown strategies
- Budget templates for variable income
- Subscription audit checklists
- Emergency fund calculators
- Credit utilization explainers

Partner Offer Examples:

- Balance transfer credit cards (if credit utilization high)
- High-yield savings accounts (if building emergency fund)
- Budgeting apps (if variable income)
- Subscription management tools (if subscription-heavy)

## 5. Consent, Eligibility & Tone Guardrails

**Consent:**

- Require explicit opt-in before processing data
- Allow users to revoke consent at any time
- Track consent status per user
- No recommendations without consent

**Eligibility:**

- Don't recommend products user isn't eligible for
- Check minimum income/credit requirements
- Filter based on existing accounts (don't offer savings account if they have one)
- Avoid harmful suggestions (no payday loans, predatory products)

**Tone:**

- No shaming language
- Empowering, educational tone
- Avoid judgmental phrases like "you're overspending"
- Use neutral, supportive language

**Disclosure:**

Every recommendation must include: "This is educational content, not financial advice. Consult a licensed advisor for personalized guidance."

## 6. Operator View

Build a simple interface for human oversight:

- View detected signals for any user
- See short-term (30d) and long-term (180d) persona assignments
- Review generated recommendations with rationales
- Approve or override recommendations
- Access decision trace (why this recommendation was made)
- Flag recommendations for review

## 7. Evaluation & Metrics

Build an evaluation system that measures:

- Coverage: % of users with assigned persona and  $\geq 3$  detected behaviors
- Explainability: % of recommendations with plain-language rationales
- Relevance: manual review or simple scoring of education-persona fit
- Latency: time to generate recommendations (should be fast on laptop)
- Fairness: basic demographic parity check if synthetic data includes demographics

Output:

- JSON/CSV metrics file
- Brief summary report (1-2 pages)
- Per-user decision traces

## Technical Architecture

### Modular Structure

Organize code into clear modules:

- ingest/ - Data loading and validation
- features/ - Signal detection and feature engineering
- personas/ - Persona assignment logic
- recommend/ - Recommendation engine
- guardrails/ - Consent, eligibility, tone checks
- ui/ - Operator view and user experience
- eval/ - Evaluation harness
- docs/ - Decision log and schema documentation

### Storage

Use local storage:

- SQLite for relational data
- Parquet for analytics
- JSON for configs and logs

### API

Build a simple REST API for:

- POST /users - Create user
- POST /consent - Record consent
- GET /profile/{user\_id} - Get behavioral profile
- GET /recommendations/{user\_id} - Get recommendations
- POST /feedback - Record user feedback
- GET /operator/review - Operator approval queue

### AI Integration (Optional)

While not required, you may use:

- LLMs for generating educational content text
- Simple ranking/bandit algorithms for offer selection
- Multimodal models for image/video content (optional)

Rules-based baseline is acceptable. Focus on explainability over sophistication.

## Code Quality Requirements

- Clear modular structure (see architecture above)
- One-command setup (requirements.txt or package.json)
- Concise README with setup and usage instructions
- ≥10 unit/integration tests

- Deterministic behavior (use seeds for randomness)
- Decision log in /docs explaining key choices
- Explicit limitations documented
- Standard "not financial advice" disclaimer

## Success Criteria

Your project will be evaluated on these metrics:

Category	Metric	Target
Coverage	Users with assigned persona + ≥3 behaviors	100%
Explainability	Recommendations with rationales	100%
Latency	Time to generate recommendations per user	<5 seconds
Auditability	Recommendations with decision traces	100%
Code Quality	Passing unit/integration tests	≥10 tests
Documentation	Schema and decision log clarity	Complete

Additional Requirements:

- All personas have clear, documented criteria
- Guardrails prevent ineligible offers
- Tone checks enforce "no shaming" language
- Consent is tracked and enforced
- Operator view shows all signals and can override
- Evaluation report includes fairness analysis
- System runs locally without external dependencies

## User Experience Requirements

Create a simple, usable end-user experience. Options:

- Web app mock showing personalized dashboard
- Email preview templates
- Chat interface for Q&A
- Content feed (like social media)
- Mobile app mockup (Figma/screenshots acceptable)

Creative formats welcome:

- Generated images/infographics
- Short video content
- Interactive calculators
- Gamified savings challenges

## Submission Requirements

Submit the following:

- Code repository (GitHub preferred)
- Brief technical writeup (1-2 pages)
- Documentation of AI tools and prompts used
- Demo video or live presentation
- Performance metrics and benchmarks
- Test cases and validation results
- Data model/schema documentation
- Evaluation report (JSON/CSV + summary)

## Technical Contact

For questions or clarifications:

Bryce Harris - bharris@peak6.com

## Build Strategy

Recommended phases:

- Data Foundation: Generate synthetic dataset, validate schema
- Feature Engineering: Build signal detection for subscriptions, savings, credit, income
- Persona System: Implement assignment logic and prioritization
- Recommendations: Build engine with rationales and content catalog
- Guardrails & UX: Add consent, eligibility, tone checks; build operator view
- Evaluation: Run metrics harness, document results and limitations

## Final Note

**Financial AI must be explainable and auditable.** Every recommendation needs a clear rationale that cites specific data points.

Core principles:

- Transparency over sophistication
- User control over automation
- Education over sales
- Fairness built in from day one

Build systems people can trust with their financial data.