

Exercise 3.3.4

Suppose $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$. Prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$.

So we want to prove that $\forall x(x \in \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A)))$.

First we assume x is arbitrary and make the antecedent a given and the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ $x \in \mathcal{P}(A)$	$x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$

Assume x is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{P}(A)$

Suppose $x \in \mathcal{P}(A)$

[proof of $x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$]

Therefore if $x \in \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$

Since x was arbitrary we can conclude $\forall x(x \in \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A)))$

We can rewrite our goal as $x \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ or $\forall y(y \in x \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{P}(A))$. So we assume y is arbitrary and make the antecedent a given and the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ $x \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ $y \in x$	$y \in \mathcal{P}(A)$

Assume x is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{P}(A)$

Suppose $x \in \mathcal{P}(A)$

Suppose y is an arbitrary element of x .

Suppose $y \in x$.

[proof of $y \in \mathcal{P}(A)$]

Therefore if $y \in x \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{P}(A)$.

Since y was arbitrary we can conclude that $x \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$.

Therefore if $x \in \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$

Since x was arbitrary we can conclude $\forall x(x \in \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A)))$

Now looking at our givens $x \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ means that $x \subseteq A$ or $\forall z(z \in x \rightarrow z \in A)$. Using universal instantiation we will plug in y for z and using modus ponens we can conclude that $y \in A$.

Now looking at our other given $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \forall m(m \in A \rightarrow m \in \mathcal{P}(A))$. Using universal instantiation we will plug in y for m and using modus ponens we can conclude that $y \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, which was our goal to prove.

Theorem. Suppose $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$.

Proof. Suppose x is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and y is an arbitrary element of x . It follows that $y \in A$. But since $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ then it also follows that $y \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. So $y \in x \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ and since y was arbitrary we can conclude that $x \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$. Therefore, if $x \in \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$. Since x was arbitrary we can also conclude that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$. \square

Alternate proof (not sure if this is correct)

Proof. Suppose x is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $x \in A$. Since $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ and $x \in A$ then $x \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$. Therefore, $x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$. \square

Exercise 3.3.5

The hypothesis of the theorem proven in exercise 3.3.4 is $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$.

A

Can you think of a set A for which this hypothesis is true?

The empty set \emptyset is a set for which the hypothesis is true.

$A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ means $x \in A \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. For \emptyset this would mean that $x \in \emptyset \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(\emptyset)$, but by definition there are no elements in \emptyset . Therefore $x \in \emptyset$ will always be false and the conditional statement $x \in \emptyset \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{P}(\emptyset)$ is always true. Therefore if $\emptyset = A$ then $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$.

B

Can you think of another?

In exercise 3.3.4 we proved that if $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ then $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$. Therefore, the set $\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$, which is the $\mathcal{P}(A)$ if $A = \emptyset$, is another set for which the hypothesis is true. If we let $B = \mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$ and replace A in the hypothesis $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ with B , then we can conclude that $B \subseteq \mathcal{P}(B)$.

3.3.6

Suppose x is a real number.

A

Prove that if $x \neq 1$ then there is a real number y such that $\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x$.

So we want to prove that $(x \neq 1) \rightarrow \exists y \left(\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x \right)$

We assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$x \neq 1$	$\exists y \left(\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x \right)$

To prove our goal we need to find a y that makes the equation $\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x$ true. So let's try solving the equation for y .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{y+1}{y-2} &= x \\
 y+1 &= x(y-2) \\
 y+1 &= xy-2x \\
 2x+1 &= xy-y \\
 2x+1 &= y(x-1) \\
 y &= \frac{2x+1}{x-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

We see that this y works because we have $x \neq 1$ as a given.

Theorem. Suppose $x \neq 1$. Then there is a real number y such that $\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x$.

Proof. Suppose $x \neq 1$ and $y = \frac{2x+1}{x-1}$. Then

$$\frac{\frac{2x+1}{x-1} + 1}{\frac{2x+1}{x-1} - 2} = \frac{\frac{3x}{x-1}}{\frac{3}{x-1}} = \frac{3x}{x-1} \cdot \frac{x-1}{3} = x$$

□

B

Prove that if there is a real number y such that $\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x$ then $x \neq 1$.

So we want to prove that $\exists y \left(\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x \right) \rightarrow (x \neq 1)$

We assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Using existential instantiation we assume there is a value y_0 such that $\frac{y_0+1}{y_0-2} = x$ is true. From part A above, we know that $\left(\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x \right) \rightarrow \left(y = \frac{2x+1}{x-1} \right)$ and so $y_0 = \frac{2x+1}{x-1}$. Since y is a real number, then clearly $x \neq 1$.

Givens	Goals
$\exists y \left(\frac{y+1}{y-1} = x \right)$	$x \neq 1$

Theorem. If y is a real number and $\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x$ then $x \neq 1$.

Proof. Suppose y is a real number and $\frac{y+1}{y-2} = x$. It follows that $y = \frac{2x+1}{x-1}$ and since y is real number then $x \neq 1$. \square

Exercise 3.3.7

Prove for every real number x , if $x > 2$ then there is a real number y such that $y + \frac{1}{y} = x$.

So we want to prove $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}(x > 2 \rightarrow \exists y \in \mathbb{R}(y + \frac{1}{y} = x))$

So we let x be an arbitrary real number, then we assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
x is arbitrary real number	$\exists y(y + \frac{1}{y} = x)$
$x > 2$	

Our goal is of the form $\exists y P(y)$ where $P(y)$ is $y + \frac{1}{y} = x$ and our strategy suggests we try to find a y for which $P(y)$ is true. We can do this by solving the equation $y + \frac{1}{y} = x$ for y . We can rewrite this equation as $y^2 - \frac{x}{y} + 1 = 0$ and we see this is a quadratic equation and therefore we can use the quadratic formula to solve for y ,

$$y = \frac{-(-x) \pm \sqrt{(-x)^2 - 4 \cdot 1 \cdot 1}}{2 \cdot 1} = \frac{x \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2}.$$

We note that $\sqrt{x^2 - 4}$ is defined because $x > 2$. We have found two solutions that satisfy our original equation, but we only need one to complete the proof. We will use $\frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2}$.

Theorem. For every real number x , if $x > 2$ then there is a real number y such that $y + \frac{1}{y} = x$.

Proof. Suppose x and y are real numbers, $x > 2$, and $y = \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2} + \frac{1}{\frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2}} &= \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2} + \frac{2}{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}} \\
&= \frac{2x^2 + 2(x\sqrt{x^2 - 4})}{2x + 2\sqrt{x^2 - 4}} \\
&= x
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 3.3.8

Prove that if \mathcal{F} is a family of sets and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$.

So we want to prove that $A \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$.

We assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$A \in \mathcal{F}$	$A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$

Assume $A \in \mathcal{F}$

[proof of $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$]

Therefore if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$

Our goal $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$ can be rewritten as $\forall x(x \in A \rightarrow x \in \cup \mathcal{F})$. We assume x is arbitrary and then assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$A \in \mathcal{F}$	$x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$
$x \in A$	

Assume $A \in \mathcal{F}$

Assume x is arbitrary

Assume $x \in A$

[proof of $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$]

Therefore if $x \in A$ then $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$

Since x was arbitrary we can conclude that $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$.

Therefore if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$

Our new goal can be rewritten as $\exists B \in \mathcal{F}(x \in B)$. From our givens we see that $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x \in A$, so we have found a set such that $A \in \mathcal{F}(x \in A)$.

Theorem. If \mathcal{F} is a family of sets and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Assume $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and x is an arbitrary member of A . Then since $x \in A$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, it follows that $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$. Since x was arbitrary we can conclude that $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$ and therefore if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$. \square

3.3.9

Prove that if \mathcal{F} is a family of sets and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$.

We want to prove that $A \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$.

We assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$A \in \mathcal{F}$	$\cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$

Assume $A \in \mathcal{F}$
 [proof of $\cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$]
 Therefore, if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$.

We can rewrite our goal as $\forall x(x \in \cap \mathcal{F} \rightarrow x \in A)$. We assume x is arbitrary and then assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$A \in \mathcal{F}$	$x \in A$
$x \in \cap \mathcal{F}$	

Assume $A \in \mathcal{F}$
 Assume x is arbitrary
 Assume $x \in \cap \mathcal{F}$
 [proof of $x \in A$]
 Therefore, if $x \in \cap \mathcal{F}$ then $x \in A$.
 Since x was arbitrary we can conclude that $\cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$.
 Therefore, if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$.

Our given $x \in \cap \mathcal{F}$ can be rewritten as $\forall B \in \mathcal{F}(x \in B)$, therefore if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $x \in A$, which was our goal to prove.

Theorem. If \mathcal{F} is a family of sets and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\cup \mathcal{F} \in A$.

Proof. Assume $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and x is an arbitrary member of $\cap \mathcal{F}$. Since $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x \in \cap \mathcal{F}$ it follows that $x \in A$ and therefore, if $x \in \cap \mathcal{F}$ then $x \in A$. Since x was arbitrary we can conclude that $\cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$. Therefore, if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$. \square

Exercise 3.3.10

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a family of sets. Prove that if $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\cap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$.

Exercise 3.3.12

Suppose \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are families of sets. Prove that if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ then $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$.

So we want to prove that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$

First we assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$	$\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$

Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$
 [proof of $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$]
 So if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$

$\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \forall b(b \in \cup \mathcal{F} \rightarrow b \in \cup \mathcal{G})$ so we assume b is an arbitrary element of $\cup \mathcal{F}$ and assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$	$b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$
$b \in \cup \mathcal{F}$	

Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$
 Let b be an arbitrary element of $\cup \mathcal{F}$
 [proof of $b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$]
 Therefore if $b \in \cup \mathcal{F} \rightarrow b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$
 Since b was arbitrary we can conclude $\forall b(b \in \cup \mathcal{F} \rightarrow b \in \cup \mathcal{G})$. So if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$

$b \in \cup \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \exists M(M \in \mathcal{F} \wedge b \in M)$, so let $M = A_0$ (Existential Instantiation)

Givens	Goals
$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$	$b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$
$A_0 \in \mathcal{F} \wedge b \in A_0$	

Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$
 Let b be an arbitrary element and suppose $b \in \cup \mathcal{F}$, which implies there is a set in \mathcal{F} and b is in that set. Let that set = A_0
 [proof of $b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$]
 Therefore if $b \in \cup \mathcal{F} \rightarrow b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$

Since b was arbitrary we can conclude $\forall b(b \in \cup \mathcal{F} \rightarrow b \in \cup \mathcal{G})$. So if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$

$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \forall A(A \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{G})$. Using universal instantiation we will plug in A_0 for A since then we can use modens ponens to conclude that $A_0 \in \mathcal{G}$.

Givens	Goals
$A_0 \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow A_0 \in \mathcal{G}$	$b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$
$A_0 \in \mathcal{F} \wedge b \in A_0$	

Our goal $b \in \cup \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \exists N(N \in \mathcal{G} \wedge b \in N)$, which we can now prove. Since $A_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} is a subset of \mathcal{G} , it follows that $A_0 \in \mathcal{G}$. By the definition of $\cup \mathcal{G}$ it follows that $b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$ because $A_0 \in \mathcal{G} \wedge b \in A_0$, the latter statement being one of our givens.

Theorem. Suppose \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are families of sets. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ then $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Let b be an arbitrary element of $\cup \mathcal{F}$, which implies there is a set in \mathcal{F} that contains b . Call this set A_0 . Since $A_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} is a subset of \mathcal{G} it follows that $A_0 \in \mathcal{G}$, which implies that $b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$. Therefore if $b \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ then $b \in \cup \mathcal{G}$. Since b was arbitrary we can conclude that if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ then $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$. This completes the proof.

Exercise 3.3.13

Suppose \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are families of sets. Prove that if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ then $\cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$.

So we want to prove that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$

First we assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$	$\cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$

Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$

[proof of $\cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$]

So if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$

$\cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \forall b(b \in \cap \mathcal{G} \rightarrow b \in \cap \mathcal{F})$, so we assume b is an arbitrary element of $\cap \mathcal{G}$ and assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$	$b \in \cap \mathcal{F}$
$b \in \cap \mathcal{G}$	

Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$

Let b be an arbitrary element of $\cap \mathcal{G}$

[proof of $b \in \cap \mathcal{F}$]

Therefore if $b \in \cap \mathcal{G} \rightarrow b \in \cap \mathcal{F}$

Since b was arbitrary we can conclude $\forall b(b \in \cap \mathcal{G} \rightarrow b \in \cap \mathcal{F})$. So $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$

$b \in \cap \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \forall A(A \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow b \in A)$, so we assume A is an arbitrary element of \mathcal{F} and assume the antecedent and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$	$b \in A$
$b \in \cap \mathcal{G}$	
$A \in \mathcal{F}$	

Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$

Let b be an arbitrary element of $\cap \mathcal{G}$

Suppose A is an arbitrary set in \mathcal{F}

[proof of $b \in A$]

Therefore if $A \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow b \in A$

Since A was arbitrary we can conclude $b \in \cap \mathcal{F}$

Therefore if $b \in \cap \mathcal{G} \rightarrow b \in \cap \mathcal{F}$

Since b was arbitrary we can conclude $\forall b(b \in \cap \mathcal{G} \rightarrow b \in \cap \mathcal{F})$. So $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$

Now looking at our givens, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \forall Z(Z \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow Z \in \mathcal{G})$. Using universal instantiation we will plug in A for Z and using modus ponens we can conclude that $A \in \mathcal{G}$.

Our other given, $b \in \cap \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \forall Y(Y \in \mathcal{G} \rightarrow b \in Y)$. Using universal instantiation we will plug in A for Y and using modus ponens we can conclude that $b \in A$, which was our goal, and we can now write our proof.

Theorem. Suppose \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are families of sets. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ then $\cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Let b be an arbitrary element of $\cap \mathcal{G}$. Suppose A is an arbitrary element of \mathcal{F} , then because $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ then it follows that $A \in \mathcal{G}$. By the definition of $\cap \mathcal{G}$ it follows that $b \in A$ and since A was arbitrary then $b \in \cap \mathcal{F}$. Since b was arbitrary we can conclude $\cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$ and therefore that if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ then $\cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$. This completes the proof.

Exercise 3.3.14

Suppose $\{A_i | i \in I\}$ is an indexed family of sets. Prove that $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$.

So we want to prove that $\forall a(a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i) \rightarrow a \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i))$

First we assume a is arbitrary and make the antecedent a given and the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$	$a \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$

Assume a is an arbitrary element of $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$

Suppose $a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$

[proof of $a \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$]

Therefore if $a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i) \rightarrow a \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$

Since a was arbitrary we can conclude $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$

Looking at our goal we see that $a \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \rightarrow a \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow \forall z(z \in a \rightarrow z \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$. Therefore we assume z is arbitrary, assume the antecedent, and make the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$ $z \in a$	$z \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$

Assume a is an arbitrary element of $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$

Suppose $a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$

Assume z is arbitrary

Assume $z \in a$

[proof of $z \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$]

Therefore $z \in a \rightarrow z \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$

Since z was arbitrary we can conclude $a \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$

Therefore if $a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i) \rightarrow a \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$

Since a was arbitrary we can conclude $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$

Looking at our given we see that $a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i) \rightarrow a \in \{a \mid \exists i \in I(a \in \mathcal{P}(A_i))\}$. Using existential instantiation we will select an i such that $a \in \mathcal{P}(A_i)$ which implies $a \subseteq A_i$. Since $a \subseteq A_i \rightarrow \forall m(m \in a \rightarrow m \in A_i)$ and using universal instantiation we will plug in z for m and we get $\forall z(z \in a \rightarrow z \in A_i)$ and using modus ponens we can conclude that $z \in A_i$, which implies that $z \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$, which was our goal. We can now right our proof.

Theorem. Suppose $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is an indexed family of sets, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$.

Proof. Suppose that a is an arbitrary element of $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$. We choose an $i \in I$ such that $a \in \mathcal{P}(A_i)$, which implies that $a \subseteq A_i$. Suppose z is an arbitrary

element of a , then it follows that $z \in A_i$ and therefore $z \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$. Since z was an arbitrary element of a then $a \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$, and it follows that $a \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$. Thus we can conclude $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$. This completes the proof.

3.3.15

Suppose $\{A_i | i \in I\}$ is an indexed family of sets and $I \neq \emptyset$. Prove that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$

So we want to prove that $\forall y (y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i))$.

First we assume y is arbitrary and make the antecedent a given and the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$	$y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$

Suppose y is arbitrary element of $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$.

[proof of $y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$]

Since y was arbitrary we can conclude $\forall y (y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i))$.

Our goal $y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$ so we make m an arbitrary element of I and therefore $y \in \mathcal{P}(A_m) \rightarrow y \subseteq A_m \rightarrow \forall z (z \in y \rightarrow z \in A_m)$. So we make z arbitrary and make the antecedent a given and the consequent our goal to prove.

Givens	Goals
$y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$	$z \in A_m$
$z \in y$	

Suppose y is arbitrary element of $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$.

Suppose m is an arbitrary element of I and therefore $y \in \mathcal{P}(A_m) \rightarrow y \subseteq A_m \rightarrow \forall z (z \in y \rightarrow z \in A_m)$.

Suppose z is an arbitrary element of y

[proof of $z \in A_m$]

Therefore $z \in y \rightarrow z \in A_m$ and since z was arbitrary $y \subseteq A_m \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{P}(A_m)$

and since m was arbitrary $y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$

Since y was arbitrary we can conclude $\forall y (y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i))$.

Now looking at our given $y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow \forall i \in I (y \in A_i)$. Using universal instantiation we plug in m for i and therefore $y \in A_m$ and since $z \in y$ we can conclude $z \in A_m$, which was our goal. Now we can write our proof.

Theorem. Suppose $\{A_i | i \in I\}$ is an indexed family of sets and $I \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$.

Proof. Suppose y is an arbitrary element of $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. Suppose m is an arbitrary member of I and therefore $y \subseteq A_m$ which implies $y \subseteq A_m$. Now suppose z is an arbitrary element of y . Since $y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ if we choose an i such that $y \in \bigcap_{m \in I} A_m$ then $y \in A_m$ which implies $z \in A_m$. Therefore if $z \in y$ then $z \in A_m$ and since z was arbitrary then $y \subseteq A_m$ or $y \in \mathcal{P}(A_m)$ and since m was arbitrary then $y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$. Since y was arbitrary then $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}(A_i)$. This completes the proof.