## 3.6.2

**Theorem.** There is a unique  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , xy + x - 4 = 4y.

*Proof.* First we prove existence. Let x=4 and suppose y is an arbitrary real number. Then we have 4y+4-4=4y+0=4y, as desired. To prove uniqueness suppose a and b are arbitrary real numbers and that ay+a-4=4y and that by+b-4=4b. For ay+a-4=4y, let y=b and we have ab+a-4=4b. For by+b-4=4y, let y=a and we have ba+b-4=4a. Now subtracting both sides of ab+a-4=4b from ba+b-4=4a we have

$$ba + b - 4 - (ab + a - 4) = 4a - 4b$$

$$ba + b - 4 - ab - a + 4 = 4a - 4b$$

$$b - a = 4a - 4b$$

$$b + 4b = 4a + a$$

$$5b = 5a$$

$$b = a$$

Therefore, if ay + a - 4 = 4y and by + b - 4 = 4y, then a = b.

## 3.6.3

**Theorem.**  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}[(x \neq 0 \land x \neq 1) \implies \exists ! y \in \mathbb{R}(y/x = y - x)]$ 

*Proof.* Suppose x is an arbitrary real number,  $x \neq 0$ , and  $x \neq 1$ . Let  $y = x^2/(x-1)$ , which is defined because  $x \neq 1$ . Then

$$\frac{y}{x} = \frac{\frac{x^2}{x-1}}{x} = \frac{x^2}{x-1} \cdot \frac{1}{x} = \frac{x^2}{x(x-1)} = \frac{x}{x-1}$$

$$= \frac{x^2 - x^2 + x}{x-1}$$

$$= \frac{x^2 - x(x-1)}{x-1}$$

$$= \frac{x^2 - x(x-1)}{x-1} = \frac{x^2}{x-1} - x = y - x$$

## 3.6.4

**Theorem.**  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} (x \neq 0 \implies \exists ! y \in \mathbb{R} \forall z \in \mathbb{R} (zy = z/x)).$ 

*Proof.* Let x be an arbitrary real number. To prove existence, suppose  $x \neq 0$  and y = 1/x. Then zy = z(1/x) = z/x. To prove uniqueness let a and b be arbitrary real numbers and suppose za = z/x and zb = z/x. For za = z/x let z = b and we have ba = bx, which can be rearranged as xba = b. For zb = z/x let z = a and we have ab = a/x, which can be rearranged as xab = a. Subtracting both sides of xab = b from xab = a we have xab - xab = a - b and so a - b = 0 or a = b.

## 3.6.5

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of sets, then  $\cup \mathcal{F} = \{x | \exists A (A \in \mathcal{F} \land x \in A)\}$ . Define a new set  $\cup !\mathcal{F}$  by the formula  $\cup !\mathcal{F} = \{x | \exists !A (A \in \mathcal{F} \land x \in A)\}$ .

(a)

**Theorem.**  $\forall \mathcal{F}(\cup ! \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup F)$ 

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is and arbitrary family of sets. Let x be arbitrary and suppose  $x \in \cup !\mathcal{F}$ . This means  $\exists !A \in \mathcal{F}(x \in A)$ . Since  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \in A$  then we can concluded that  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ . Since x was arbitrary then  $\cup !\mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$ , and since  $\mathcal{F}$  was arbitrary we can conclude for all  $\mathcal{F}, \cup !\mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$ .

(b)

**Theorem.**  $\forall \mathcal{F}(\cup !\mathcal{F} = \cup \mathcal{F} \text{ iff } \mathcal{F} \text{ is pairwise disjoint}).$ 

Note that pairwise disjoint means that  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F} \forall B \in \mathcal{F} (A \neq B \implies A \cap B = \varnothing).$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an arbitrary family of sets.

- $(\rightarrow)$  Suppose  $\cup!\mathcal{F} = \cup\mathcal{F}$ . We will prove the contrapositive. Let A and B be arbitrary, suppose  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , and A and B are not disjoint. Then there is an element x such that  $x \in A \cap B$ . Since  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}$  and it follows by assumption that  $x \in \cup!\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $x \in \cup!\mathcal{F}$  then there is a unique set  $X \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in X$  and so  $x \in A = X$  and  $x \in B = X$ . Therefore A = B.
- $(\leftarrow)$  Now suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is pairwise disjoint. We need to show that  $\cup !\mathcal{F} = \cup \mathcal{F}$ , which means  $\cup !\mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup !\mathcal{F}$ .

To see that  $\cup !\mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$ , let y be arbitrary and suppose  $y \in \cup !\mathcal{F}$ . Then there is a unique set  $Y \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $y \in Y$  and therefore  $y \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ . Since y was arbitrary, this shows that  $\cup !\mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$ .

To see that  $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup !\mathcal{F}$ , now suppose  $y \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ . Then there is a set  $Y \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $y \in Y$ . To see that Y is unique, suppose there is another set  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $y \in Z$ . By assumption,  $\mathcal{F}$  is pairwise disjoint and since  $y \in Y$  and  $y \in Z$ , it follows that Y = Z. Thus there is a unique set  $Y \in \mathcal{F}$  such that yinY and therefore  $y \in \cup !\mathcal{F}$ . Since y was arbitrary this shows that  $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup !\mathcal{F}$ .

We have shown that  $\cup !\mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup F$  and  $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup !\mathcal{F}$  and therefore  $\cup !\mathcal{F} = \cup \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$