Use the methods of this chapter to prove that  $\forall x (P(x) \land Q(x))$  is equivalent to  $\forall x P(x) \land \forall x Q(x)$ .

We want to prove  $\forall x (P(x) \land Q(x) \iff \forall x P(x) \land \forall x Q(x))$ .

**Theorem.** The statement  $\forall x (P(x) \land Q(x))$  is equivalent to  $\forall x P(x) \land \forall x Q(x)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\forall x(P(x) \land Q(x))$ . Let y be arbitrary. Since  $\forall x(P(x) \land Q(x))$  it follows P(y) and Q(y). Since y was arbitrary, we can conclude  $\forall x P(x)$  and  $\forall x Q(x)$  or  $\forall x P(x) \land \forall x Q(x)$ .

 $(\leftarrow)$  Let y be arbitrary. Since  $\forall x P(x)$  and  $\forall x Q(x)$  then it follows P(y) and Q(y). Since y was arbitrary we can conclude  $\forall x (P(x) \land Q(x))$ .

## 3.4.2

Prove that if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \subseteq C$  then  $A \subseteq B \cap C$ .

**Theorem.** If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \subseteq C$  then  $A \subseteq B \cap C$ .

*Proof.* Let x be arbitrary and suppose  $x \in A$ . Since  $A \subseteq B$  then  $x \in B$  and since  $A \subseteq C$  then  $x \in C$  or  $x \in B \cap C$ . Therefore, if  $x \in A$  then  $x \in B \cap C$  and since x was arbitrary we can conclude  $A \subseteq B \cap C$ .

## 3.4.3

Suppose  $A \subseteq B$ . Prove that for every set  $C, C \setminus B \subseteq C \setminus A$ .

**Theorem.** Suppose  $A \subseteq B$ , then for every set C,  $C \setminus B \subseteq C \setminus A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \subseteq B$  and C is an arbitrary set. Let x be arbitrary and suppose  $x \in C \setminus B$ , which means  $x \in C$  and  $x \notin B$ . Since  $x \notin B$  and  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $x \notin A$ , which means that  $x \in C \setminus A$ . Therefore, if  $x \in C \setminus B$  then  $x \in C \setminus A$  and since x and C were arbitrary, we can conclude  $\forall C(C \setminus B \subseteq C \setminus A)$ .

## 3.4.5

Prove that if  $A \subseteq B \setminus C$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$  then  $B \not\subseteq C$ .

**Theorem.** If  $A \subseteq B \setminus C$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$  then  $B \not\subseteq C$ .

*Proof.* Let x be arbitrary and suppose  $x \in A$ . Since  $A \subseteq B \setminus C$  then  $x \in B$  and  $x \notin C$ . Since x was arbitrary we can conclude  $B \not\subseteq C$ .

Prove that for any sets A, B, and C,  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$  finding a string of equivalences starting with  $x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$  and ending with  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .

**Theorem.** for any sets A, B, and C,  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose A, B, and C are arbitrary sets. Then

```
\begin{split} x \in A \setminus (B \cap C) \text{ iff } x \in A \to (x \notin B \land x \notin C) \\ \text{ iff } x \notin A \lor (x \notin B \land x \notin C) \\ \text{ iff } (x \notin A \lor x \notin B) \land (x \notin A \lor x \notin C) \\ \text{ iff } (x \in A \to x \notin B) \lor (x \in A \to x \notin C) \\ \text{ iff } x \in A \setminus B \lor x \in A \setminus C \\ \text{ iff } x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C) \end{split}
```

# 3.4.7

**Theorem.** For any sets A and B,  $\mathscr{P}(A \cap B) = \mathscr{P}(A) \cap \mathscr{P}(B)$ .

*Proof.*  $(\rightarrow)$  Let M be an arbitrary set and suppose  $M \in \mathscr{P}(A \cap B)$ . Then  $M \subseteq A \cap B$ . Let x be arbitrary and suppose  $x \in M$ . Since  $M \subseteq A \cap B$ ,  $x \in A \cap B$  and therefore  $x \in A$ . Since x was arbitrary,  $M \subseteq A$  and therefore  $M \in \mathscr{P}(A)$ . Similarly, since  $M \subseteq A \cap B$ ,  $x \in B$ . Since x was arbitrary,  $M \subseteq B$  and therefore  $M \in \mathscr{P}(B)$ . Therefore,  $M \in \mathscr{P}(A)$  and  $M \in \mathscr{P}(B)$ .

 $(\leftarrow)$  Now suppose  $M \in \mathscr{P}(A) \cap \mathscr{P}(B)$ . Then  $M \subseteq A$  and  $M \subseteq B$ . Suppose  $x \in M$ . Since  $M \subseteq A$  and  $M \subseteq B$  then  $x \in A \cap B$ . Since x was arbitrary,  $M \subseteq A \cap B$  and therefore  $M \in \mathscr{P}(A \cap B)$ .

## 3.4.8

**Theorem.**  $A \subseteq B \iff \mathscr{P}(A) \subseteq \mathscr{P}(B)$ 

*Proof.*  $(\to)$  Suppose  $A \subseteq B$ . Let M be an arbitrary set and suppose  $M \in \mathscr{P}(A)$ . Then  $M \subseteq A$ . Now let y be arbitrary and suppose  $y \in M$ . Since  $M \subseteq A$  then  $y \in A$ , and since  $A \subseteq B$  then  $y \in B$ . Since y was arbitrary,  $M \subseteq B$  and therefore  $M \in \mathscr{P}(B)$ . Since M was arbitrary,  $\mathscr{P}(A) \subseteq \mathscr{P}(B)$ .

 $(\leftarrow)$  Now suppose  $\mathscr{P}(A) \subseteq \mathscr{P}(B)$  and  $y \in A$ . Then the set  $\{y\}$  is in  $\mathscr{P}(A)$ . Since  $\mathscr{P}(A) \subseteq \mathscr{P}(B)$  then  $\{y\} \in \mathscr{P}(B)$  and  $y \in B$ . Since y was arbitrary,  $A \subseteq B$ .

**Theorem.** If x and y are odd integers, then xy is odd.

*Proof.* Suppose x and y are odd integers. This means there is an integer k such that x=2k+1 and there is an integer j such that y=2j+1. Therefore, xy=2(2kj+k+j)=4kj+2k+2j+1=(2k+1)(2j+1), and since 2kj+k+j is an integer, then xy is odd.

### 3.4.10

**Theorem.** For every integer n,  $n^3$  is even iff n is even.

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Let n be arbitrary. We will prove the contrapositive. Suppose x is odd, which means there exists an integer k such that x=2k+1. Therefore,  $n^3=(2k+1)^3=8k^3+12k^2+6k+1=2(4k^3+6k^2+3k)+1$ . Since  $4k^3+6k^2+3k$  is an integer,  $n^3$  is odd. Therefore, if  $n^3$  is even, n is even.

( $\leftarrow$ ) Now suppose n is even, which means there exists an integer m such that n=2m. Now  $n^3=(2m)^3=8m^3=2(4m^3)$  and since  $4m^3$  is an integer,  $n^3$  is even.

## 3.4.11

#### $\mathbf{A}$

The problem is with using the same variable k for defining m as an even integer and n as an odd integer when k may take on different values for n and m.

## $\mathbf{B}$

Let m=2 and n=-3. Then  $n^2-m^2=(-3)^2-2^2=9-4=5$  and n+m=-3+2=-1. Therefore  $n^2-m^2\neq n+m$ .

### 3.4.12

**Theorem.**  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}[\exists y \in \mathbb{R}(x+y=xy) \iff x \neq 1]$ 

*Proof.*  $(\rightarrow)$  We will prove by contradiction. Suppose x is an arbitrary real number and there exists a real number y such that x+y=xy. Now suppose x=1. Since x+y=xy, then  $y=\frac{x}{x-1}$ . But this contradicts x=1 because there is no real number y such that y=x/0.

 $(\leftarrow)$  Now suppose  $x \neq 1$  and  $y = \frac{x}{x-1}$ . Then

$$x + y = x + \frac{x}{x+1} = \frac{x(x-1) + x}{x-1}$$
$$= \frac{x^2 - x + x}{x-1}$$
$$= \frac{x^2}{x-1} = xy$$

## 3.4.13

**Theorem.**  $\exists z \in \mathbb{R} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+ [\exists y \in \mathbb{R} (y - x = \frac{y}{x}) \iff x \neq z]$ 

*Proof.*  $(\rightarrow)$  Let z=1. Let x be an arbitrary real number and suppose x>0. Suppose  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y - x = \frac{y}{x}$ . Then  $y = \frac{x^2}{x-1}$ . Now suppose x > 0. Suppose  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y = \frac{y}{x}$ . Therefore,  $x \neq z$  and since x was arbitrary we can conclude  $\exists z \in \mathbb{R} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+ [\exists y \in \mathbb{R} (y - x = \frac{y}{x}) \to x \neq z]$ .  $(\leftarrow)$  Now suppose  $x \neq 1$  and  $y = \frac{x^2}{x-1}$ . Then

$$y - x = \frac{x^2}{x - 1} - x = \frac{x^2 - x(x - 1)}{x - 1}$$
$$= \frac{x^2 - x + 2 + x}{x - 1} = \frac{x}{x - 1} = \frac{y}{x}$$

## 3.4.14

**Theorem.** If B is a set and F is a family of sets, then  $\cup \{A \setminus B | A \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset$  $\cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathscr{P}(B)).$ 

*Proof.* Let x be arbitrary and suppose  $x \in \bigcup \{A \setminus B | A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . This means that there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in A$  and also  $x \notin B$ . Since  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B$ , then  $A \not\subseteq B$  and  $A \notin \mathscr{P}(B)$ . Thus there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in A$ , and  $A \notin \mathscr{P}(B)$ , which means that  $x \in \cup(\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathscr{P}(B))$ . Therefore, if  $x \in \bigcup \{A \setminus B | A \in \mathcal{F}\}$  then  $x \in \bigcup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathscr{P}(B))$  and since x was arbitrary, we can conclude  $\cup \{A \setminus B | A \in \mathcal{F}\} \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathscr{P}(B))$ . 

# 3.4.15

**Theorem.** If  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are nonempty families of sets and every element of  $\mathcal{F}$ is disjoint from some element of  $\mathcal{G}$ , then  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $\cap \mathcal{G}$  are disjoint.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are nonempty families of sets and every element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is disjoint from some element of  $\mathcal{G}$ . We will use proof by contradiction. Now suppose  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $\cap \mathcal{G}$  are not disjoint. Then there exists a y such that  $y \in \cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $y \in \cap \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $y \in \cup \mathcal{F}$  there is a set in  $\mathcal{F}$  that contains y and since  $y \in \cap \mathcal{G}$ , y is in every set in  $\mathcal{G}$ . But because every element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is disjoint from some element of  $\mathcal{G}$ , then there is at least one set in  $\mathcal{G}$  that does not contain y. But this contradicts  $y \in \cap \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore,  $(\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cap \mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$ .

## 3.4.16

**Theorem.** For any set A,  $A = \cup \mathscr{P}(A)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose A is an arbitrary set, x is arbitrary, and  $x \in A$ . Then there is subset of A that contains x and, by definition, this subset is in  $\mathscr{P}(A)$ . Therefore,  $x \in \mathscr{P}(A)$ . Since x was arbitrary  $A \subseteq \mathscr{P}(A)$ .

 $(\leftarrow)$  Now suppose  $x \in \cup \mathscr{P}(A)$ . This means there is a subset of A that contains x and therefore  $x \in A$ . Since x was arbitrary we conclude  $\cup \mathscr{P}(A) \subseteq A$ . Since A was arbitrary, we can conclude for all sets A,  $A = \cup \mathscr{P}(A)$ .

## 3.4.17

#### A

**Theorem.**  $\cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}) \subseteq (\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G})$ 

*Proof.* Let x be arbitrary and suppose  $x \in \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})$ . Since  $x \in \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})$  there is a set in  $\mathcal{F}$  and in  $\mathcal{G}$  that both contain x. Since there is a set in  $\mathcal{F}$  than contains x, then  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$  and since there is a set in  $\mathcal{G}$  that contains x,  $x \in \cup \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore,  $x \in (\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G})$ . Since x was arbitrary, we can conclude  $\cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}) \subseteq (\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G})$ .

### $\mathbf{B}$

The mistake is that we can't choose a set A such that  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $x \in A$ . The given  $x \in (\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G})$  means that x is within a set in  $\mathcal{F}$  and within a set in  $\mathcal{G}$ , but these two sets are not necessarily the same set.

### $\mathbf{C}$

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\{1,2\},\{3\}\}\$ and  $\mathcal{G} = \{\{4,5\},\{1\}\}\$ . Then  $\cup(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$ , but  $(\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G}) = \{1\}$ .

### 3.4.18

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are families of sets, then  $(\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G}) \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})$   $\iff \forall A \in \mathcal{F} \forall B \in \mathcal{G}(A \cap B \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})).$ 

*Proof.*  $(\to)$  Suppose  $(\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G}) \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})$ . Suppose A is an arbitrary set in  $\mathcal{F}$ , B is an arbitrary set in  $\mathcal{G}$ , x is arbitrary, and  $x \in A \cap B$ . Since  $x \in A \cap B$  and A is an arbitrary set in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ . Also, since  $x \in A \cap B$  and B is an arbitrary set in  $\mathcal{G}$ , then  $x \in \cup \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore  $x \in (\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G})$  and since  $(\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G}) \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})$ , it follows that  $x \in \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})$ . Therefore, if  $x \in (\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G}) \to x \in \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})$  and since  $x \in (\mathcal{F}) \cap (\mathcal{G}) \to \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$  and since  $x \in (\mathcal{F}) \cap (\mathcal{G}) \to \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$  and since  $x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ .

 $(\leftarrow) \text{ Now suppose } \forall A \in \mathcal{F} \forall B \in \mathcal{G}(A \cap B \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})) \text{ and } x \in (\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G}).$  Since  $x \in (\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G})$ , then there is a set  $M \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in M$  and there is a set  $N \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $x \in N$  and it follows that  $x \in M \cap \mathcal{G}$ . Then since  $M \in \mathcal{F}, N \in \mathcal{G}, x \in M \cap \mathcal{G}, \text{ and } \forall A \in \mathcal{F} \forall B \in \mathcal{G}(A \cap B \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}))$  we can conclude that  $x \in \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})$ . Therefore if  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F} \forall B \in \mathcal{G}(A \cap B \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}))$  then  $(\cup \mathcal{F}) \cap (\cup \mathcal{G}) \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G})$ .

### 3.4.19

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are families of sets. Then  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $\cup \mathcal{G}$  are disjoint iff for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ , A and B are disjoint.

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\cup \mathcal{F} \cap \cup \mathcal{G} = \emptyset$ . We will prove by contradiction. Let A be an arbitrary set in  $\mathcal{F}$  and B be an arbitrary set in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Suppose  $x \in A \cap B$ , which means  $x \in A$ ,  $x \in B$ , and  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $x \in A$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$  and since  $x \in B$  and  $B \in \mathcal{G}$  then  $x \in \cup \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F} \cap \cup \mathcal{G}$ , but this contradicts  $\cup \mathcal{F} \cap \cup \mathcal{G} = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and since A and B were arbitrary we can conclude  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F} \forall B \in \mathcal{G}(A \cap B = \emptyset)$ .

 $(\leftarrow)$  Now suppose  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F} \forall B \in \mathcal{G}(A \cap B = \varnothing)$ . We will again prove by contradiction. Suppose  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $\cup \mathcal{G}$  are not disjoint, which means there is an element x that is in both  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $\cup \mathcal{G}$ . This means that there is a set in  $\mathcal{F}$  that contains x and there is a set in  $\mathcal{G}$  that contains x. However, this contradicts our given that every set in  $\mathcal{F}$  is disjoint from every set in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Therefore  $\cup \mathcal{F} \cap \cup \mathcal{G} = \varnothing$ .

### 3.4.20

Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are families of sets.

# $\mathbf{A}$

Theorem.  $(\cup \mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup \mathcal{G}) \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G})$ 

*Proof.* Let x be arbitrary and suppose  $x \in (\cup \mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup \mathcal{G})$ , which means  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$  there exists a set within  $\mathcal{F}$  that contains x. Since  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{G}$  there is no set in  $\mathcal{G}$  that contains x. Since there is a set in  $\mathcal{F}$  that contains x and that set is not in  $\mathcal{G}$ , then  $x \in \cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G})$ . Since x was arbitrary we can conclude  $(\cup \mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup \mathcal{G}) \subseteq \cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G})$ .

#### В

"Since  $x \in A$  and  $A \notin \mathcal{G}$ ,  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{G}$ " is not true. Although  $x \in A$  and  $A \notin \mathcal{G}$ , this does not mean  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{G}$  because x could be in another set in G and would therefore be in  $\cup \mathcal{G}$ .

### $\mathbf{C}$

**Theorem.**  $\cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G}) \subseteq (\cup \mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup \mathcal{G}) \text{ iff } \forall A \in (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G}) \forall B \in \mathcal{G}(A \cap B = \emptyset)$ 

*Proof.*  $(\to)$  Suppose  $\cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G}) \subseteq (\cup \mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup \mathcal{G})$ . Let A be an arbitrary set in  $(\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G})$  and B be an arbitrary set in  $\mathcal{G}$ . We will prove by contradiction. Now suppose that A and B are not disjoint, which means there is an element x such that  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ . Since  $x \in A$  and  $A \in (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G})$  then  $x \in \cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G})$  and because  $\cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G})$  is a subset of  $(\cup \mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup \mathcal{G})$ , then  $x \in (\cup \mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup \mathcal{G})$ . This means that  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{G}$  then there is no set in  $\mathcal{G}$  that contains x, but this contradicts  $x \in B$  and  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore A and B are disjoint and since A and B were arbitrary we can conclude  $\forall A \in (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G}) \forall B \in \mathcal{G}(A \cap B = \emptyset)$ .

 $\leftarrow$  Suppose all sets in  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are disjoint. Let x be arbitrary and suppose  $x \in \cup(\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G})$ , which means there is a set in  $\mathcal{F}$  that contains x and  $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}$ . Now let B be an arbitrary set in G. Since all sets in  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are disjoint and  $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}$ , then  $x \notin B$  and since B was arbitrary we can conclude  $\forall B \in \mathcal{G}(x \notin B)$  or  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}$  and  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{G}$ , then  $x \in (\cup\mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup\mathcal{G})$ . Therefore if  $x \in \cup(\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G})$  then  $x \in (\cup\mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup\mathcal{G})$  and since x was arbitrary we can conclude  $\cup(\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G}) \subseteq (\cup\mathcal{F}) \setminus (\cup\mathcal{G})$ .

#### $\mathbf{D}$

```
Find an example of families of sets \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} for which \cup(\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{G})\neq(\cup\mathcal{F})\setminus(\cup\mathcal{G}). \mathcal{F}=\{\{1\},\{2,5\}\} and \mathcal{G}=\{\{2\},\{10\}\} \cup(\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{G})=\{1,2,5\} \cup(\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{G})=\{1,2,5\}\setminus\{2,10\}=\{1,5\} \{1,2,5\}\neq\{1,5\}
```

### 3.4.21

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are families of sets. Prove that if  $\cup \mathcal{F} \nsubseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$  then there is some  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that for all  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $A \nsubseteq B$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\cup \mathcal{F} \nsubseteq \cup \mathcal{G}$ . This means there is an element x that is in  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  and not in  $\cup \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$  then there is a set in  $\mathcal{F}$  that contains x and since  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{G}$  there is no set in  $\mathcal{G}$  that contains x. Therefore there is a set in  $\mathcal{F}$  that is not a subset of any set in  $\mathcal{G}$  and we can conclude  $\exists A \in \mathcal{F} \forall B \in \mathcal{G}(A \nsubseteq B)$ .  $\square$ 

### $\mathbf{A}$

- 1. Prove goal of the form  $\forall x P(x)$
- 2. Assume antecedent and prove consequent
- 3. existential instantiation
- 4. use a given of the form  $P \wedge Q$
- 5. prove goal of the form  $P \wedge Q$
- 6. Prove goal of the form  $P \iff Q$  by proving  $P \to Q$  and  $Q \to P$ .

## $\mathbf{B}$

Theorem.  $B \setminus (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I} (B \setminus A_i)$ 

Proof.

$$x \in B \setminus (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) = x \in B \land x \notin \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$$

$$= x \in b \land \neg \exists i \in I (x \in A_i)$$

$$= x \in b \land \forall i \in I \neg (x \in A_i)$$

$$= x \in b \land \forall i \in I (x \notin A_i)$$

$$= \forall i \in I (x \in B \land x \notin A_i)$$

$$= x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} (B \setminus A_i)$$

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

**Theorem.**  $B \setminus (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (B \setminus A_i)$ 

Proof.

$$x \in B \setminus \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = x \in B \land \neg (\forall i \in I(x \in A_i))$$

$$= x \in B \land \exists i \in I \neg (x \in A_i)$$

$$= x \in B \land \exists i \in I(x \notin A_i)$$

$$= \exists i \in I(x \in B \land x \notin A_i)$$

$$= x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} (B \setminus A_i)$$

3.4.23

Suppose  $\{A_i|i\in I\}$  and  $\{B_i|i\in I\}$  are indexed families of sets and  $I\neq\emptyset$ .

# $\mathbf{A}$

Theorem. 
$$\bigcup_{i \in I} (A_i \setminus B_i) \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \setminus (\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i)$$

*Proof.* Suppose i is arbitrary and that  $x \in \bigcup_i (A_i \setminus B_i)$ . This means we can choose an i, say i = 0, such that  $x \in A_0$  and  $x \notin B_0$ . Since  $x \in A_0$  then x is in  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  and since  $x \notin B_0$  then  $x \notin \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ . Therefore  $x \in (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \setminus (\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i)$  and since i was arbitrary we can conclude  $\bigcup_{i \in I} (A_i \setminus B_i) \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \setminus (\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i)$ .

## $\mathbf{B}$

Find an example for which  $\bigcup_{i \in I} (A_i \setminus B_i) \neq (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \setminus (\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i)$ 

$$B_1 = \{1, 2\}, B_2 = \{3, 4\}, A_1 = \{1, 2\}, A_2 = \{2, 5\}$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcup(\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i = \bigcap(\{1, 2\}, \{2, 5\}) = \{2\}$$

$$(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \setminus (\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{2\} = \{1, 3, 4\}$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} (A_i \setminus B_i) = \bigcup (\{1, 2\} \setminus \{1, 2\}, \{2, 5\} \setminus \{3, 4\}) = \bigcup (\emptyset, \{2, 5\}) = \{2, 5\}$$

$$(\bigcup_{i\in I} A_i) \setminus (\bigcap_{i\in I} B_i) = \{1,3,4\} \neq \{2,5\} = \bigcup_{i\in I} (A_i \setminus B_i)$$