
Maciek Makowski (@mmakowski)


8th December 2014

Introduction to Lambda Calculus

The Plan



Basic Lambda Calculus



Intuition

$$f(x) = 3 * x + 2$$

(x: Int) => 3 * x + 2

Intuition

$$f(x) = 3 * x + 2$$

(x:Int) => 3 * x + 2
 $\lambda x. + (*3x)2$

```
\begin{array}{ll} \langle \textit{term} \rangle ::= x & \text{(variable)} \\ & | & (\lambda x. \langle \textit{term} \rangle) & \text{(abstraction)} \\ & | & (\langle \textit{term} \rangle \ \langle \textit{term} \rangle) & \text{(application)} \end{array}
```

where $x \in \mathbb{X}$ – the set of variables

 v_1

 v_1

var *v*₁


x y





 $\lambda a.b$

 $\lambda a.b$ abs a var b

 $(\lambda a.\lambda b.a)~d~(\lambda b.b)$







- ► terms: trees consisting of
 - variables
 - ▶ abstractions
 - ▶ applications
- ▶ variables are *bound* by abstraction; otherwise *free*

 $(\lambda x.M) N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$$(\lambda x.M) N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$$

$$(\lambda x.xy)(\lambda z.z) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.z)y \longrightarrow_{\beta} y$$


$$(\lambda x.M) N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$$

$$(\lambda a.a (\lambda a.a)) b \longrightarrow_{\beta} b (\lambda a.a)$$

$$(\lambda x.M) N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$$

$$(\lambda a.(\lambda b.b) \odot a) \odot ((\lambda c.\lambda d.d) \odot \lambda f.f)$$

Church-Rosser




Termination

$$\Omega = (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$$

Semantics

- ► abstraction: function definition
- ► application: function application
- \blacktriangleright β -reduction: function evaluation

Programming in Lambda Calculus



Conditionals

 $\mathtt{if}\ C\ \mathtt{then}\ T\ \mathtt{else}\ F$

Conditionals

if
$$C$$
 then T else F

$$ext{true} = \lambda t. \lambda f. t$$
 $ext{false} = \lambda t. \lambda f. f$

Conditionals

if C then T else F

 $ext{true} = \lambda t. \lambda f. t$ $ext{false} = \lambda t. \lambda f. f$ $ext{test} = \lambda c. \lambda t. \lambda f. c. t. f$ $ext{if C then T else F} = ext{test C T$ F}$

Numbers

$$0 = \lambda s. \lambda z. z$$

$$succ = \lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. s (n s z)$$

Numbers

$$0 = \lambda s. \lambda z. z$$

succ = $\lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. s (n s z)$

$$0 = \lambda s.\lambda z.z$$

$$1 = succ \ 0 = \lambda s.\lambda z.s z$$

$$2 = succ \ 1 = \lambda s.\lambda z.s (s z)$$

$$3 = succ \ 2 = \lambda s.\lambda z.s (s (s z))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$n = \lambda s.\lambda z.s (\ldots s (s z) \ldots)$$

Numbers

$$0 = \lambda s. \lambda z. z$$

$$succ = \lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. s (n s z)$$

$$plus = \lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. m \ s \ (n \ s \ z)$$

times = $\lambda m. \lambda n. m \ (plus \ n) \ 0$

$$n! = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } n = 0, \\ n*(n-1)! & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

$$Y = \lambda f.(\lambda x. f(xx))(\lambda x. f(xx))$$

$$Y = \lambda f.(\lambda x. f(x x))(\lambda x. f(x x))$$


$$\mathbf{g} = \lambda f. \lambda \textit{n}. \\ \text{if eq } \textit{n} \; 0 \; \\ \text{then 1 else (times n(} \textit{f(pred n))}) \\ \text{factorial} = \mathsf{Y} \; \mathsf{g} \\$$

```
Y = \lambda f.(\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x)) g = \lambda f. \lambda n. \text{if eq } n \text{ 0 then 1 else (times n} (f(\text{pred n}))) factorial = Y g
```

```
factorial 3 Y g 3  (h\ h)\ 3 \qquad \qquad \text{where } h = \lambda x.g\ (x\ x) \\ g\ (h\ h)\ 3 \qquad \qquad \text{where fct} = h\ h \\ \text{if eq } 3\ 0\ \text{then } 1\ \text{else}\ (\text{times } 3(\text{fct}\ (\text{pred } 3))) \\ \text{times } 3(\text{fct}\ (\text{pred } 3)) \\ \text{times } 3\left((h\ h)\ 2\right)
```

Programming

- ► Church encoding: everything as lambda expression
- ► lambda calculus as a programming language
- ► small: makes formal proofs easier
- we can rely on intuition about mathematical functions



$$\lambda x.x : \sigma \to \sigma$$

$$\lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx):(\sigma \to \sigma) \to \sigma \to \sigma$$

$$\frac{M:\sigma\to\tau \qquad N:\sigma}{M\,N:\tau} \qquad \qquad \text{(application)}$$

$$\frac{x:\sigma}{\vdots} \\ \frac{M:\tau}{\lambda x.M:\sigma\to\tau} \qquad \qquad \text{(abstraction)}$$


$$\frac{f:\sigma\to\sigma}{fx:\sigma}\frac{x:\sigma}{fx:\sigma}(app)$$

$$\frac{f(fx):\sigma}{\lambda x.f(fx):\sigma\to\sigma}(abs)$$


$$\frac{\lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx):(\sigma\to\sigma)\to\sigma\to\sigma}{\lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx):(\sigma\to\sigma)\to\sigma\to\sigma}(abs)$$

- ▶ new calculus, λ_{\rightarrow} : if a term cannot be assigned a type, it is invalid
- simple types are very restrictive
- more complex type systems permit more programs


More Types



The Lambda Cube




The Lambda Cube



Subtyping



Subtyping



More Types

- many typed calculi
- orthogonal concepts can be combined into more complex calculi
- ▶ programming language researchers prove properties of calculi

Further Reading

- ▶ Benjamin C. Pierce, *Types and Programming Languages*
- ► Morten Heine B. Sørensen, Paweł Urzyczyn, *Lectures on the Curry-Howard Isomorphism*
- ► Henk Berendregt, Erik Barendsen, *Introduction to Lambda Calculus*
- ► Henk Berendregt *The Lambda Calculus, its Syntax and Semantics*

Notes

- https://github.com/mmakowski/introlambda/blob/ scalax/notes.pdf
- https://github.com/mmakowski/introlambda/blob/ scalax/slides.pdf